Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in antenatal women and its associated risk factors

Leila J. Gracelyn*, Saranya N.

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, A.C.S Medical College and Hospital, Chennai-600 077, Tamil Nadu, India

Background: Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is an important public health problem in India and its prevalence is steadily increasing. It is one of the common complications during pregnancy affecting both maternal and fetal outcome. The increased prevalence is due to the aging population structure, urbanization, obesity epidemic and physical inactivity. Among the South Asian ethnic groups, Indian women mainly south Indians are developing GDM in highest frequency which emphasizes the significance of universal screening in South Asians. The main objective was to find out the prevalence of Gestational diabetes mellitus according to ADA criteria and to examine its association with a number of risk factors in the pregnant population.

Methods: Hospital based analytical cross sectional study was conducted for 1 year among 500 antenatal women. Fasting blood glucose was measured after which they were given 75 g oral glucose and plasma glucose was estimated at 1hour and 2hour. GDM was diagnosed according to ADA criteria.

Inclusion criterion was pregnant women attending outpatient department between 24-28 weeks gestation. Exclusion criteria were known diabetic women (pre gestational)/diagnosed having GDM before 24 weeks.

Results: Prevalence of GDM was found to be 11.8%. Gestational diabetes mellitus was found to be significantly associated with age, BMI, past history of PCOS, family history of diabetes, excess weight gain in present pregnancy, GDM in previous pregnancy and previous poor fetal outcome.

Conclusions: Prevalence of GDM is progressively increasing and it was significantly associated with multiple risk factors. Universal screening should be done for all pregnant women for better maternal and fetal outcome.
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Therefore accurate screening and early diagnosis of GDM is necessary to intervene timely and to obtain better outcome, both for the mother and baby, during and after pregnancy.

METHODS

Study design: Hospital based analytical cross sectional study.

Study period: One year from October 2014 to September 2015.

Study population

500 pregnant women of 24-28 weeks of gestation, who attend the outpatient department, OBGY, ACS Medical College, Chennai, India.

Inclusion criteria

Pregnant women between 24-28 weeks of gestational age attending outpatient department.

Exclusion criteria

Known diabetic women (pre gestational)/diagnosed having GDM before 24 weeks.

Ethical considerations

Informed consent was obtained from all the participants at the start of the study. Ethical clearance was taken from the institutional ethical committee before starting the study.

Proforma

Patients were provided with detailed participant information and informed voluntary consent was taken from them. Detailed clinical history was taken and clinical examination performed. Information about age, obstetric score, gestational age, family history of diabetes, obstetric history, past history, weight gained in present pregnancy was collected. Body mass index was also calculated using pre pregnancy weight. Gestational age was calculated from last menstrual period, confirmed or corrected by ultrasound gestational age. General examination including blood pressure and obstetric examination was done. After an overnight fast of 10-12 hours, fasting sample was taken then 75 grams of glucose was given to them orally and plasma glucose was estimated at 1 and 2 hour. GDM was diagnosed according to ADA criteria.

ADA criteria

One-step (IADPSG Consensus)

The OGTT should be performed in the morning after an overnight fast of at least 8 hours.

The diagnosis of GDM is made when any of the following plasma glucose values are exceeded:

- Fasting: ≥92 mg/dl
- 1 h: ≥180 mg/dl
- 2 h: ≥153 mg/dl

BMI - ICMR Guidelines

- Normal - 18 - 22.9 kg/m²
- Overweight - 23 -25 kg/m²
- Obese - > 25 kg/m²

In our study, we have analysed the prevalence of GDM in pregnancy and associated risk factors for GDM. Categorical data were analysed with the odds ratio, chi square test and the P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS software was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 500 pregnant women between 24-28 weeks of gestation were evaluated for GDM. Among the 500 women, 59 (11.8%) were diagnosed having GDM using ADA criteria and the remaining had normal glucose tolerance (Figure 1). Mean gestational age of the study population was 25.65±1.42 weeks.

Figure 1: Prevalence of gestational diabetes in antenatal women.

Age

Out of the 500 pregnant women, 47.8% were between 26-30 years and 10.6% were 31-35 years Table 1. The mean age of the study population and GDM women were 26.45±3.69 years and 28.47±3.38 years respectively. Among the 59 GDM women, 62.71% belongs to 26-30 years, followed by 20.3% of 31-35 years (Figure 2). The correlation between age and GDM was found to be statistically significant, (Odds Ratio 3.92; CI 1.93-7.94) p value 0.00005 as seen in Table 3.
Table 1: Socio-demographic risk profile of antenatal women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Classification of variable</th>
<th>Total number of patients (500)</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>≥18-25</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre pregnancy BMI</td>
<td>≤23</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23-25</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family H/O Diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family H/O GDM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Age wise distribution of GTT abnormalities in antenatal women.

