Association of increased platelet distribution width and red cell distribution width with recurrent pregnancy loss
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INTRODUCTION

Recurrent pregnancy loss is defined as three or more consecutive pregnancy losses at or less than 20 weeks of gestation or with a fetal weight less than 500 grams. The aim was to compare platelet distribution width and red cell distribution width between pregnant women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss and pregnant women without a history of pregnancy loss.

Methods: This was a prospective study to the evaluation of 70 pregnant women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss and 70 pregnant women without a history of pregnancy loss in the first trimester.

Results: When compared pregnant women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss and 70 pregnant women without a history of pregnancy loss, the pregnant women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss had significantly higher platelet distribution width and red cell distribution width (p≤0.001 for both). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of age, BMI, Hemoglobin, TLC, hematocrit, platelecrit, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular haemoglobin, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (p>0.05).

Conclusions: An increased platelet distribution width and red cell distribution width with recurrent pregnancy loss.
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(such as β thrombomodulin or soluble platelet P-selection).8,9 Thrombophilia refers to the qualitative failure of the physiological coagulation inhibitors. Either the qualitative or the quantitative impairment of physiological coagulation inhibitors results in a procoagulatory imbalance which eventually leads to a condition of compensated coagulopathy. This chronic state of coagulation is associated with an enhancement in platelet activation.10 The enhancement in platelet activation causes the discoid platelets to become more spherical and to acquire pseudopodia. Such an alteration in platelet shape helps the platelets to obtain a larger surface.11 Platelet distribution width (PDW) due to platelet activation, resulting from platelet swelling and pseudopodia formation.

Large platelets are metabolically and enzymatically more active than small platelets. That is, large platelets are capable of producing larger amounts of thromboxane A2 and β-thromboglobulin, which is associated with an increase in platelet size, which is further related to increased platelet aggregation and enhanced expression of adhesion molecules.12-14 Anisocytosis may occur as erythrocytes and thrombocytes undergo consumption due to underlying inflammation and thrombocytopenia. PDW and RDW might be regarded as biological markers that would reflect moderate hypercoagulability. Thrombophilias are inherited or acquired conditions which predispose an individual to thromboembolism.

Red cell distribution width is a red cell parameter that measures the variability of red cell volume/size (anisocytosis). Red cell distribution width can be reported statistically as RDW-CV (coefficient of variation) or RDW-SD (standard deviation). RDW-SD is an actual measurement of the width of the erythrocyte distribution curve (measured at a relative height of 20% above the baseline). Reference range: 39-46 fL.14,15 RDW-CV is calculated from standard deviation and MCV. RDW-CV :1SD/MCV x 100, reference range :11.6-14.6%.

**Reference range**

11.6-14.6% platelet distribution width is an indicator of variation in the size of platelet which may be a sign of activation of platelets. PDW is a simple, practical and more specific marker of platelet activation. An increased PDW is an indicator for the anisocytosis of platelets. Standard PDW ranges from 9 to 14 fL.16

**METHODS**

This study comprises patients who attended department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, SMS medical college and associated hospitals, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India between February 2015 and December 2016. This was a hospital based comparative study between first-trimester pregnant women with a history of recurrent pregnancy losses (case) and without a history of pregnancy loss (control). A woman who had experienced recurrent pregnancy loss due to uterine anomalies, diabetes mellitus and thyroid disease were excluded.

All eligible patients fulfilling inclusion criteria will be explained about nature and purpose of the study. After taking their informed written consent, detail history, the general and systemic examination will be done. Patient’s samples will be collected for routine laboratory examination along with sample for RDW and PDW in an EDTA vial from antecubital vein puncture. Red cell distribution width and platelet distribution width will be measured using full automated 5/6 parts haematology analyser. Collected samples will be sent to a designated lab of our hospital and reports will be procured personally.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables will be summarised as mean and SD while nominal/categorical variables as percentages. Z-test will be used for analysis of continuous variables and Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient will be used for find out the correlation between two variables. Mini tab software will be used for all statistical calculation.

**RESULTS**

The study comprised a total of 70 women who had a history of recurrent pregnancy loss (cases) and 70 pregnant women without a history of recurrent pregnancy loss (controls). The mean age of cases was 27.0±5.2 and controls were 27.1±5.2 and mean body mass index (BMI) of cases and controls was 22.94±3.39 and 23.11±2.65, respectively.

**Table 1: Comparison of mean age, BMI and blood pressure of patients between the groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cases Mean±SD</th>
<th>Controls Mean±SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>26.94±4.15</td>
<td>25.77±3.93</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>20.51±2.79</td>
<td>20.02±2.70</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>114±5.92</td>
<td>113±5.56</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>73.90±5.91</td>
<td>72.40±6.64</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.05 was accepted to be statistically significant.

There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of age, BMI, haemoglobin, TLC, hematocrit, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular haemoglobin, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration, plateletcrit (p>0.05).

The pregnant women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss had significantly higher RDW and PDW (P=0.001). The comparison of mean age, BMI, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure values of patients between the groups in Table 1. The comparison of blood parameters of patients between the groups in shown in Table 2.
Table 2: Comparison of blood parameters of patients between the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hb. (g/dl)</td>
<td>11.27±1.53</td>
<td>11.07±1.30</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (10³/ul)</td>
<td>9.28±2.3</td>
<td>9.1±1.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV (fl)</td>
<td>85.49±7.75</td>
<td>82.30±11.80</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH (pg)</td>
<td>27.10±3.14</td>
<td>27.71±6.90</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC (g/dl)</td>
<td>31.62±1.40</td>
<td>31.94±1.28</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateletcrit (%)</td>
<td>0.26±0.08</td>
<td>0.26±0.06</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>35.54±4.15</td>
<td>34.19±4.47</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW-SD</td>
<td>48.55±8.21</td>
<td>42.64±6.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW-CV</td>
<td>16.33±3.34</td>
<td>14.86±2.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDW</td>
<td>15.90±2.89</td>
<td>12.05±2.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Present study was a hospital based comparative analysis study done between first-trimester pregnant women with a history of recurrent pregnancy losses (cases) and without a history of pregnancy loss (controls) attending department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology SMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

In present study both groups were compared on the basis of age, religion, literacy, rural/urban, socioeconomic status, height, body weight and BMI (P>0.05 for each). There is no significant difference in the mean value of haemoglobin, total leukocyte count, hematocrit cases group when compared to control groups. Ahmet Uysal et al conducted a study showed that no statistically significant differences in haemoglobin, haematocrit and white blood cells count (WBC) between 2 groups(p>0.05, respectively).17

In present study, no statistically significant difference was noticed in platelecrit between 2 groups. Sumerya et al conducted a study showed that no statistically significant differences in plateletcrit between the two groups (p>0.05).18

It was observed in our study that means RDW-SD and RDW-CV values of cases group were higher i.e. 48.55±8.21 and 16.33±3.34 than controls group i.e. 42.64±6.63 and 16.33±3.34 respectively. Mean PDW values of cases group were higher i.e. 15.90+2.89 than control group i.e. 12.05+2.60. We observed that increased RDW and PDW was associated with recurrent pregnancy loss.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded from present study that thrombophilia has a significant role in the pathophysiology of recurrent pregnancy loss which leads to increase in RDW and PDW. Complete blood count is a simple test and can be
performed easily at primary health centre level and early identification of high risk cases is possible so that patient can be timely referred to higher centres and early pregnancy loss can be prevented.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to express my profound gratitude to all the participants for their co-operation and for their immense faith they reposed in me.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES
