

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20164038>

Original Research Article

To determine the efficacy of DIPSI as a method to screen GDM

Rashmi K., Anusha G. K.*

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Received: 26 October 2016

Revised: 01 November 2016

Accepted: 03 November 2016

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Anusha G. K.,

E-mail: dr.anushagk@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) is considered as a transient abnormality of glucose intolerance during pregnancy. Women with GDM and their children are at increased risk of future diabetes. The diagnosis of GDM gives us a unique opportunity in identifying individuals who will be benefited by early therapeutic intervention with diet, exercise and normalizing the weight to delay or possibly even prevent the onset of the disease. The objective of the study was to detect efficacy of Diabetes In Pregnancy Study Group of India (DIPSI) recommended 75g oral glucose challenge test in screening and diagnosis of GDM.

Methods: 200 pregnant women attending antenatal clinic during 24-28 weeks of pregnancy were subjected to Diabetes In Pregnancy Study Group of India (DIPSI) recommended 75g oral glucose challenge test (OGCT) as a method to screen and diagnose GDM. All patients were given 75grams of glucose irrespective of the meal and 2 hour venous blood sample was collected. Blood glucose was tested by GOD-POD (glucose oxidase peroxidase) method. Diagnosis of impaired glucose tolerance was made when plasma glucose of ≥ 120 -140mg/dl and diagnosis of GDM was made when the plasma glucose of >140 mg/dl. All of them were followed up till delivery. Descriptive statistics was used to calculate the mean and standard deviation to draw the conclusion of this study.

Results: Out of the 200 women subjected to DIPSI recommended 75grams of OGCT 38%, 40% and 22% had normal, impaired and abnormal OGTT results, respectively. Age ≥ 25 yrs, family history of diabetes and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² are the commonest risk factors for GDM in the study group.

Conclusions: Our study supports the concept of universal screening and the use of DIPSI recommended 75g OGCT for screening and diagnosis of GDM.

Keywords: DIPSI, GDM, OGCT

INTRODUCTION

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) is defined as "carbohydrate intolerance of variable severity that is first diagnosed during present pregnancy. It does not exclude the possibility that glucose intolerance may have antedated the pregnancy".^{1,2} Pregnancy is associated with increasing insulin resistance that begins in mid-pregnancy and progresses through the third trimester. Insulin resistance is due to the insulin - desensitising effects of placental hormones.³ GDM is associated with adverse maternal and neonatal complications such as the peripartum risks of macrosomia, hyperbilirubinemia,

operative delivery, shoulder dystocia and birth trauma and the higher incidence of childhood obesity and long term risk of type2 diabetes in mother and offspring.⁴

The most common risk factors of GDM are obesity, older maternal age, past history of GDM, strong family history of diabetes, member of an ethnic group with a high prevalence of T2DM, polycystic ovary syndrome.⁵ Diabetes Mellitus is the most common medical disorder of pregnancy, although the prevalence of GDM is usually reported as 2 to 5% of pregnant women, it can be as high as 14% in high risk groups.^{1,2} There is an increasing trend of Diabetes noted globally. India is considered as the

world diabetes capital and Indians are the vulnerable sufferers of this disease.⁶ As such Universal screening during pregnancy have become important in India. For this we need a simple procedure which is economical and feasible.

Over decades many workshops and conferences have been held to device proper testing protocols to diagnose GDM. The controversy concerning optimal strategy still continues for the detection and diagnosis of GDM and this has lead to a wide variance in screening practices worldwide. Various screening guidelines have been introduced depending upon the suitability of the test to the population, characteristics, cost and the screening accuracy.

In the Indian context, Dr. Seshiah proposed that screening is essential in all pregnant women as the Indian women have 11 fold increased risk of developing glucose intolerance during pregnancy. The current guidelines by DIPSI 2014 recommended that testing by 75g 2hr oral glucose challenge test need not be done in fasting state and is done irrespective of the last meal.⁷ This prospective study was undertaken to ascertain the validity of the DIPSI criterion in diagnosing GDM.

METHODS

This was a prospective observational study conducted on a total number of 200 pregnant women in the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology for a period of 2 years. All pregnant women attending antenatal clinic during 24-28 weeks of pregnancy were included in the study; excluding those who were pre-gestational diabetics. The procedure of the study was explained and required consent for the study was taken. A detailed history and examination was undertaken and all relevant data was obtained. FBS and HbA1C blood tests were done at the initial antenatal visit to rule of women with pre-existing diabetes mellitus. All patients were given 75grams of glucose irrespective of the meal and 2 hour venous blood sample was collected. Blood glucose was tested by GOD-POD (glucose oxidase peroxidase) method. Diagnosis of impaired glucose tolerance was made when plasma glucose of ≥ 120 -140mg/dL and diagnosis of GDM was made when the plasma glucose of >140 mg/dL. Women diagnosed as GDM were managed appropriately. All of them were followed up until delivery. Descriptive statistics was used to calculate the mean and standard deviation to draw the conclusion of this study.

RESULTS

A total of 200 antenatal pregnant women aged between 19 to 35 years comprising of 120 multigravida and 80 primigravida were studied. These women were subjected to DIPSI recommended 75grams of OGCT at 24-28weeks of gestation and were followed up until delivery. The mean age of study population was 23.65 ± 3.61 years. Of the 200 women subjected to DIPSI

recommended 75grams of OGTT 38 %, 40% and 22 % had normal, impaired and abnormal OGCT results, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of study sample based on the OGCT values (n=200).

Final diagnosis	DIPSI method		p value
	No	%	
Normal	76	38	<0.001**
Impaired GT	80	40	<0.001**
Deranged/abnormal	44	22	0.220
Total	200	100	-

p<0.001**, significant, Fisher Exact test

Out of 200 patients in DIPSI study group 38% had no GDM, whereas 22% had abnormal glucose tolerance and 40 % had impaired glucose challenge test result. This test showed strongly significant p value of <0.001. This proves that DIPSI method detected more number of cases with GDM.

