Comparative study of transperineal and transvaginal sonography for localization of placenta in antepartum haemorrhage
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ABSTRACT

Background: Haemorrhage is one of the leading causes of maternal mortality and morbidity in world in pregnant patients. Patients with antepartum haemorrhage confirmation of location of placenta by sonography is must for management. Transvaginal sonography (TVS) has main disadvantage of need of penetration of vagina and provoking vaginal haemorrhage. It can also result in uterine contraction & requirement of special transducer. Transperineal sonography (TPS) is more convenient and safer means of imaging the cervix and lower uterine segment overcoming the short coming of transabdominal sonography and eliminating the risk associated with Transvaginal sonography. Thus present study was undertaken with a view to evaluate patients of antepartum haemorrhage by Transvaginal as well as by transperineal sonography to compare accuracy of transperineal with Transvaginal sonography.

Methods: Transvaginal probe was gently introduced for about 3-4 cm beyond the introitus. Distance between internal os and lower edge of placenta was measured. The diagnosis of placenta previa was made if placental edge was located within 5 cm of internal os. Transperineal sonography was performed with convex transducer. Bladder was kept empty. The transducer was positioned directly on perineum in sagittal orientation over the labia minora with center of transducer typically posterior to urethra and anterior to vaginal orifice and measurement taken.

Results: TPS diagnosed placenta previa in 31 cases, 30 of which had placenta previa. TPS negated placenta previa in 19 cases, none of which had placenta previa. So false positive rate of TPS was found to be 4.7%, false negative 0% sensitivity 100% specificity 95.2%. Positive predictive value of TPS was found to be 96.7% and negative predictive value of TVS was found to be 100%.

Conclusions: So, to conclude transperineal sonography is easy to perform, well tolerated accurate diagnostic tool with high sensitivity specificity, positive and negative predictive values for localisation of placenta cases of APH. TVS can be replaced by TPS in cases of APH for localisation of placenta.
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INTRODUCTION

Haemorrhage is one of the leading causes of maternal mortality and morbidity in world. Good obstetric care has significantly reduced maternal mortality in developed countries, where it is about 14/100000. But it is still very high in developed countries, where it is about 174/100000 live births.¹ Although antepartum haemorrhage cannot be prevented but maternal and perinatal mortality associated with antepartum haemorrhage can be reduced significantly by precise localization of placenta. Greater sophistication of diagnosis and hopefully earlier warning of placenta previa are always helpful and this is where ultrasound now plays a very major role.
In patients with antepartum haemorrhage confirmation of location of placenta is must before deciding the mode of delivery. Massive vaginal bleeding can result in maternal and foetal death if vaginal delivery is attempted in the presence of placenta previa. Although palpation of placenta by vaginal examination has been gold standard for diagnosis of placenta previa, such examination is done in operation room with all preparation for immediate cesarean section, because even the most gentle examination can cause torrential haemorrhage. Today however such a double set up examination is rarely necessary as placental localization can almost be obtained by careful sonography.2

First of all in 1966 Gottesfeld et al described a simple precise method for placental localization with no known foetal and maternal hazards associated with its use. They used transabdominal sonography for localization of placenta. But transabdominal sonography had high false positive and false negative rate.3

Transvaginal sonography has been used for better visualization of pelvic organs. This enables use of high frequency ultrasound wave generator of 5-7 MHz which in turn increases the resolution of picture.4,5 Transvaginal sonography has got several advantages over transabdominal sonography in localizing the placenta, including precise anatomic relationship can be defined without the pressure distortion of a distended bladder, high frequency and better resolution, much greater specificity and sensitivity and no hindrance by obesity or acoustic shadowing by foetal parts.4,5

Transvaginal sonography has main disadvantage of need of penetration of vagina. Basic fear of obstetrician in utilizing Transvaginal sonography for localization of placenta in case of antepartum haemorrhage is fear of provoking vaginal haemorrhage as it is an unwritten rule not to do any vaginal manipulations in patients of antepartum haemorrhage. It can also result in release of prostaglandins and initiations of uterine contraction and there is requirement of special transducer.6-8

Transperineal sonography is more convenient and safer means of imaging the cervix and lower uterine segment overcoming the short coming of transabdominal sonography and eliminating the risk associated with Transvaginal sonography. In this examination is performed with a 3.5 MHz sector transducer positioned directly on perineum over the labia majora. The internal cervical os and lower edge of placenta can be well visualized.7

The examination can be readily performed with the same transducer commonly used to image the foetus transabdominally and can rapidly visualize the internal cervical os in cases when that is not possible transabdominally.9

Because of potential disastrous consequences of missing a diagnosis of placenta previa, it is important not to underdiagnose. This condition on the other hand has large number of false positive diagnosis may result in unnecessary hospitalization and cesarean section. Route of sonoraphic localization of placenta (abdominal, vaginal, perineal) should be of maximum sensitivity with minimum false positive rate.9

Thus present study was undertaken with a view to evaluate patients of antepartum haemorrhage by transvaginal as well as by transperineal sonography to compare accuracy of transperineal with transvaginal sonography.