Pre pregnancy BMI

Among the pregnant women, 22.6% (113) were obese (95% CI -18.93-26.27) as seen in Table 2. Mean pre pregnancy BMI of study group was 21.94±2.68kg/m². If we consider GDM women, the mean BMI was 26.07±4.44kg/m² and 66.10% (39/59) were found to be obese compared to 16.78% of non GDM women. Statistically significant positive correlation was noted between GDM and obesity (OR 7.86; 95% CI 4.37-14.12; p 0.00001) Table 3. Prevalence of GDM was found to be increased with increasing pre pregnancy BMI.

Family history of Diabetes

Family history of Diabetes was seen in 10% of study population Table 1. 52.54% of GDM women had diabetes in their family compared to 4.31% of non GDM, with (OR 24.59; CI 12.36-48.89). We found significant positive correlation with p value of 0.00001 as shown in Table 3. Family history of GDM was present in 18.64% of GDM women.

Present pregnancy

Weight gain of more than 8 kg

Weight gain of more than 8 kg was present in 8.6% (43) of pregnant women with 95% CI 6.14-11.06 (Table 2). Among them 29 had GDM which contributes to 49.15% (OR 29.48; CI 14.1-61.64). Weight gain of >8kg had statistically significant correlation with GDM (p 0.00001) Table 3.

Hypertension

Only 2% of study population had hypertension with 95% CI 0.77-3.23, as shown in Table 2. Hypertension was present in only 3.39% of GDM (OR 1.89; CI 0.39-9.16). Association between GDM and hypertension was not statistically significant with p value of 0.751 (Table 3).

History of PCOS

History of PCOS was present in 9% of study population with 95% CI 6.49-11.51 (Table 2). 59.32% (35/59) of GDM women had history of PCOS in past compared to 2.27% of non GDM women (OR 62.85 CI 27.84-141.88). Significant positive correlation was noted between PCOS and GDM with p value of 0.00001 (Table 3).

History of GDM

18.64% of GDM women had GDM in their previous pregnancy with OR 8.95 (CI 3.68-21.75). Statistically
significant association was present between GDM and past history of GDM (p 0.00001) Table 3.

Table 2: Clinical and biochemical profile of antenatal women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain &gt;8kg in pregnancy</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.14-11.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of polycystic ovaries</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.49-11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.77-3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>18.93-26.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O GDM</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.6-6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O preterm/PPROM</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.1-5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O stillbirth</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.97-5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O macrosomia</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.14-11.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O term IUD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.28-5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal GTT</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>8.97-14.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Association of GDM with various risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Classification of variable</th>
<th>Number of patients in the group (500)</th>
<th>Chi-square value</th>
<th>Odds ratio [95% CI of odds ratio]</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&gt; 25 years [294] ≤ 25 years [206]</td>
<td>10 [59]</td>
<td>15.124</td>
<td>3.92[1.93-7.94]</td>
<td>0.00005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain &gt;8kg in pregnancy</td>
<td>Yes [43] No [457]</td>
<td>29 [30]</td>
<td>134.16</td>
<td>29.48[14.1-61.64]</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Yes [10] No [490]</td>
<td>2 [57]</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>1.899[0.39-9.16]</td>
<td>0.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O GDM</td>
<td>Yes [22] No [478]</td>
<td>11 [48]</td>
<td>28.54</td>
<td>8.95[3.68-21.75]</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O stillbirth</td>
<td>Yes [18] No [482]</td>
<td>9 [50]</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>8.64[3.27-22.77]</td>
<td>0.00001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous H/O macrosomia</td>
<td>Yes[43] No[457]</td>
<td>25 [34]</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.93[0.42-2.10]</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Past obstetric history

PPROM/Preterm delivery

PPROM/preterm delivery was present in 3.8% of study population with CI 2.1-5.48 (Table 2). 18.64% of GDM women had similar problem compared to 2.49% of non GDM women (OR 7.75; CI 3.00-19.99). GDM had significant positive correlation with PPROM/preterm delivery in previous pregnancy (p -0.00001) Table 3.

Stillbirth

3.6% of study population had previous stillbirth with 95% CI 1.97-5.23 (Table 2) compared to 15.25% of GDM women only 2% of non GDM had previous stillbirth (OR 8.64; CI 3.27-22.77). Statistically
significant association was present between GDM and previous stillbirth (p 0.00001) Table 3.

**Term IUD**

Term IUD was present in 4% of study population with 95% CI 2.28-5.72 (Table 2). 18.64% of GDM women had term IUD compared to only 2% of non GDM (OR 11; CI 4.33-27.88). GDM had significant positive correlation with term IUD (p 0.00001) Table 3.

**Macrosomia**

Among the pregnant women 8.6% had previous macrosomic baby (birth weight >4 kg) with CI 6.14-11.06 (Table 2). 42.37% of GDM women delivered macrosomic babies in their previous pregnancy compared to 4.08% of non GDM women (OR 0.93; CI 0.42-2.10) but we found negative correlation between GDM and previous macrosomia (p 0.957) as shown in Table 3.

