Prediction of preterm delivery with a novel bedside test
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Preterm birth occurs in 7 to 12% of all deliveries but account for 85% of perinatal morbidity and mortality. Hence, there is a need for a reliable test to predict the onset of preterm labour. One such test is detection of phosphorylated insulin like growth factor binding protein 1 (phIGFBP-1) in cervical secretion with a simple bedside kit that is recently commercialized Actim Partus kit.

**Methods:** This was a prospective study carried out at a tertiary hospital over a period of 2 years from October 2006 to November 2008. Women between 28 to 37 weeks with intact fetal membranes, who presented with threatened preterm labour were included and followed up till delivery. Time interval between test and delivery, weeks of gestation at the time of delivery, labour and delivery details as well as neonatal outcome were documented. Diagnostic accuracy of the test was determined by sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value.

**Results:** It was found that this test was extremely sensitive in prediction of preterm delivery and negative test results implied low chance of preterm delivery as in the patients with positive test result the average time of prolongation of pregnancy was less than 24 hours and in patients with negative test result it was more than 7 days which was statistically significant (P value = 0.001). The need for NICU and perinatal morbidity was significantly less in patients with negative test result.

**Conclusions:** There is a role of detection of cervical IGFBP-1 test in the management of women presenting with suspected preterm labour which allows us to focus on patients who are more likely to deliver preterm and also to reduce perinatal mortality and morbidity.
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INTRODUCTION

Preterm labour refers to the onset of labour after fetal viability but before 37 completed weeks of gestation. The diagnostic criteria are onset of increasingly frequent and painful uterine contractions (at least 4 contractions per 20 minutes) with progressive effacement and dilatation of cervix i.e. 80% cervical effacement and at least 2 cm dilatation (or cervical length <1cm). Threatened preterm labour may be diagnosed with documented uterine activity but no cervical changes. Preterm births occur in 6-11% of all deliveries but account for over 85% of perinatal morbidity and mortality.¹ The morbidity, mortality and economic burden of these cases are highest at lower gestational ages and even in babies that survive, there is high risk of short and long term morbidity like respiratory distress syndrome, necrotizing enterocolitis, intracranial hemorrhage, convulsion, sepsisemia, but cerebral palsy, neuro-developmental disorders, pulmonary, visual and hearing disorders cause severe
disability.\textsuperscript{2,3} Diagnosis of patients in preterm labour is a challenge as more than 60% of patients with false diagnosis deliver at term without any treatment.\textsuperscript{4}

Prediction of preterm labour has dominated research efforts for the past two decades or more. Regular cervical length assessment both clinically and by ultrasonography, use of biochemical markers such as placental corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH) and its binding protein, salivary estriol, inflammatory cytokines and prostaglandins, fetal fibronectin, and cervical ferritin have all been evaluated in the hope of obtaining a reliable predictor with high sensitivity and high negative predictive value, in order to indigenously manage patients at increased risk of preterm delivery. However, no single scoring system has been found to be a reliable tool till date. Hence, there is a need for a reliable test to predict the onset of preterm labour, identify those at risk and intervene early to prevent the preterm onset of labour as well as to avoid unnecessary hospital admission and use of tocolytic therapy.

One such test is the detection of phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor binding protein -1 (phIGFBP-1) in cervical secretion. Fetal membranes begin to detach from the decidua parietalis prior to the onset of labour at term. phIGFBP-1 present in the decidua, leaks into the cervical secretions. Hence, theoretically the risk of preterm labour can be determined by detection of phIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions prior to term.

The detection of phIGFBP-1 in the cervical secretions of women presenting with preterm labour has been shown to be associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery. A bedside test-kit for phIGFBP-1 has been developed and is commercially available under the trade name Actim Partus (MedixBiochemica, Finland) and is marketed in India by Bharat Serums and Vaccines. In the present study, we evaluated efficacy of positive phIGFBP-1 bedside test in women with premature contractions in prediction of preterm labour.

This study was conducted to assess the efficacy of a bedside test kit for phIGFBP-1 in cervical secretion in prediction of preterm delivery in symptomatic patients. Secondary criteria were to correlate positive results with successful intervention to prolong pregnancy and improve delivery outcome.

METHODS

A prospective study was carried out at a tertiary hospital over a period of 2 years after taking approval from Institutional Ethics Committee. Women between 28 to 37 weeks of gestation with intact fetal membranes, who presented with threatened preterm labour (uterine contractions and vaginal discharge) were included. Exclusion criteria included women with preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), blood mixed cervical secretions which interferes with test results, inevitable preterm labour, iatrogenic preterm induction of labour due to severe PIH, IUGR, or nonreassuring fetal condition.