METHODS

Present study was conducted on 50 patients admitted in labour room, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Pt. B.D Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana, India over a period of one year

Patients of Antepartum haemorrhage who were haemodynamically stable were included in study. Patients requiring immediate cesarean section in view of profuse bleeding were excluded from the study.

A detailed history, regarding onset and character of bleeding, any predisposing factor or associated condition like hypertension, jaundice, abdominal trauma, bleeding diathesis, parity, previous history of caesarean section and curettage was taken. A general physical examination was carried out to note the vitals, any signs of pregnancy induced hypertension, to note the degree of blood loss and also to rule out any systemic disease.

An abdominal examination was done to specically look for height of uterus in relation to period of gestation, presence of malpresentation, any tenderness or rigidity of uterus, auscultation of foetal heart sounds. Necessary investigations were done in patients. Transvaginal sonography was performed using high resolution Transvaginal probe on real time ultrasound scanner (5MHz and 6.5MHz). The patient was placed in dorsal position after emptying the bladder. The vaginal probe covered with sterile condom was gently introduced for about 3-4 cm beyond the introitus in order to visualize the internal os and lower placental edge without coming in actual contact with cervix. Distance between internal os and lower edge of placenta was measured. The diagnosis of placenta previa was made if placental edge was located within 5 cm of internal os.

Transperineal sonography was performed on real time 3.5MHz scanner with convex transducer i.e. with same transducer with which we perform transabdominal sonography. Bladder was kept empty. A sterile condom was slipped on transducer after applying ultrasound gel on head of transducer. The transducer was positioned directly on perineum in sagittal orientation over the labia minora with center of transducer typically posterior to...
urethra and anterior to vaginal orifice. Transducer location and angle will be adjusted under sonographic central to optimize visualization.

Cases with Type I and Type II anterior placenta previa were allowed to deliver vaginally unless contraindicated and at the time of delivery placenta was examined for retroplacental clot and site of rupture of membranes for confirming localization of placenta. The distance from the site of rupture of membranes, in relation to edge of placenta was determined. If the edge of placenta was within 5 cm of ruptured membranes, the case was considered as placenta previa.

In case of cesarean delivery, the relation of placenta with internal os was determined.

Finding at delivery were used as standard to calculate sensitivity, specificity, negative and positive predictive values of transperineal and transvaginal sonography.

RESULTS

Table 1: Comparison of results of transvaginal and transperineal sonography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of placenta previa</th>
<th>No. of patients diagnosed by TVS</th>
<th>No. of patients diagnosed by TPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not previa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transperineal and transvaginal sonography were carried out on 50 patients of Antepartum haemorrhage. Transperineal sonography diagnosed placenta previa in 31 patients and no previa in 19 patients of Antepartum haemorrhage. Similar results were obtained with Transvaginal sonography (Table 1).

Table 2: Comparison of results of transvaginal and transperineal sonography and delivery diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis by TPS</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Diagnosed at delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not previa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis by TVS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not previa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transperineal sonography diagnosed placenta previa in 31 cases of Antepartum haemorrhage. Out of which one was not having placenta previa which was diagnosed by placental examination at delivery. Transperineal sonography ruled out placenta previa in 19 cases none of which had placenta previa at delivery or caesarean section. Similar results were obtained with Transvaginal sonography.

As shown in Table 3, both transperineal and transvaginal sonography diagnosed Type I placenta previa in 6 cases, all of which were confirmed at delivery by placental examination.

Type II placenta previa was diagnosed in 7 patients by both transperineal and transvaginal sonography but at delivery in one patient it was found to be in upper uterine segment(UUS), in 2 patients it was Type I placenta previa while Type II placenta previa was found in 4 patients.

Major degree of placenta previa (Type III & IV) were diagnosed in 17 cases by both transperineal and Transvaginal sonography which were later on confirmed at time of delivery.

Table 3: Comparison of results of transvaginal and transperineal sonography with delivery diagnosis for diagnosis of types of placenta previa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of placenta previa</th>
<th>TVS</th>
<th>TPS</th>
<th>Delivery diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>UUS- 1 Type I-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type II-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison of results of Transvaginal and transperineal sonography in diagnosing distance from os for type I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from internal cervical os (in cm)</th>
<th>TVS</th>
<th>TPS</th>
<th>Delivery diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1-4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Comparison of results of transvaginal and transperineal sonography in localization of placenta in cases of Antepartum haemorrhage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TPS</th>
<th>TVS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False positive rate</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Both transperineal and Transvaginal showed similar accuracy in diagnosing distance form os for Type I placenta previa.

