Unusual clinical presentation of rare case of vaginal leiomyoma: a case report
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ABSTRACT

Primary vaginal leiomyoma are rare and usually arise from anterior vaginal wall, approximately 330 cases of vaginal fibroid reported in world literature. Vaginal myoma usually presented as discharge per vaginum, abnormal bleeding, pain lower abdomen, dyspareunia etc. We report a case of primary vaginal leiomyoma arising from lateral vaginal wall which is presented clinically as pain in hip joint and radiating to ipsilateral leg which is unusual clinical presentation creating diagnostic dilemma and because of rarity of the case.
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INTRODUCTION

Vaginal tumors are rare and include papilloma, haemangioma, mucus polyp, and rarely leiomyoma. Vaginal leiomyomas remain an uncommon entity with only about 300 reported cases since the first detected case back in 1733 by Denys de Leyden, Bennett and Erlich.1,2 found only nine cases in 50,000 surgical specimens and only one case in 15,000 autopsies reviewed at Johns Hopkins Hospital. These tumors arise most commonly from the anterior vaginal wall causing varied Clinical presentation. They may or may not be associated with leiomyoma elsewhere in the body.

CASE REPORT

A 23 year female 2nd para referred to our hospital by an orthopaedician diagnosed on Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) as a case of tumor in vagina. Her last delivery was vaginal delivery in same hospital. Copper T insertion done 6 months back. She had complained of pain in her left hip joint since 6 months. pain was also radiating from Hip joint to heel. Pain was intermittent burning in character worsen in sitting for long time, initially pain relieved by local analgesics but, since last one month pain become more worse. No Complaint of Pain Abdomen, Bleeding, Discharge or Dyspareunia. X-ray hip joint was normal, MRI pelvis done which was suggestive of –Altered signal intensity lesion 5*5cm involving left side of pelvic wall and arising from vagina with involvement of left obturator internus muscle (Figure 1). On examination small protrusion from left lateral vaginal wall seen around 3*4 cm in size. Firm to hard in consistency deeply encroaching in lateral pelvic wall. Mass was separated from cervix. Fixed to pelvic wall. On Transvaginal Sonography 4*5 cm hypoechogenic mass in vagina separated from cervix and uterus seen.

Patient taken for enucleation of this fibroid with consent complete enucleation of fibroid done vaginally. A Foley’s catheter was introduced in the urethra for protecting the latter. The tumor was then sent for histopathological examination with a preoperative diagnosis of vaginal leiomyoma. Patient’s intraoperative and post-operative period was uneventful. Patient discharge on 3rd post-operative day. Gross examination revealed a 6 × 5 cm solid mass with a whorling appearance in the cut section (Figure 2). Microscopic examination revealed a well-circumscribed leiomyoma underlying the squamous epithelium, consistent with the diagnosis of vaginal leiomyoma. We follow the case for 5 month patient was comfortable in follow up.
vaginal fibroid presenting as an ovarian tumor diagnosed postoperatively.\(^3\)

Imaging modalities like MRI and needle biopsy are valuable in making preoperative diagnosis.\(^3\) as in our case MRI help us to reach provisional presentation, it is difficult to differentiate between a malignant and benign tumor as well. In most cases, diagnosis is only made after histopathological examination consistent with a mixture of smooth muscle and fibrous stroma. Sarcomatous changes may occur and tumor recurrence or rapid enlargement usually indicates malignancy.\(^6\) So atypism and mitotic and histopathological to rule out malignancy.

**CONCLUSION**

Surgery is recommended treatment. Malignancy should be ruled out by histopathology. Surgical removal of the tumor through vaginal approach, preferably with urethral catheterization to protect the urethra during surgery, is usually the treatment of choice. In case of large tumors, however, an abdomino-perineal approach is preferred. The patient needs to be followed up for chance of recurrence. Our patient was symptom-free at 5-month follow-up.
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