

A study of various neonatal outcomes and complications in live-born infants among IVF pregnancies

Chetan Yadav^{1*}, Charu Yadav²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, ²General Practitioner, Military Hospital, Bhatinda, Punjab, India

Received: 01 April 2021

Revised: 14 May 2021

Accepted: 15 May 2021

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Chetan Yadav,

E-mail: cyadav.iaf@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: In-vitro fertilization or Assisted reproductive techniques (ART) is the most advanced technique of infertility treatment. In-vitro fertilization (IVF) has helped couples all over the world. However, the use of IVF has raised significant concern about the outcome of resulting pregnancies and the health of the newborns. There is a range of possible factors associated with the treatment that may contribute to potential adverse outcomes. Thus, the study was conducted to analyze the neonatal outcomes of children born by ART in the Indian context.

Methods: The neonatal characteristics and complications of the live-born infants through IVF at Army Hospital R and R were analyzed in this study between March 2019 to February 2020.

Results: Total 231 babies were born to the study group cases. 126 (54.54%) were singletons, 102 (44.16%) were of twin pregnancies and 1.3% were triplets. There were 65.36% term and 34.63% preterm. Of the 231 IVF neonates, 58 needed neonatal intensive care. There were 219 (94.8%) survivors, while 12 (5.2%) did not survive a week. The 16 (6.92%) survivors needed readmissions mainly due to hyperbilirubinemia. There were 106 (45.8%) babies whose weight was less than 2 kg.

Conclusions: Infertility cases are usually older, and this is one reason for increased pregnancy and newborn infant complications. Neonates born through IVF appeared to be at higher risk of multiple births, prematurity, low birth weight, and other disabilities.

Keywords: Infertility, In-vitro fertilization, Low-birth weight, Neonates

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) has made an estimate of 60 to 80 million couples worldwide currently suffer from infertility. The WHO estimates the overall prevalence of primary infertility in India to be between 3.9 and 16.8 percent.¹ Treatment options include Intra-uterine insemination (IUI), ovulation induction, IVF, ICSI, gamete intra-fallopian transfer (GIFT), zygote intra-fallopian transfer (ZIFT), cryopreserved embryo transfers and the use of donor oocytes. Since IVF has become an efficient and widely used treatment for infertile couples over the last 20 years, there has been focused analysis

about the health of children born after this treatment. Because of the relative novelty of IVF, the follow-up of children born after IVF is still quite limited. IVF is responsible for the increasing number of multifetal pregnancies, multiplicity being an important risk factor for adverse neonatal outcomes due to preterm birth, low birth weight and small for gestational age (SGA).²⁻⁶

A number of studies have shown, babies born after IVF have more neonatal problems and need longer hospitalization and intensive care than spontaneously conceived ones.^{4,7,8,9} Lancaster's study from the late 1980s was the first to report a higher prevalence of neural tube

defects and transposition of the great vessels among IVF children.¹⁰ The majority of later studies have been negative as far as congenital malformations are concerned. However, a few very recent studies have reported an increase in the prevalence of neural tube defects, oesophageal atresias omphalocele and hypospadias (after ICSI) among IVF children compared with spontaneously conceived controls.^{5,11}

The objective of this population-based study is to analyse neonatal outcomes, the need for hospitalization after birth and the prevalence of congenital malformations among IVF children.

METHODS

After the approval from the Ethics committee of our hospital (Army Hospital R and R), the present study was performed between March 2019 to February 2020. Of the total pregnant women who conceived through IVF, 200 pregnant women were taken to the study. The informed consent was taken from them. All patients were checked by a detailed history, clinical examination, and relevant investigations. After the enrolment, the patient was followed up closely throughout pregnancy, labor, and delivery, including the newborn baby's condition. Obstetrics outcomes in the women and the neonatal characteristics of the live-born infants were analyzed in this study. It is a prospective cohort study.

Inclusion criteria

Pregnant females conceived as a result of IVF. Neonate born as a result of IVF pregnancy.

Exclusion criteria

Pregnant females suffering from any disease before pregnancy. Pregnant females conceived spontaneously.

Statistical analysis

Data entry with the help of Microsoft Excel 2013 and statistical analysis were performed with the help of Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20.0, while categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers and percentages.

RESULTS

Since the study was observational, there were no control variables, and hence, the results were compared with the previous studies.

The patient's age profile varied from 23 to 41 years; there were 85 patients whose ages varied from 30 to 40 years. Infertility cases are usually older, and this is one of the reasons for increased complications in pregnancy. During the mentioned period, a total of 231 live neonates were delivered through IVF in 200 pregnancies. Neonatal

characteristics of live-born infants till 28 days of age were analyzed. Out of 231 neonates, 126 (54.54%) were singletons, 102 (44.16%) were products of twin pregnancies, and 3 (triplets) from one triplet pregnancy (1.3%). All were live births.