Thirty consecutive women fulfilling the inclusion criteria were explained the procedure of the study and informed consent was taken. Detailed history including previous menstrual cycles, last menstrual period, obstetric history, medical and surgical illness, if any were noted. Abdominal examination was done for weeks of gestation, presentation of fetus and frequency of uterine contractions. Absence of local infection and amniotic fluid leak was confirmed on per speculum examination and the test for detection of phIGFBP1 was performed with Actim Partus kit.

Actim Partus is a commercially available one step immuno-enzymatic dipstick test for the detection of presence of phIGFBP1 in cervical secretion by monoclonal antibody 6303 as the detecting antibody specific for the ph IGFBP-1 with threshold of 10 µg/L.

The kit contains sterile Dacron swab, test tube with 0.5 ml of extraction buffer solution and test dipstick. Specimen of cervical secretion was collected by insertion of sterile Dacron swab in the cervical canal just beyond the external os for 10 to 15 seconds. Once the cervical secretions were absorbed on the Dacron swab, it was dipped into the extraction buffer solution and swirled vigorously for 10 seconds.

The test dipstick was then dipped in the solution and the liquid front was seen over the indicator part of the dipstick. The dipstick was removed from the solution and kept horizontally for 5 minutes and the test result read at the end of 5 minutes. The test was positive if two blue lines appeared (control and result) and negative if only one blue line was seen, similar to other dipstick tests like urine pregnancy test. A strong positive result corresponds with sample extract that contains 30µg/L or more of phIGFBP-1. A weak positive result corresponds to a level of 10-30µg/L of phosphorylated IGFBP-1 in the cervical secretions as per manufacturers monogram.\textsuperscript{5}

All the patients were admitted and complete blood count, routine examination of urine, high vaginal swab culture and sensitivity were done to determine etiological causes of preterm labour. Management was individualized, as tocolytics and steroids were given to patients with less than 34 weeks of gestation.

All recruited patients were followed up till delivery. Time interval between test and delivery, weeks of gestation at the time of delivery, labour and delivery details, mode of delivery and neonatal outcome i.e. birth weight, need for NICU care, perinatal morbidity and mortality were documented. Diagnostic accuracy of the test was determined by sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value.
Statistical analysis

The data was analysed statistically using SPSS and Microsoft excel 2007. Enrolled women were analysed in two groups, less and more than 34 weeks of gestation as well as test to delivery interval was analysed for its significance in both positive and negative ph IGFBP -1 test results, using Chi square test. P value of <0.05 has been considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of thirty women were recruited for the study. The average age of enrolled women was 25 years (range19 to 35 years) and BMI was within normal limits.

Fourteen women (46.66%) tested positive for phIGFBP -1 while sixteen women (53.33%) tested negative. The mean gestational age was similar in both the groups (32 vs 32.5 weeks). Thirteen women in present study were primigravida and seventeen were multigravida. Risk factors for preterm delivery in all these women were studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Delivered preterm</th>
<th>n %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal infection</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic bacteriuria (UTI)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous preterm delivery</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute medical problems (fever)</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>4 (13.3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyhydromnios</td>
<td>2 (6.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actim Partus positive result</td>
<td>14 (46.7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Risk factors for preterm delivery.

Risk factors were present in 25 women (15 of them had more than one risk factor). Vaginal infection (n=10), asymptomatic bacteriuria (n=6) were most common alongwith positive Actim Partus test results (n=14) (Table 1). Preterm delivery was most frequent in women with positive Actim Partus results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test results</th>
<th>Gestational age at delivery</th>
<th>Test to delivery interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 34 weeks</td>
<td>More than 34 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>10 (71%)</td>
<td>4 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
<td>12 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.011 (significant)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation of test results with preterm delivery.

Table 2 shows correlation of pIGFBP 1 test results and gestational age at delivery as well as test to delivery interval. Fourteen women tested positive for phIGFBP 1; of these 10 delivered before 34 weeks and four between 34 to 36 weeks. Sixteen women tested negative wherein four delivered before 34 weeks and twelve delivered between 34 to 37 weeks (P value = 0.011). The interval between conducting the test to delivery was less than 48 hours in eleven women who tested positive versus two who tested negative; was less than 7 days in 2 vs 3 and more than 7 days in 1 vs 10 women respectively (P value = 0.001) (Table 2). One patient with negative result absconded so could not obtain the delivery details.

The test was extremely sensitive in predicting preterm labour. 78.6% of patients with a positive test delivered within 48 hours, and all patients delivered within 14 days (prior to 36 weeks). The test was equally if not more useful when the result was negative as it is highly specific. 66% of patients with a negative test did not deliver preterm up to 7 days and 75% of them delivered after 34 weeks. Thus, a negative test result implies a low chance of preterm delivery. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value were as shown in the Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test to delivery interval</th>
<th>48 hours</th>
<th>7 days</th>
<th>14 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Efficacy of the test.

