Obstetrical vacuum extraction practice in Senegal: knowledge, attitude and practices
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ABSTRACT

Background: Assess knowledge, attitudes and practices of healthcare providers in the three biggest regions in Senegal, appreciate their level of training and determine the frequency of the practice of vacuum extraction in health facilities.

Methods: This was a prospective study conducted over 1 year from January 1 to December 31, 2015 with a survey through interviews using a semi-structured questionnaire with open or closed questions, single or multiple-choice. Were included in the study providers practicing vacuum extractor, officiating in Dakar, Thies and Saint-Louis in the private and/or public sector who agreed to participate in the study. Data were captured and analyzed using File Maker Pro version 12 Inc*, then SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 21.0.

Results: Out of 250 healthcare providers, 223 accepted to answer the questionnaire leading to an acceptance rate of 89.2%. 142 healthcare providers (63.7%) were trained in vacuum extraction. A proportion of 62.3% of providers knew the indications of vacuum extraction. For contraindications, only 34.5% of providers were able to cite one of them. Complications of vacuum extraction were known to 58.7% of our providers. There was a statistically significant difference between doctors and the others (midwives, nurses) in the knowledge of indications, contraindications and complications of vacuum extraction. The only discriminatory parameter leading to this difference was initial and continuous training in vacuum extraction.

Conclusions: It is important to introduce obstetric vacuum training in the gynaecology and obstetrics program for medical students and midwifery training schools, reinforce this training using simulators, include the practice of vacuum extraction in the internship objectives of medical students and midwives and evaluate them regularly.
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INTRODUCTION

A foetal vacuum extractor is a device used to facilitate delivery in term or near term infants. The device enables traction to be applied to the foetal head, in the birth canal, by means of a suction cup that is powered by an external vacuum source. Vacuum extractor is the 6th function of Emergency Obstetric and Neonatal Care (EmONC) and its application requires a good knowledge of obstetrical mechanics. It is the most used instrumental extraction in the world.

In the literature, many articles have been interested in vacuum extractor with a more didactic than practical approach, focusing more on complications, but also comparing them with other types of instrumental extractions. However, although its practice is subject to different considerations depending on schools, the
frequency of its use is increasing in the world, especially in the industrialized countries.

In Africa, its use deserves to be improved. In Senegal, a survey carried out between 2012 and 2013 in all health facilities in the country on the availability of EmONC functions showed that vacuum extraction was less used (4.8%).

In this way, we decided to assess the knowledge, attitudes and practices of healthcare providers in the three biggest regions in Senegal (Dakar, Thies and Saint-Louis), appreciate their level of training and determine the frequency of the practice of vacuum extraction in the different health facilities.

METHODS

This was a prospective study conducted over 1 year from January 1 to December 31, 2015 with a survey through interviews using a semi-structured questionnaire with open or closed questions, single or multiple-choice. An investigator administered the questionnaire. The interviews lasted an average of ten (10) minutes and collected socio-demographic profile of the respondent, training received (initial and continuing), knowledge of indications, contraindications and complications of vacuum extractor and level of practice of obstetric vacuum.

RESULTS

Out of 250 healthcare providers, 223 accepted to answer the questionnaire leading to an acceptance rate of 89.2%. These providers mainly worked in health centres, hospitals and private clinics. Data from 25 centres were collected including 8 hospitals, 9 health centres and 8 clinics. The average of deliveries was 2221 for health centres with 0.3% instrumental vaginal deliveries; 3098.9 for hospitals with 0.97% of instrumental vaginal deliveries; 412 for clinics with 4.4% of instrumental vaginal deliveries.

142 healthcare providers (63.7%) were trained in vacuum extraction during their study while only 66 providers (29.6%) performed vacuum extractions during their study. For continuing learning, a quarter of the sample (57 providers, 25.6%) participated in a practical vacuum extractor-training course.

A proportion of 62.3% of providers knew the indications of vacuum extraction. For contraindications, only 34.5% of providers were able to cite one of them. Complications of vacuum extraction were known to 58.7% of our providers.

There was a statistically significant difference between doctors and the others (midwives, nurses) in the knowledge of indications, contraindications and complications of the vacuum extractions as shown in the Table 1. The only discriminatory parameter leading to this difference was initial and continuous training in vacuum extractor. 83.9% of healthcare providers favoured the teaching of vacuum extraction to all healthcare providers and 92.8% felt that vacuum extractor should not be used exclusively by obstetrician. As for safety, 75.8% of providers did not consider vacuum extraction as a dangerous instrument. No provider was able to specify the suction cup model available in its health facility. The lack of practice was mainly due to two reasons: lack of vacuum extractor (49.8%) and lack of training (52.5%).

DISCUSSION

A study carried out between 2012 and 2013 throughout Senegal showed that only 15.8% of providers had undergone training in vacuum extraction. In health centres and private clinics, forceps rate was higher, unlike hospitals where the rate of vacuum extraction predominated.

A study in Latin America and the Caribbean found that in 2003, vacuum extraction was unknown to 74% of health care providers (midwife, obstetrician) and that this mode of delivery was not taught by 52% of providers from the 111 countries surveyed. On the other hand, the situation

---

**Table 1: Distribution of healthcare providers according to knowledge of indications, contraindications and complications of vacuum extractor.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Doctors</th>
<th>Midwives and others</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications</td>
<td>50 (89.3)</td>
<td>6 (10.7)</td>
<td>89 (53.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraindications</td>
<td>38 (67.9)</td>
<td>18 (32.1)</td>
<td>39 (23.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>52 (92.9)</td>
<td>4 (7.1)</td>
<td>79 (47.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the United States has changed rapidly. Indeed, as early as 1996, Bofill reported that in the United States, 25% of students were not trained in the use of the vacuum extraction.\textsuperscript{5} In 2007, the situation was reversed: 80% of practitioners taught vacuum extraction and only one third taught the forceps.\textsuperscript{6}

Instrumental vaginal delivery is a part of the arsenal of skills that every obstetrician must possess. During our survey, vacuum extraction was only used by 18.4% of healthcare providers. This is justified on the one hand by the lack of competence of our practitioners (54.7%) and on the other hand the lack of equipment because out of the 25 centres, only seven facilities had vacuum extraction. It is important to introduce obstetric vacuum training in the Gynaecology and Obstetrics program for medical students and midwifery training schools, reinforce this training using simulators, include the practice of vacuum extraction in the internship objectives of medical students and midwives and evaluate them regularly. On the other hand, it is essential to ensure quality training of physicians specializing in Gynaecology and Obstetrics in the practice of the vacuum extraction and ensure the continuing training of practicing obstetricians and midwives in this practice by regularly organizing training seminars.

CONCLUSION

It is important to introduce obstetric vacuum training in the gynaecology and obstetrics program for medical students and midwifery training schools, reinforce this training using simulators, include the practice of vacuum extraction in the internship objectives of medical students and midwives and evaluate them regularly.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES
