A study on foetal outcome after diagnosis of oligohydramnios at term in tertiary care center
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ABSTRACT
Background: Oligohydramnios is associated with a variety of ominous pregnancy outcomes such as foetal distress, low birth weight, perinatal morbidity and increased incidence of Caesarean section. Objective of present study was to determine the outcome of maternal and foetal wellbeing after diagnosis of oligohydramnios at term pregnancy.

Methods: 50 pregnant women aged between 18 – 27 years were screened with history of oligohydramnios by ultrasound scanning after 37 completed weeks, compared with 50 controls with no oligohydramnios.

Results: The mean age of the study group was 22.7 years and of the control group was 22.4 years, respectively. Majority of the patients were primigravidae in both the groups. The mean gestational age was 39.5 weeks in study group and 39.4 in control group. The occurrence of non reactive NST was more in study group compared to the control group. The efficacy of AFI as a screening test to predict foetal distress is more significant with Positive Predictive Value of 57% and Negative Predictive Value of 80%. The mean birth weight was 2.5 kg in the study group compared to 2.75 kg in the control group which is statistically significant. 34 % of the newborns were admitted to NICU in study group compared to 14% in the control group.

Conclusions: In the presence of oligohydramnios, the occurrence of non-reactive NST, meconium stained liquor, development of foetal distress are very high.

Keywords: Amniotic fluid index, NST, Oligohydramnios

INTRODUCTION

Amniotic Fluid Index of less than 5 cm defines oligohydramnios as described by Phelan et al. Oligohydramnios is associated with a variety of ominous pregnancy outcomes such as foetal distress, low birth weight, perinatal morbidity and increased incidence of Caesarean section. Despite decades of investigations, the regulation of amniotic fluid volume and composition remains incompletely understood. This results in part from the complexities inherent in the amniotic fluid dynamics, an enigmatic interaction of several sites of amniotic fluid secretion and excretion. The source of amniotic fluid are maternal plasma, diffusion of extra cellular fluid through foetal skin, foetal urine and foetal lung secretions. The purpose of taking group of women with oligohydramnios at term pregnancy are because of etiology, management and outcome is different in late onset oligohydramnios compared to early onset oligohydramnios.

Numerous factors have been evaluated with respect to the effect of amniotic fluid Including inter observer and intra observer variation, transducer pressure, foetal movements, transducer type, number of gestation and foetal presentation.
Various methods have been described for ante partum and intrapartum foetal surveillance like NST, CST, VAST, BPP, Doppler velocimetry, FHR tracing, foetal stimulation test and foetal scalp pH estimation. This study is conducted to find out the value of oligohydramnios detected via Amniotic Fluid Index in determining perinatal outcome at term and Caesarean section rate in pregnancies beyond 37 weeks. The present study was prospective study carried out on 100 patients at Vanivilas Hospital, Bangalore from October 2015 to October 2016.

METHODS

This is a prospective case control study done from October 2015 to October, 2016 at BMCRI, VVH, Bengaluru. It consists of analysis of pregnancy outcome in 50 cases with diagnosis of oligohydramnios by ultrasound after 37 completed weeks of gestation compared with 50 controls with no oligohydramnios and matched for other variables like age, parity, gestational age and any pregnancy complications.

Inclusion criteria

- 37 completed weeks of gestation.
- Amniotic Fluid Index, <5cm.
- Intact membranes.
- Singleton pregnancy with cephalic presentation.

Exclusion criteria

- Gestational age less than 37 completed weeks
- Premature rupture of membranes.
- Associated foetal anomalies.
- Malpresentations.
- Multiple gestations.

Only those women who remembered their last date of menstrual period correctly with previous regular cycles and the gestational age calculated by clinical examination and ultrasound were corresponding, that is only good and excellent dates with 37 completed weeks were taken.

For all women, USG was done and AFI was calculated by four quadrant technique, NST was done for all patients. The management protocol was similar in both study group and control group. All were monitored by continuous electronic foetal heart rate monitoring in labour, each case was assessed as follows;

- Spontaneous/ Induced labour
- Mode of delivery
- Indication of Caesarean delivery
- Colour of liquor
- Placental calcification
- Cord round the neck
- APGAR score8 at 1 and 5 min

- Birth weight of new born
- Admission to NICU
- Perinatal morbidity and mortality

RESULTS

A larger proportion of women in both the groups of study (66%) and control (68%) are booked cases which shows a comparability with respect to the booking status.

**Table 1: Distribution according to the ANC booking status.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANC booking status</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. (n=50)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No. (n=50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booked cases</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbooked cases</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Distribution with respect to gravidity.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gravidity</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (n=50)</td>
<td>Number (n=50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st gravid</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd gravid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd gravid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th gravid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most women in both the study and control groups were nullipara followed by while next to follow were third gravidae in study group whereas second gravidae in the control group.