In the patients with positive Actim Partus test result, the average time of prolongation of pregnancy was less than 24 hours and in patients with negative test result it was more than 7 days. The average birth weight was 1.5-2 kg in positive and 2.5-3 kg in negative result group. The need for NICU and perinatal morbidity was significantly less in patients with negative test result. Common causes of neonatal morbidity were acute respiratory distress syndrome followed by hypothermia and septicemia. Twelve babies transferred to NICU were from positive test group; of these 4 had hypothermia and 2 each for acute respiratory distress syndrome and necrotizing
enterocolitis, 3 had septicemia and 1 intracranial hemorrhage. In the negative test group, only 3 had acute respiratory distress and 1 had septicemia. These results are summarized in Table 4.

### Table 4: Correlation of test and pregnancy outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test result</th>
<th>Total no</th>
<th>High risk factor</th>
<th>Average time of prolongation of pregnancy</th>
<th>Average birth weight</th>
<th>Average Gestational Age at delivery</th>
<th>Need for NICU</th>
<th>Overall morbidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Less than 24 hours</td>
<td>1.5-2 kg</td>
<td>32-34 weeks</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>16 (absconded)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>More than 14 days</td>
<td>2.5 -3 kg</td>
<td>34-36 weeks</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION

An accurate diagnosis of preterm labour is clinically difficult. Only about 20% of women presenting with signs and symptoms of preterm labour would actually deliver preterm. Various tools have been devised for the identification of women at risk of preterm delivery to decrease the unnecessary interventions for patients with symptoms of preterm labour and to identify patients who might benefit from aggressive therapy including tocolysis, corticosteroids, and intra-uterine transfer to a tertiary care facility.

Naoko Kozuki et al reported that early and late maternal age is an important risk factor for preterm labour. In present study 10% (n=3) were less than 20 years, 10% (n=3) were more than 30 years age and none more than 35 years. Given a baseline risk of 10-12%, the risk of recurrent preterm birth after 1, 2, and 3 consecutive preterm births may be increased to approximately 15%, 30%, and 45%, respectively.

In present study 13% patients had history of preterm deliveries and 50% them delivered before term. Most studies recommend considering a urine culture with more than 10^5 CFU/mL as indicative of the presence of urinary tract infection in preterm labour women. Maternal GrB Streptococi bacteria is considered a marker for genital tract colonization which poses a significant risk of preterm rupture of the membranes, premature delivery and early-onset severe neonatal infection however some studies failed to prove the association.

In present study urinary tract infection was a common risk factor present in 20% of patients with preterm labour. Nair reported statistically significant increased risk of preterm delivery among anemic women, 27.9% preterm birth in anemic group and 7.2% in non-anemic group. Hussein Kidanto reported an incidence of preterm labour of 18% in anemic patients as compared to 12% in non-anemic control. In present study, anemia was present in 13.3% (n=4) of patients of which 50 % delivered preterm.

There have been numerous studies on the use of insulin like growth factor binding protein IGFBP in the last ten years in India and other countries. Present study results correlate well with many of them. In present study, the sensitivity, specificity, positive value and negative predictive values of the test for estimation for delivery within 1 week of test were 68.4%, 90%, 92.9%, 60%, respectively. The test was found to be more specific than sensitive in prediction of preterm labour.

### Table 5: Comparison of various studies of Actim partus results for prediction of imminent delivery with 7 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Positive predictive value (%)</th>
<th>Negative predictive value (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ting</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanir</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brik spinelli</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Askar AE</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shikha Singh</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>85.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two comparative studies show equivalent efficacy of ph IGFBP 1 versus fetal fibronectin and cervical length. The study by Riboni F was conducted in 2011 for prediction of preterm delivery among women with threatened preterm labour using fetal fibronectin and phosphorylated IGFBP- 1 in cervical secretion.
Study included 210 patients between 24-34 weeks of gestation and prevalence of preterm delivery within one week was analysed. They concluded that phosphorylated IGFBP-1 test may be better than the fetal fibronectin test in predicting preterm delivery before 34 weeks of gestation as the logistic regression of ph IGFBP-1 was statistically significant in predicting preterm delivery with odds ratio of 10.08.

Rolnik DL in 2013 conducted a study to investigate usefulness of measurement of cervical length and ph IGFBP-1 sequentially in prediction of preterm delivery. They concluded that both the tests were able to predict preterm delivery and sequential combination of both tests showed a high sensitivity and high negative predictive value. Present study shows that cervical detection of phosphorylated IGFBP-1 by immunochromotography is a rapid and easily applicable test that highly anticipates preterm delivery in patients at risk. Present study results also correlate favorably with published studies in the literature.

CONCLUSION

The limitation of the present study was that the sample size was small. However, our results show that there is a role for cervical IGFBP-1 test in the management of women presenting with suspected preterm labour; it may replace cervical ultrasonography and fetal fibronectin in the future or at least serve as a useful adjunct to these tests.

Thus, it will allow more focused management of women who are more likely to deliver preterm and perhaps avoid unnecessary admissions and treatment, to contain health care costs.
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