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ABSTRACT

Background: The CS epidemic is a reason for immediate concern and there is increase in repeat CS as well these days. The secondary increase in repeat CS delivery has been associated with increase in CS complications particularly increase in complications associated with abnormal placentaion. We aim to compare the change in rate of abnormal placentation i.e. placenta previa, placenta percreta and accreta and maternal and fetal outcomes associated with two or more caesarean sections.

Methods: Women with previous two LSCS were studied from May 2003-May 2004 and again from May 2013- May 2014. It is a comparative analysis of two prospective observational studies where the rate of abnormal placentation and maternal and fetal outcomes were compared after a period of ten years in the same tertiary care institute.

Results: 104 women (0.99% of total confinements) had previous two or more LSCS from May 2003-04 (Group 1), whereas 60 such women (0.75% of total confinements) were there from May 2013-14 (Group 2). There was 2.88% incidence of abnormal placentation in Group 1 as compared to 18.33% in Group 2. The modality of management in Group 1 for adherent placenta was obstetric hysterectomy whereas conservative approach was increasingly used in Group 2. There were no maternal or neonatal deaths.

Conclusions: Morbidly adherent placenta although on the increase can be increasingly tackled by conservative means. A multi-disciplinary approach is imperative to reduce maternal morbidity and mortality.
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INTRODUCTION

The increase in caesarean section is a global phenomenon and is accepted amongst one of many advances in obstetrics practise. From the times when child birth was just another routine event in a woman’s life to present day when pregnancy requires immediate obstetrician attention, obstetrics has travelled a long way. The rise in caesarean section rate has led to increase in complications which have a negative impact on maternal and child health.

With the advent of safety of lower segment caesarean section, availability of blood and blood products, powerful and effective antibiotics, evolution in anaesthetic proficiency, improvement in surgical techniques, recognition of fetus as a patient, advances in fetal surveillance and broadening of indications of caesarean section, caesarean section have characterised the evolution of this procedure in 21st century. With the increase in primary caesarean section there is increase in repeat caesarean section. In India it ranges from 8.48 to 41.9%. The secondary increase in caesarean section have been associated with increase in obstetric complications including abnormal placentation.
METHODS
This is a comparative analysis of two prospective observational studies which were conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology in Seth GS Medical College and KEM Hospital in the year 2003-04 and 2013-14. Patients with two or more previous CS irrespective of parity index, gestational age, previous vaginal delivery or vaginal birth after CS, associated medical or surgical problem, from antenatal outpatient department and emergency patients were included in the study.

A questionnaire was developed that included detailed information regarding maternal age, parity, gestational age, registration status, menstrual and obstetrics history, course of present pregnancy, any medical or surgical history including curettage or myomectomy. Examination findings and relevant investigations including USG obstetrics, with special mention on placental localisation, if any abnormal placentation like placenta previa or adherent placenta then USG Colour Doppler and MRI findings were documented. Patient diagnosed with the help of radiological investigation like USG / Colour Doppler / MRI or confirmed intraoperative, were taken as abnormal placentation which included placenta previa, morbidly adherent placenta like placenta accreta, placenta increta and placenta percreta.

Vascular interventional radiology procedure like balloon placement and uterine/internal iliac artery embolization if done was documented. Any adjuvant therapy like injection methotrexate given and Beta-hCG levels in such cases was followed up till B-hCG reached the threshold value or patient expelled out the placenta or underwent any surgical intervention. Patients were followed up till discharge from hospital except in case of retained placenta where the follow up was till B-hCG level reached the threshold value, or patient expelled out the placenta or underwent any surgical intervention.

Statistical tool used was SPSS 16.0 version. Descriptive data collected was analysed by mean and standard deviation proportions and the inferential data was by Pearson’s Chi Square test and Fischer’s exact test.

RESULTS

Table 1: The distribution of cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003-04 (Group 1)</th>
<th>2013-14 (Group 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total deliveries</td>
<td>10,500</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of previous two LSCS</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This study is a prospective comparative analysis of abnormal placentation in patients with previous two caesarean sections admitted to a tertiary care centre in two time periods: From May 2003 to May 2004 and From May 2013 to May 2014. The distribution of cases is shown in Table 1.

There were 3 (2.88%) placenta previa in the first group out of which 1 (0.96%) was adherent. There were total of 11 abnormal placentation cases in group 2. Total no of placenta previa were 8 and of these 6 (10%) placenta previa had no adherence, remaining 2 were adherent and 3 more adherent placentas were normally situated in the upper segment. So 5 (8.3%) cases of adherent placenta were found. The type of adherence is tabulated in Table 2 below.

Table 2: The type of adherence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of adherence</th>
<th>2003-04 (Group 1)</th>
<th>2013-14 (Group 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal Placenta</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa without adherence</td>
<td>2 (1.92%)</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa with placenta percreta</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa with placenta increta</td>
<td>1 (0.96%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherent placenta without previa (placenta percreta)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All cases of placenta previa were detected antenatally in both the groups. In the first group, the diagnosis of adherent placenta was picked up on imaging while in the second group, one case of adherence could not be investigated as the patient presented in labour with severe antepartum haemorrhage and had to be operated immediately. Out of the remaining 4, 3 were picked up by imaging modalities (USG/ MRI/ Doppler) preoperatively. One case diagnosed as placenta previa on antenatal imaging was found to have associated adherence of placenta percreta intraoperative which was missed in the pre-operative imaging. The distribution of LSCS in both the groups with abnormal placentation is given in Table 3 below.