**Table 3: Distribution of women according to gestational age.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational age (in weeks)</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (n=50)</td>
<td>Number (n=50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the mean gestational age for study group is 39 weeks, that for study group is 39.5 weeks which depict almost matched groups.

**Figure 1: Distribution of AFI in the two groups.**
While the mean AFI in study group was found to be 3.4 cm, the same was 10.82 cm in the control group.

Figure 2: NST Pattern in both groups.

As apparent from Figure 2, the proportion of women with reactive NST was higher among the control group (74%) compared to those in control group (56%), whereas those with equivocal and nonreactive NST were higher in the study group (24%, 20% respectively) compared to the control group (18%, 8% respectively).

Table 4: Distribution of colour of the amniotic fluid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour of amniotic fluid</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. (n=50)</td>
<td>% No. (n=50)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meconium stained</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While a proportion of 52% women in the study group showed the presence of meconium stained liquor, the same in control group was significantly lesser at 26% (p <0.01).

Table 5: Distribution according to the type of labour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of labour</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. (n=50)</td>
<td>% No. (n=50)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induced</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the control group, the number of women with induced labour was 12 (24%), while those with spontaneous labour was 38 (76%) whereas the same in the study group was n = 23 (46%) and n = 27 (54%), the difference being statistically significant at p <0.03.

As apparent from Figure 3, while the women delivered by normal vaginal mode predominated in the control group (n = 36), the ones who delivered by Caesarean section mode (n = 21) predominated in the study group. The number women who delivered by the mode of forceps assisted delivery were relatively lesser at 5 and 3 for study and control group, respectively.

The number of women delivered through LSCS for the reason of foetal distress was higher in the study group (n = 19) while the number in the control group was only 9. Following the expected trend, the number of normal vaginal deliveries among the women in the control group is 36 while being only 14 in the study group.

Table 5: Distribution according to the parameter of “LSCS for foetal distress”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSCS for foetal distress</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal vaginal delivery</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As evident from Figure 4, 23.8% of babies born by LSCS had APGAR score <7 at 5 minutes after birth in the study group, as compared to 18.2% in the control group which point towards the untoward effect of the oligohydramnios during antenatal period.

Figure 4: Distribution of neonates born by LSCS according to APGAR score.

The mean birth weight in the study group was 2.58 kg whereas it was 2.77kg in the control group. The proportion of neonates having Low Birth Weight (LBW, i.e., birth weight <2.5 kg) was around 64% (n=32) in the
study group, whereas it was around 28% (n=14) in the control group.
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**Figure 5: Distribution of neonates according to birth weight.**

17 neonates were admitted to NICU in the study group, while the number was only 7 in the control group and this difference was found to be statistically significant (p <0.05).
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**Figure 6: Distribution of children according to admissions to NICU.**

**DISCUSSION**

This is a prospective case control study carried out at Vanivilas hospital, Bangalore Medical College and Research Centre, Bengaluru from Oct 2016 to 2017. The study group consisted of 50 pregnant women of more than 37 completed weeks of gestation, whereas in the control group, 37 completed weeks of gestation with oligohydramnios compared with 50 women having AFI more than 5cm. Other variables like age, parity, gestational age were matched in both groups. The mean age of study group and control group was 22.7 years and 22.4 years, respectively.

Most of them were primigravidae. While the mean gestational age was 39.5 weeks in study group and 39.4 weeks in study group, 32% of study group had antenatal complications like mild and severe pre-eclampsia, post term pregnancy and abruption of placenta.

The Amniotic Fluid Index was measured by four quadrants semi quantitative technique using ultrasound and those with AFI <5 cm were considered as oligohydramnios and those with AFI between 5 cm and 20 cm were considered normal. The mean AFI in study group was 3.44 cm and in control group it was 10.8 cm.

The induction of labour was more common in study group 46% than in control group 24%. This difference was statistically significant (p<0.03) which was consistent with several of the previous studies.4,9

44% of women in study group developed foetal distress, 38% of them were delivered by LSCS and 6% by forceps. The difference of intervention for foetal distress between two groups was not statistically significant.

The mean APGAR score at 1 min and 5 min were 7.28 and 7.46 respectively in study group whereas in control group it is 10% and 4%, respectively.

The mean birth weight was 2.5kg in study group and 2.75kg in control group. The difference in occurrence of low birth weight was statistically significant.

34% of neonates in study group was admitted to NICU compared to 14% of control group, which was statistically significant. These results were consistent with most of the similar studies.
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