Case Report

Outlandish presentation of a steroid cell tumor - distinct by its bilaterality and distant spread
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ABSTRACT

Steroid cell tumors of ovary constitute an uncommon group of ovarian neoplasms composed of large cells with intracellular lipid that resemble leydig cells or luteinized stromal cells. They are characterized by their clinical manifestations which are reflections of the underlying hormonal disturbances. This case report is of a 22yrs old female with an abdominal mass whose presenting symptom was just amenorrhea for 9 months. However the final diagnosis after excision of the mass and histopathological examination left the unsuspecting physicians in surprise.
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INTRODUCTION

Ovarian tumors can be classified into a wide variety of benign and malignant tumors with surface epithelial and germ cell tumors dominating the picture. Steroid cell tumors fall under the category of pure Stromal tumors and account for less than 0.1% of all ovarian neoplasms. The origin and pathogenesis of these tumors are still under much controversy. The tumors cells resemble steroid secreting cells of adrenal cortex hence the nomenclature. Bilateral tumors amount to only 6% out of total number of steroid cell tumors reported so far.

CASE REPORT

A 22yrs old unmarried woman presented in the emergency with severe lower abdominal pain and vomiting for 2 days. She also gave history of amenorrhea for 9 months. On physical examination the woman was thin built and short in height with progressive atrophy of breasts. Per abdominal examination revealed a large mass in the lower abdomen reaching up to umbilicus.

USG of lower abdomen was done and a well-defined solid heterogeneous lesion was seen in the right adnexa measuring 9.8×9.7 cms. No cystic area seen. Another almost similar lesion in left adnexa seen measuring 6.6×4.2 cms. Ovaries could not be delineated separately. Left sided hydronephrosis also seen.

CECT whole abdomen revealed a large strongly enhancing pelvic mass 100×100×92 mm with its mass effect causing left sided hydronephrosis and compressing and adherent with adjacent gut loops and urinary bladder. Possibility of ovarian tumor was considered. Also periportal and peripancreatic lymphadenopathy and metastasis in right lobe of liver was seen.

Biochemical parameters were done. Serum AFP was >3000ng/ml (elevated), CA-125 was 191.5 (elevated) and CEA was 1.34 (normal). Hormonal levels were not assessed as Stromal tumor was not suspected due to absence of clinical features.

The patient was operated next. Abdomen was opened with midline incision and a large 15×15 cms, irregular, haemorrhagic, lobulated mass adherent with omentum was seen. Another 10×10 cms lobulated mass in right hypochondrium seen adherent to stomach, liver and pancreas. Pelvic mass was removed by infracolic omentectomy but right hypochondriac mass could not be
dissected out due to bleeding from liver metastatic sites. Abdomen was closed after controlling the bleeding.

Specimen labelled as ovary sent to the pathology department measured 19x12x10 cms. Outer surface was lobulated and focally haemorrhagic. On cut section the mass was solid and greyish yellow in colour. On microscopic examination section from tumor showed large round to polygonal cells with granular eosinophilic cytoplasm with fine vacuolation in some, separated by fibrous septa. Tumor cells had distinct cell membrane and cytoplasm with fine vacuolation in some, separated by large round to polygonal cells with granular eosinophilic

3. Steroid cell tumor-NOS when lineage of the tumor is not identified. About 60-80% falls in the NOS category.

These tumors can occur in any age (mean age around 43 years). About half the patients present with androgenic symptoms, 10% with estrogenic symptoms and less than one-fourth present without any hormonal disturbances.

The clinical symptoms in these cases are due to underlying hormonal disturbances. Features of masculinization usually develop through two definite phases- an early defeminization phase which presents as oligomenorrhoea or amenorrhoea followed by common signs of masculinisation like hirsutism, clitoral enlargement, enlargement of larynx, deepening of voice and temporal alopecia.

Our case presented at a young age of 22yrs with complaints of amenorrhea for few months only. She had no previous complaints and no signs of virilisation.

The most important feature of a tumor is to determine whether it is benign or malignant. Hayes and Scully gave us some features that are highly indicative of malignancy in steroid cell tumors. These features are- tumor diameter >7 cms, mitotic figures per 10 high power field >=2, necrosis, haemorrhage and grade 2-3 nuclear atypia. Our case had all these features and in addition metastatic deposit in liver was seen in CECT.

The mainstay of treatment of any ovarian tumor is surgery. In small tumors or women with stage 1 disease unilateral oophorectomy is done in patients whose family is not yet completed. For elderly patients total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo oophorectomy is advised. Adjuvant chemotherapy is advocated however no clear-cut guidelines have been established. Our patient had large bilateral tumor with adhesion to adjacent organs.

DISCUSSION

Steroid cell tumors account for less than 0.1% of ovarian neoplasms. It is subdivided into three subtypes as per cell of origin:

1. Stromal luteomas arising from ovarian stroma.
2. Leydig cell tumors arising from leydig cells in the hilus.
and omentum and features of hydronephrosis due to mass effect. During surgery due to bleeding from liver metastasis patient’s condition deteriorated therefore only left side tumor could be dissected out. The patient is on chemotherapy presently awaiting for physical conditions to improve so that the right side mass could also be operated.

CONCLUSION

This case stands out as intriguing not only because of the rarity of its incidence but also due to the unique way it presented. Such large bilateral malignant tumors presented only with amenorrhoea for few months and few nonspecific symptoms. Signs of virilisation which is almost quintessential for this tumor was absent here.
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