Table 1: Birth weights.

Birth weight	Number
Less than 1 kg	23 (3 singletons)
1-2 kg	83 (3 singletons)
2-3 kg	100
3-4 kg	25
> 4kg	Nil

Table 2: Neonatal Characteristics of IVF conceptions (n= 231).

Characteristics	Number of neonates	%	Remarks
Gestation			
Term	151	65.36	*Any infant <37 weeks
Preterm*	80	34.63	(completed) gestation
Weight for gestation			
Appropriate	176	76.2	
Small	53	22.9	
Large	2	0.99	
Sex			
Females	112	46.87	
Males	119	53.13	
Number			
Singletons	126	54.54	High twin incidence
Twins	102	44.16	iatrogenic
Triplets	3	1.3	

There were 112 (46.87%) females and 119 (53.13%) males in this cohort of IVF neonates. There were 151 (65.36%) term and 80 (34.63%) preterm. Most (176; 76.2%) were appropriate for gestational age, 22.9% (n=53) were small for gestational age while 2 (0.99%) neonates were large for gestational age.

The overall mean weight was 2.04 kg, the median weight 2.04 kg with the minimum being 0.5 kg and the maximum 4 kg. Low birth weight neonates (167/231) formed just above half the cohort (72.2%). There were only six singleton pregnancies where the weight was less than 2 kg depicted in Table 1.

More than half (127/231; 54.97%) of the neonates were delivered by lower segment caesarean section (LSCS), while 104 (45.02%) were delivered vaginally. Emergency LSCS was more common in singleton pregnancies (34/44; 77.27%), while elective LSCS was performed more frequently (34/35; 97.14%) in twin pregnancies. The

neonatal characteristics are depicted in Table 2. A total of 29 (12.5%) neonates needed some form of resuscitation at birth. Of the 231 IVF neonates, 58 (23.1%) needed neonatal intensive care. There were 219 (94.8%) survivors,

and of the 12 (5.2%) who died, 3 (25.0%) dies within the first 24 hours, 7 (58.3%) within seven days, and the other two (16.6%) not surviving the neonatal period.

Table 3: Congenital anomalies in IVF neonates (n=9).

Number	Nature of anomaly	Mode of Diagnosis	Management	Remarks
1	Hirschsprung's disease	Contrast radiograph	Advised biopsy; refused	Died of acute colitis at 5 months of age
1	TGA with intact septum	Echocardiography	Initial PGE1 then surgery	Died on day 24 due to sepsis
1	Trisomy 21	Karyotyping	On follow-up	On follow-up
1	Atrial septal defect	Echocardiography	On follow-up	On follow-up
1	Facial dysmorphism	Visual	All tests and karyotyping normal	On follow-up
1	Minor anomalies	Polydactyly 01; Preauricular tags 01; Partial Iris atrophy 01; Labial fusion 01; Large head 01	On follow-up	On follow-up
1	Antenatal PUJ obstruction	USG; DTPA and GHA postnatally	Obstruction resolving	
1	Hypospadias	Visual	On follow-up	On follow-up
1	Stridor	Large thymic mass on imaging	Mass surgically removed	On follow-up

Table 4: Readmissions during the neonatal period in IVF neonates (n=16).

Number	Nature of morbidity	Day of life readmitted	Management	Remarks
4	Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia	Day 3,4,5,6,7,8,9,15	Phototherapy	All responded to phototherapy.
2	Sepsis	Days 8, 11, 14, 15, 17	Antibiotics	One MSSA, others clinical sepsis
2	Feeding difficulties	Days 11, 13, 14	Supervised breastfeeding	All improved
1	Aspiration Pneumonia	Days 25	Antibiotics and chest physiotherapy	Improved
1	Seizure disorder	Day 8	Phenobarbitone	Seizure free, off drugs, and development normal at seven months
1	Gastroesophageal reflux	Day 16	Domperidone for one month, off drugs now	Radionuclide milk scan diagnosis
1	Hirschsprung's disease	Day 6	Advised surgery	See the previous table
1	Biliary hypoplasia	Day 20	Split liver transplant	Transplanted at 13 months
1	Pustular dermatitis	Day 5	Antibiotics	Discharged
1	Dehydration fever	Day 5	Supervised feeding.	Discharged
1	Hypoglycemia	Day 4	Initial Infusion then supervised feeding	Due to faulty feeding

The primary cause of death was as follows: Infection in 2/12 (16.6%), respiratory 6/12 (50%), cardiac anomaly in 1/12 (0.8%), and other systems in 3/12 (25%). The neonatal mortality rate (NMR) for the IVF neonates cohort was 51.9 per 1000 live births. Congenital anomalies were detected in 9 (3.89 %) of the cohort (Table 3).