Table 3: The distribution of LSCS in both the groups with abnormal placentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Emergency LSCS</th>
<th>Elective LSCS</th>
<th>Term LSCS</th>
<th>Pre-term LSCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003-04 (Group 1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14 (Group 2)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even though all patients would have been sectioned electively, this did not happen as patients either started bleeding or went into labour before term as seen in Table 3.
Among cases of abnormal placentation in Group 1, in 2 cases (66.66%) placenta was completely removed while in Group 2, in 6 cases (54.5%) placenta could be completely removed. One case in Group 1 (33.33%) required obstetric hysterectomy for adherent placenta whereas two cases (18.18%) required it in Group 2. In the remaining 3 patients with adherent placenta in Group 2, placenta was kept in situ and post-operative methotrexate therapy was given along with serial B-HCG monitoring. Classical section was planned in these 3 cases. Cord was ligated at its insertion and no attempt was made to separate the placenta.

Among cases of abnormal placentation in Group 1, two cases (66.66%) required haemostatic sutures while 5 cases (45.45%) required haemostatic sutures in group 2. Out of the 5 cases in Group 2, all 5 required uterine artery ligation and 2 cases required both uterine and internal iliac ligation. Preoperative balloon placement was done in 3 cases in internal iliac artery and one case in uterine artery. Among cases of abnormal placentation in Group 1, 2 cases (66.66%) required blood transfusion while in Group 2, 9 (81.81%) required transfusion.

One neonate required NICU admission in group 1 with abnormal placentation, while 5 neonates required admission in group 2 with abnormal placentation.

The neonatal outcome in terms of birth weight in patients with abnormal placentation is tabulated in Table 4 below.

Table 4: The neonatal outcome in terms of birth weight in patients with abnormal placentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth weight (gms)</th>
<th>2003-04 (Group 1)</th>
<th>2013-14 (Group 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500-1999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2499</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500-2999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Our data compares 104 cases of women with previous two or more Caesarean section during 2003-04 with 60 women with previous two or more Caesarean section during 2013-14 for their placentation along with maternal and fetal outcomes. This study was a prospective comparative study in which entire antenatal course since first registration to delivery, radiological data, intraoperative and postoperative complications was available for records. Most of the similar studies were retrospective studies.

The higher incidence of abnormal placentation in group 2 of our study could be attributed to the fact that ours being a tertiary care centre, patients were referred to our institute as MRI and interventional radiology services were started and became freely available. The reason for decline in absolute number of women with previous two Caesarean section could be attributed to the fact that women had started opting for smaller families and the family planning services were better accepted.

In our study, whereas obstetric hysterectomy was the modality of treatment in group 1, interventional radiology procedure was done in 27.3% of patients of which preoperative internal iliac artery balloon placement was done in 18.1% of cases and uterine artery balloon placement in 9% of cases amongst the abnormal placentation in Group 2.

In our study amongst abnormal placentation cases, in group 1, 33.33% required obstetric hysterectomy while in group 2 only 18.18% required it, uterine artery ligation was done in 45.45% of patients and 18.2% required internal iliac ligation. As per Rashid M et al 25%, Nisenbalt V et al 11% and Robert M. Silver et al 22% required obstetrics hysterectomy.2,4 Our study showed higher rates compared to all the above studies, although the rates are falling due to advent of conservative techniques in management of adherent placenta. As per study by AF Hundley, 90% of women were successfully managed with conservative treatment using methotrexate therapy.5

In this study, mean birth weight was 2.230 kg in group 1 and 2.790 kg in group 2. This is similar to birth weights by Sobande A and Rashid M et al where mean birth weight were 2.972 kg and 2.966 kg respectively.2,6 Birth weights were lower compared to study by Macones GA and Cahill AG et al in which mean birth weight was 3.392kg and 3.046kg respectively.2,6 Lower birth weight can be explained by the fact that the patients in our country are constitutionally small as compared to their western counterparts along with anaemia, preeclampsia, abnormal placentation and multitude of social problems.

In our study, 33.33% neonates required NICU admission in group 1 whereas 45.45% required it in group 2. In study by Rashid M et al 4 % required resuscitation and 20% NICU admission.2

From this study we conclude that although the absolute number of women with previous two caesarean section has reduced due to better family planning services, the rate of abnormal placentation has increased. In this study due to lack of previous operative notes, or incomplete documentation in the previous operative notes we could not assess other concomitant factors responsible for abnormal placentation. Hence, details like type of closure, suture material and other significant intraoperative findings could not be studied. This calls for a detailed assessment of these cases for these factors to establish or refute a causative relationship with incidence of abnormal placentation.
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