In the present study of 50 patients of Antepartum haemorrhage sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of transperineal and Transvaginal sonography in localization of placenta were found to be same.

**DISCUSSION**

Placenta previa is a term with clinical implications that is maternal risk from haemorrhage, foetal risk from prematurity, need for high caesarean section rate, possibility of placenta accreta especially if the patient has had a previous cesarean section and placenta overlies the uterine scar. In 1989, Lim et al studied the role of TVS for placental localization and reported 100% sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of transperineal and Transvaginal sonography for localization of placenta in cases of Antepartum haemorrhage.

Transabdominal sonography was introduced by Gottesfeld et al in 1966 for diagnosing placenta previa. Transabdominal sonographic diagnosis of placenta previa has several inherent problems, which results in false positives diagnosis of placenta previa leading to unnecessary hospitalization and sometimes caesarean section also results. Being aware of false positive diagnosis, women with suspected placenta previa are often exposed to vaginal examination under anaesthesia to rule out placenta previa. This examination may expose the patient to risk of major haemorrhage.

So there was a need of better diagnostic technique for localization of placenta in cases of APH. Although MRI has been found to be superior than transabdominal sonography but its not cost effective and availability only at higher centres. In recent years Transvaginal sonography has been developed to produce high resolution picture of pelvic organs. In 1988 Farine et al studied the role of vaginal ultrasound for diagnosis of placenta previa. They reported false positives rate of 15% false negatives rate of 0% sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 88%. Positives predictive value 54.5% and negative predictive value of 100.

In 1989 Lim et al studied the role of TVS for placental localization and reported 100% sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value. Farine et al in 1990 published sensitivity 100%, specificity 81%, positive predictive value of 71% and positive predictive value of 100% by Transvaginal sonography for placental localization in cases of APH. In 1999 Bukshee et al studied the role of transperineal sonography in localization of placenta in cases of Antepartum haemorrhage. They published sensitivity, specificity, negative and positive predictive value of 100%.

In the present study false positive rate of TVS was found to be 4.7%, false negative rate 0% sensitivity 100% , specificity 95.2%, positive predictive value, 96.7% negative predictive value 100%. Endovaginal sonography is not preferred by some patients and some time it may cause torrential haemorrhage. In 1992 Hertzberg et al reported the use of transperineal sonography for localization of placenta in case of APH, which seems to be convenient and safe route of imaging the cervix, lower uterine segment, its relation with placenta and as vaginal penetration is not needed virtually eliminating the potential for inducing bleeding which is associated with endovaginal sonography. Hertzberg et al in her series reported sensitivity of 100% specificity of 90% positive predictive value of 90% negative predictive value of 100%, false negative rate of 0% and false positive rate of 0.6% by transperineal sonography in cases of APH.

In the present study sensitivity of transperineal sonography was found to be 100% specificity of 95.2%, Positive predictive value of 96.7% negative predictive value of 100% false positive rate of 4.7% and false negative rate of 0%.

So TPS and TVS have almost similar comparative accuracy reported by various authors. The present study too has shown similar results. As TPS avoids the need of penetration of probe in vagina. So it can replace TVS in localization of placenta in cases of Antepartum haemorrhage. Gouhar et al have also concluded that TPS is a valuable approach for evaluating patients with high risk of Placenta previa & it is a safe, rapid, & accurate technique with little patient discomfort.
Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of TVS in location of placenta according to present study are 100%, 95.2%, 96.7% and 100% respectively which are comparable to studies done by Leerentveld, Farine et al, Lim et al, & Tan et al.

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of TPS in location of placenta according to present study are 100%, 95.2%, 96.7% and 100% respectively which are comparable to study of Hertzberg et al, Bukshee et al.

CONCLUSION

Both TPS and TVS are found to have similarly diagnostic, accuracy in diagnosing placenta previa. For TVS we require a special transducer but TPS can be performed easily with the same transducer used for TAS. There is always fear in mind of obstetrician of provoking haemorrhage with use of TVS is cases of placenta previa. Patients also experience some inconvenience when vaginal probe is introduced in vagina. So, to conclude transperineal sonography easy to perform, well tolerated accurate diagnostic tool with high sensitivity specificity, positive and negative predictive values for localisation of placenta cases of APH. TVS can be replaced by TPS in cases of APH for localisation of placenta.
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