The commonest risk factors for GDM in the study group are age ≥ 25 yrs (35%), family history of diabetes (34%) and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² (47%). This showed that 58% had risk factors for GDM in the present pregnancy and 42 % did not have any risk factors in the present pregnant. Among the 62 % women with abnormal glucose challenge test, 38% were treated with diet and 24% required insulin. Most common mode of delivery observed in the study population was normal delivery and Caesarean delivery accounting to 47%, with common indication being previous Caesarean delivery and foetal distress, 2% had instrumental delivery due to 2nd stage complications and 2% had preterm deliveries due to PROM. Maximum weight of babies was between 2.5-3.5kg group-70%, 21% was observed in 1.5-2.4kg group and 9% was observed in >3.5 kg group.

DISCUSSION

In ancient times Diabetes in pregnancy was considered a complication of pregnancy, incompatible with life, and therefore, pregnancy was not allowed in women with diabetes.⁸ Clinical recognition of GDM is important because therapy, including diet, insulin when indicated and antepartum foetal surveillance can reduce the perinatal morbidity and mortality. With the advent of experimentation and groundwork in this field many developments have come up in managing Diabetes in pregnancy. Yet there is no universal agreement on the screening strategies and diagnostic criteria of GDM.⁹

The Diabetes in Pregnancy Study group India (DIPSI) has reported practice guidelines for GDM in the Indian environment. Due to high prevalence, screening is essential for all Indian pregnant women. DIPSI guideline is "a one step procedure with a single glycemic value", to diagnose GDM in the community. It is ideal for resource-challenged settings like India. It is a single step method which is easier and convenient to patients as they do not

need to fast. According to the DIPSI group the rationale of performing this type of OGTT is that after a meal, a normal glucose tolerant woman would be able to maintain euglycemia despite the glucose challenge due to a brisk and adequate insulin release. In the case of a pregnant woman who has impaired insulin secretion, she will not be able to maintain euglycemia after a meal and there will be a further increase in plasma glucose value after an OGTT. This cascading effect is advantageous and would not result in false positive diagnosis of GDM.¹⁰

In this study among the 200 healthy pregnant women followed up during pregnancy 38 %, 40% and 22 % had normal, impaired and abnormal OGCT results respectively with DIPSI recommended 75grams of OGCT irrespective of the last meal taken. Our study result is comparable to V. Bajaji et al study.⁶ In Seshiah et al study it was observed that age ≥ 25 yrs, BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² and family history of diabetes were significantly associated with the prevalence of GDM.⁷ Our study can be compared with Seshiah et al for prevalence of risk factors which proves that majority of Asian women are at a high risk to develop GDM. The trend of decline in perinatal and neonatal outcome noted in the study may reflect improvement in general health awareness and better availability and utilization of health care facilities.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of GDM is increasing from 2% in 1982 to 16.55% in 2001 with previously followed criteria for diagnosis of GDM, whereas using DIPSI 2010 for diagnosis of GDM, we were able to detect GDM among 57% of antenatal mother showing the efficacy of DIPSI on higher side. Amongst risk factors, family history of diabetes mellitus, age and BMI are the most important risk factors for increasing prevalence.¹⁰ Our study supports the concept of universal screening and the use of 75g oral glucose challenge test with a cut-off value of 120-140 mg/dl for impaired result and ≥ 140 mg/dl for deranged or abnormal result for glucose intolerance and screening and diagnosis of GDM by the DIPSI method which is practical and cost effective approach in the diagnosis of GDM.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We express our heartfelt thanks to the Dean and Director, all the staff and postgraduate colleagues of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and all the pregnant mothers who have supported us for the study.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. ACOG Practice Bulletin, Clinical management guidelines for obstetrician-gynaecologists. Number 30, (replaces technical bulletin number 200, December 1994) Gestational diabetes. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2001;98(3):525-38.
2. Berger H. Screening for gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Obstet Gynaecol Canada*. 2002;24(11):894-912.
3. Udipi VB. Effectiveness of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group India (DIPSI) Diagnostic Criterion in Detecting Gestational. *Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research*. 2013;2(6):614-8.
4. Vambergue, Brody SC, Harris R, Lohr. Diabetes Mellitus-a Pilot Study in a Rural Population. Screening of gestational diabetes: a summary of the evidence for the U. S. Preventive Series Task Force. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;101(2):380-92.
5. Global Guideline- Pregnancy and Diabetes, IDF Guidelines. 2009.
6. Balaji V, Balaji M, Anjalakshi C, Cynthia A, Arthi T, Seshiah V. Diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus in Asian-Indian women. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;15(3):187-90.
7. Seshiah V, Balaji V, Balaji MS, Paneerselvam A, Arthi T, Thamizharasi M, et al, Article J Assoc Physicians India. 2008;56:329-33. (Accessed online on 24/4/12 at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18700640).
8. Hadden DR. A Historical Perspective on Gestational Diabetes. From the Fourth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1998;21(2):B3-4.
9. Gupta S. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM): We Need to Revise the Standard Criteria for Diagnosis: Indian Experience. Australian Diabetes in Pregnancy Group (ADIP) meet: Sydney; 2004.
10. Seshiah V. Fifth national conference of diabetes in pregnancy study group in India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2010;58:329-30.
11. Purandare CN. Universal screening for gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM): mandatory. *J Obstet Gynecol India*. 2012;62:141-3.

Cite this article as: Rashmi K, Anusha GK. To determine the efficacy of DIPSI as a method to screen GDM. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol* 2016;5:4193-5.