Study of the indications for caesarean sections between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation
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ABSTRACT

Background: Major change in the practice of obstetrics over the past century is progressive increase in the frequency of caesarean delivery and recent scientific information show improved survival or decreased morbidity for the neonate when caesarean delivery is performed for extreme prematurity. This study was done to determine the caesarean section rate for sections done between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation and to analyze the indications for such sections.

Methods: Institutional based retrospective observational study done in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department, Cheluvamba Hospital, MMC&RI, Mysore, Karnataka, India. Caesarean sections done over 5 years from January 1st 2010 till December 31st 2014 are considered for the study. The total number of Caesarean sections done for gestational age between 20 and 28 weeks are noted. The data obtained is analyzed for the indications at the respective gestational ages.

Results: Frequency of caesarean section at gestational age between 20 and 28 weeks is seen to be <1%. Out of total 15,906 LSCS cases, 97 (0.6%) patients under went caesarean section between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation. Of the 97 cases, 54 cases (55.67%) were operated at 28 weeks, 17 cases (17.52%) at 26 weeks, 20 cases (20.61%) at 24 weeks, 4 cases (4.12%) at 22 weeks and 2 cases (2.06%) at 20 weeks of gestation. APH in 33 (34.0%), pre-eclampsia and eclampsia in 30 (30.9%) cases are found to be the indications for delivery in 61 cases (64.9%). The indications for caesarean sections in 44 (45.3%) cases were previous caesarean section associated with or without pre-eclampsia and APH as contributory factors.

Conclusions: Caesarean section rate for gestational age between 20 and 28 weeks' gestational age is <1% and there is decreasing trend. Previous caesarean section, APH, eclampsia and pre-eclampsia with their complications are the common indications for such sections. The decision to perform first caesarean section and the indication for it is of prime importance.
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INTRODUCTION

Labour and birth should take into account the mother’s preferences, her medical condition and previous intrapartum history. Women should be strongly advised to take immediate steps towards delivery if there is sepsis, preeclampsia, placental abruption or membrane rupture, but a more flexible approach can be discussed if these factors are not present. Vaginal birth is the recommended mode of delivery for most women, but caesarean birth would need to be considered with some.†

A combination of factors has provided the impetus to review the topic regarding the proper course of action
when a woman presents with preterm labour at an extremely preterm gestational age. These factors include a rising rate of caesarean delivery and recent scientific information purporting to show improved survival or decreased morbidity for the neonate when caesarean delivery is performed for extreme prematurity.2

Major change in the practice of obstetrics over the past century is progressive increase in the frequency of caesarean delivery. The advent of better anaesthesia; safety of lower uterine segment technique and prophylactic antibiotics, the broadening of indication for operation, the recognition of fetus as a patient and the acceptance of this procedure by women have characterized the evolution of caesarean birth in the 20th century. Today the previous caesarean section is the main contributory factor for this high frequency of caesarean delivery worldwide. The secondary rise in repeat caesarean delivery has been associated with an increase in severe complications particularly the complication of placentation like placenta previa and placenta accrete which in turn increases the maternal morbidity and even mortality. So, the decision to perform first caesarean section is of prime importance.3,4

METHODS

It was an Institutional based retrospective observational study done in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department, Cheluvamba Hospital, MMC&RI, Mysore, Karnataka, India. Caesarean sections done between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation, over 5 years from January 1st 2010 till December 31st 2014 are considered for the study. The total number of caesarean sections done over 5 years and the number of such sections for gestational age between 20 and 28 weeks were noted. The data obtained regarding these caesarean deliveries were analysed for their indications at the respective gestational ages.

Gestational age was based on the best estimate using information on last menstrual period and ultrasound investigation. The cases were studied to analyse the factors that lead for termination of the pregnancy like preterm labour, preterm premature rupture of membranes, pre-eclampsia, eclampsia and its complications like HELLP syndrome, abruption, bleeding placenta previa, intrauterine fetal demise and other less common factors.

The indications for caesarean deliveries in such preterm babies were categorized as previous caesarean sections in labour, failed inductions in cases of PPROM, anomalous babies, intra uterine fetal demise and hypertension in pregnancy cases, breech presentation, bleeding placenta previa, conjoint twins, transverse lie and other rare causes like pelvic bone fractures.