A total of 16 (6.92%) neonates needed readmission within the neonatal period. All 16 neonates survived the neonatal period (Table 4). The 16 survivors needing readmissions were primarily due to hyperbilirubinemia 4/16 (25%) followed by sepsis (2/16) and feeding difficulties (2/16).

DISCUSSION

The present study was done to assess association of applications of ART with various neonatal outcomes. The most typical complication was multiple pregnancies along with other sequels. The incidence of twins was the highest.

During the period mentioned in the study, 231 live neonates were delivered as a result of IVF in 200 pregnancies. Neonatal characteristics of live-born infants till 28 days of age were analysed. Out of 231 neonates, 126 (54.54%) were singletons, 102 (44.16%) were products of twin pregnancies, and 03 (1.3%) were triplets. This compares well with the reported rates of 24.3% twin pregnancies from the Danish National IVF Registry, 46% from Manchester, 23% from the Bourn-Hallam Group and 44.5% from a large cohort of 31582 babies born in the years 1997-2000 after IVF.^{7,12-14}

There were 151 (65.36%) term and 80 (34.63%) preterm. IVF pregnancies are known to have higher rates of preterm deliveries, with various studies reporting rates of 23.8%, 34.9%, 25% and 29.4%.^{7,12,13,15,16} The higher rate of preterms in this study may be due to the higher prematurity incidence even in non-IVF births in our country. Low birth weight neonates (167/231) formed just above half the cohort (72.2%). The neonatal characteristics are depicted in Table 1. The percentage of low-birth-weight neonates is also high in IVF babies with reported rates of 23.6%, 43.2%, 32% and 20.5%. Our low-birth-weight rate of 48.1% compares well with the range across various studies.^{7,12,13,16}

The present study reports 22.9% of neonates to be small for gestational age, which also compares well with the 16.2% reported in a study of singleton neonates born following IVF.⁹ Another study has reported a double incidence of small for gestational age in IVF neonates than in non-IVF neonates.¹⁷

Of the 231 IVF neonates, 58 (23.1%) needed neonatal intensive care. There were 219 (94.8%) survivors and of the 12 (5.2%) who died, 3 (25.0%) dies within the first 24 hours, 7 (58.3%) within seven days, and the other 2 (16.6%) not surviving the neonatal period. The increased morbidity and need for intensive care amongst IVF neonates are well known, given the high-risk group they

belong to.^{7,18} Many studies report up to a twofold increase in mortality rates for IVF neonates.^{13,15,17}

Congenital anomalies were detected in 9 (3.89 %) of the cohort (Table 2), which is higher than the 2.5% reported in the Bourn Hallam group, but was lower than the 5.21%, 4.8% and 6.1% reported in other studies.^{7,13,12,17} Other than lower maternal age, we are not aware of any specific factor that could have led to these rates of congenital anomalies in our study.

The 16 (6.92%) survivors needing readmissions were mainly due to hyperbilirubinemia 4/16 (25%). In other studies, babies born by assisted reproductive technologies showed a 15 times higher risk of neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission. They were admitted primarily for supportive care for feeding initiation, a few developed complications like neonatal jaundice, neonatal sepsis, and difficult respiration. There was only one baby among 82 cases with multiple congenital malformations who did not survive even after resuscitation. Hansen et al. reported a 30–40% significantly increased risk of congenital disabilities among children born to ART-conceived women in a meta-analysis of 25 studies.¹⁹

Though increased maternal age and heightened anxiety levels amongst mothers of IVF neonates along with increased prematurity and low birth weight rates, do cause feeding difficulties, most can be overcome by good counselling and initial supervised feeding. It probably is reflected by the low rate of readmission for feeding difficulties in our study. We are aware that there are additional risks of developmental delay, increased hospitalizations and illnesses during the first 3 years of life amongst IVF babies as well as disorders due to abnormal imprinting¹⁴ and therefore, follow-up of these infants is needed.^{14,15,18,20}