RESULTS

Of the 15,906 infants born by caesarean section in the study period, 97 (0.60%) were born at gestational ages between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation. In 2010 the number of such sections was 21 out of 2647 cases (0.79%), 2011- 25 out of 2520 cases (0.99%), 2012- 23 out of 3284 cases (0.70%), 2013- 16 out of 3641 cases (0.43%) and in 2014- 12 out of 3814 cases (0.28%). Overall there was a decreasing trend with less number of extremely preterm babies being delivered by caesarean sections (Figure 1 and 2).

![Figure 1: Total number of LSCS per year.](image)

![Figure 2: Total number of caesarean sections between 20 and 28 week of gestation per year.](image)

![Figure 3: Number of caesarean sections between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation distributed according to gestational ages.](image)

Out of 97 caesarean sections that happened between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation, 54 cases were at gestational age of 28 weeks (55.67%), 17 cases at 26 weeks...
Among the 97 cases included in the study, 33 cases (34.0%) had APH (antepartum haemorrhage)- placenta previa or abruption, 30 cases (30.9%) was associated with pre-eclampsia, eclampsia and its complications. Together, APH and hypertension in pregnancy contribute nearly 64.9% of the study cases. Other factors that led for termination of pregnancy were anomalous babies in 14 cases (14.4%), intra-uterine fetal demise in 11 cases (11.3%), PPROM in 7 cases (7.2%) and other rare cases like fracture of pelvic bones (Figure 4).

Despite the uncertainty of benefit, caesarean deliveries for infants between 20 and 28 weeks’ gestation is common as the survival has improved. There has been a steady increase in the rate of caesarean section in both developed and developing countries. This rising rate has become an international public health concern worldwide. In present study also there was a steady increase in the number of caesarean deliveries over the 5 years of study period. In the present study caesarean section rate at gestational ages between 20 and 28 weeks of gestation was found to be 0.6%. We found a decrease in the frequency of caesarean sections of extremely preterm babies from 0.79% in 2010 to 0.28% in 2014. With lesser gestational ages the number of cases in need of caesarean deliveries was seen to be lesser; highest number of cases was being at 28 weeks of gestation and lowest being at 20 weeks.

Hypertensive disorders accounted for 30.9% of caesarean section in this study. Good antenatal care can detect such problems earlier and an early management can prevent complications. These high-risk cases should be assessed on risk/benefit ratio and caesarean section should be done only for definite obstetrical indication.

Placenta praevia and abruption together i.e. APH contributed for 34.0% of caesareans in our study. Vaginal delivery is contra-indicated in placenta praevia cases. So, these were unavoidable operation. In cases of abruption also caesarean deliveries may become necessary to accelerate the delivery.

Analysis of indications revealed that 45.3% patients had previous section. After one caesarean section there is 67% chance of having repeat caesarean delivery. The reluctance to permit a trial of labour following one LSCS is probably due to two main factors. Firstly, there is fear of uterine rupture in labour which is 5.2/1000 VBAC compared with (1.6/1000) planned repeat caesarean delivery and it can be catastrophic leading to perinatal death (1/2000) and very rarely maternal death. Secondly, many obstetrician and women considered that caesarean is a convenient procedure, free of hazards while failed VBAC is associated with 14.1% maternal morbidity than 3.6% with planned repeat caesarean delivery.

In present study, they had repeat caesarean section because many patients had caesarean sections without proper documentation of indication and type of uterine scar. Additionally, most of these ladies have inter-pregnancy interval less than one year coupled with trial of labour outside the hospital making further trial risky, so repeat caesarean section performed. Large family size, high perinatal mortality, desire for male baby, refusal of...
BTL on religious grounds may be contributing factors for high order repeat caesarean section. Increased rate of emergency caesarean section increase complications in labouring women in present and future pregnancy.

Failure to progress was third major indication contributing of caesarean section. Failure to progress is an ill-defined terminology, lack of dilatation or descents of presenting part are often over diagnosed without monitoring partogram. Thus, in every case it would be ideal to monitor progress according to partogram for decreasing the rate of caesarean section. 17

So, to avoid major burden of patient with previous caesarean section decision of first operation should be done by well-trained person after full trial of labour on individualized basis. 17

CONCLUSION

Present study concludes that repeat caesarean sections are mainly responsible for high caesarean section rate. Attempts should be made to decrease this by critical evaluation of decision to perform first operation and considering careful trial of labour in patients with previous one caesarean section. Research with prospective data collection is needed to further delineate the short and long-term benefits and risks of attempted route of delivery in the early preterm gestation.
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