Limitations

Some of the outcomes could be influenced by maternal characteristics such as socioeconomic status and infertility diagnosis. Infertility diagnosis, the impact of different culture media, and the freezing method can be confounding variables that may affect neonatal outcomes. Furthermore, the pooling of reported IVF data creates a limitation in evaluating adverse neonatal outcomes based on the fertilization technique.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, IVF neonates are at risk of multiple births, increased prematurity rates, and low birth weight with increased NMR, but the 94.8% survival rates in this study show the hope for the infertile couples who long for parenthood. Furthermore, there is a need for a large sample, multi-centric studies along with the controls to compare the results with pregnancies conceived spontaneously.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Suryalee Athwaria, Ph.D., for conducting the literature searches and for medical writing assistance in developing the manuscript.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. Aboulghar MA. Perinatal Complications of Assisted Reproduction. *Croat Med J.* 2005;46(5):751-8.
2. Friedler S, Mashiach S, Laufer N. Births in Israel resulting from in-vitro fertilization/embryo transfer, 1982–1989: National Registry of the Israeli Association for Fertility Research. *Hum Reprod.* 1992;7:1159-63.
3. Balen AH, MacDougall J, Tan SL. The influence of the number of embryos transferred in 1060 in-vitro fertilization pregnancies on miscarriage rates and pregnancy outcome. *Hum Reprod.* 1993;8:1324-8.
4. Gissler M, Malin Silverio M, Hemminki, E. In-vitro fertilization pregnancies and perinatal health in Finland 1991–1993. *Hum Reprod.* 1995;10:1856-61.
5. Bergh T, Ericson A, Hillensjö T, Nygren KG, Wennerholm UB. Deliveries and children born after in-vitro fertilization in Sweden 1982–95: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 1999;354:1579-85.
6. Buitendijk SE. Children after in vitro fertilization. An overview of the literature. *Int. J. Technol. Assess. Health Care.* 1999;15:52-65.
7. D’Souza SW, Rivlin E, Cadman J, Richards B, Buck P, Lieberman BA. Children conceived by in vitro fertilisation after fresh embryo transfer. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 1997;76:70-4.
8. Addor V, Santos-Eggiman B, Fawer CL, Paccaud F, Calame A. Impact of infertility treatments on the health of newborns. *Fertil Steril.* 1998;69:210-5.
9. Koudstaal J, Braat DDM, Bruinse HW, Naaktgeboren N, Vermeiden JPW, Visser GHA. Obstetric outcome of singleton pregnancies after IVF: a matched control study in four Dutch university hospitals. *Hum Reprod.* 2000;15:1819-25.
10. Lancaster PAL. Congenital malformations after in-vitro fertilization. *Lancet.* 1987;2:1392-3.
11. Ericson A, Källén B. Congenital malformations in infants born after IVF: a population-based study. *Hum Reprod.* 2001;16:504-9.
12. Westergaard HB, Tranberg-Johansen AM, Erb K, Anderson AN. Danish National In-Vitro Fertilisation Registry 1994 and 1995: A controlled study of births, malformations and cytogenetic findings. *Hum Reprod.* 1999;14:1896-902.
13. Rizk B, Doyle P, Tan SL, Rainsbury P, Betts J, Brinsden P, et al. Perinatal outcome and congenital malformations in in-vitro fertilisation babies from the Bourn-Hallam group. *Hum Reprod.* 1991;6:1259-64.
14. Reynolds MA, Schieve LA, Martin JA, Jeng G, Macaluso M. Trends in multiple births conceived using assisted reproductive technology, United States, 1997-2000. *Paediatrics.* 2003;111:1159-62.
15. Koivurova S, Hartikainen AL, Sovio U, Gissler M, Hemminki E, Jarvelin MR. Growth, psychomotor development and morbidity up to three years of age in children born after IVF. *Hum Reprod.* 2003;18:2328-36.
16. Reehuis J, Honein MA, Schieve LA, Correa A, Hobbs CA, Rasmussen SA. National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Assisted reproductive technology and major structural birth defects in the United States. *Hum Reprod.* 2009;24(2):360-6.
17. Poikkeus P, Gissler M, Unkila-Kallio L, Hyden-Granskog C, Tiitinen A. Obstetric and neonatal outcome after single embryo transfer. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22:1073-9.
18. Hansen M, Colvin L, Petterson B, Kruinczuk JJ, de Klerk N, Bower C. Admission to hospital of singleton children following assisted reproductive technology (ART). *Hum Reprod.* 2008;23:1297-305.
19. Engmann L, Maconochie N, Lin Tan S, Bekir J. Trends in the incidence of births and multiple births and the factors that determine the probability of multiple births after IVF treatment. *Hum Reprod.* 2001;16:2598-605.
20. Stromberg B, Dahlquist G, Ericson A, Finnström O, Kęoster M, Stjenquist K. Neurological sequelae in children born after in-vitro fertilization: a population-based study. *Lancet.* 2002;359:461-5.

Cite this article as: Yadav C, Yadav C. A study of various neonatal outcomes and complications in live-born infants among IVF pregnancies. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol* 2021;10:2330-4.