In our study out of 500 women, GDM was diagnosed in 59 which contribute to 11.8%. Similarly in Soheilykhah et al study, 10.2% of pregnant women had GDM. Seshia et al found very high prevalence of 17.7% in Indian population. In Das et al study of 300 women, prevalence of GDM was 9.4% in Kanika et al study, 8.33%. Rajput et al noticed a prevalence of 7.1% in Haryana, Nilofer et al screened only high risk group in Karnatak and found an incidence of 6%. According to Sreerkanthan et al study 75% of GDM women were above 25 years of age. In our study, we noticed 62.71% women with GDM were 26-30 years with mean age being 28.47±3.38 years. In Kalyani et al study, 56% of GDM females were more than 25 years of age with mean age of 24.16±3.63 years. In Kath et al study the mean age of GDM women was 27.1±2.44 years. The prevalence of pregnant women having GDM steadily increasing with age from 1.7% in women less than 25 years to 18% in ≥35 years. According to RCOG guidelines, pregnant women below 25 years of age are less prone to develop GDM. Significant relationship was noted between age and GDM. It may be due to increasing educational level and working opportunities. They may be aware of getting GDM with increasing age but never give an importance in their busy schedule. In our study 59.32% of GDM women were second gravids and above. Sharma et al documented that prevalence of GDM increased with multigravida. According to Seshia et al the prevalence of GDM is rising with gravidity, from 18.1% in first pregnancy to 25.8% in grand multives.

According to Sharma et al, BMI >30 was observed in 30 (64%) GDM women. Similarly in our study, 66.10% (39/59) of GDM women were obese. Das et al and Gomez et al reported that obesity was present in ≥25% and 50% of GDM women. Kanika et al found 96% of GDM women had BMI >30 and the mean BMI in GDM was 34.3kg/m² but in our study it was 26.07±4.44kg/m². In our study we found significant association between obesity and GDM. If a pregnant woman gained 6 kg than her prepregnancy weight by 28 weeks, it is considered as normal. In our study, GDM women gained more weight (>8kg) than women with normal glucose tolerance. Saldana et al noted that weight gain was significantly higher in GDM women than non GDM women. Bo et al had observed that increased blood glucose in pregnancy was a risk factor for higher gestational weight gain. There is misbelief that over nourishment during pregnancy is essential and hesitancy among pregnant women to do even simple household works due to fear of losing baby, along with lack of exercise and improper diet control plays an important role. Pregnant women with positive family history of diabetes had higher chances of getting GDM. In our study 52.5% of GDM women had positive family history of diabetes.

**DISCUSSION**

GDM is a common metabolic problem in pregnancy with variable prevalence worldwide and also in different regions of a country, reflects the effect of genetic, demographic, socioeconomic and cultural factors. This variation is also due to different screening methods and diagnostic criteria used to detect GDM. Prevalence of GDM is increasing globally. In India, the prevalence of GDM was 2% in 1982 followed by 7.62% in 1991 and 16.5% in 2003 with expected rate of 79.4 million in 2030- a 15.1% increase from 2000. Compared to European females, the South Asian especially Indian females had 11 fold increased risk for GDM. WHO recommends all pregnant women have to be screened for GDM between 24-28 weeks of gestation. GDM generally develops during the second and third trimester of the pregnancy, because insulin resistance and diabetogenic effect of pregnancy hormones will be maximum in this period.

![Figure 3: Relation of GTT abnormalities with BMI in antenatal women.](image_url)
diabetes which was 76% in Soheilykhhah et al study. Similar to our study, Seshiah et al also observed a strong correlation between the family history of diabetes with the development of GDM in pregnancy. In our study half of the women with GDM had PCOS history, similar to Bibi et al study, Radon et al. found that half of PCOS diagnosed women developed GDM during pregnancy. Majority of PCOS women have β cell dysfunction which leads to inadequate insulin response to glucose load, combined with the diabetogenic effect of pregnancy may be the cause for development of GDM in PCOS. We found strong correlation between GDM and history of PCOS.

A significant association was noted between history of GDM in previous pregnancy and occurrence of GDM in the index pregnancy, although only small number of pregnant women had past history of GDM. The odds ratio was found to be 8.95. McGuire et al found an odds ratio of 23 for pregnant women with prior GDM. In Reece et al study, women who delivered macromotic baby in previous pregnancy had higher risk for GDM in next pregnancy. There was no statistically significant association between previous macrosomia and GDM in our study which is in contrast to other studies.

Thus, GDM was found to be associated with multiple risk factors. Universal screening remains useful to identify GDM women in our pregnant population.

CONCLUSIONS

Prevalence of GDM was found to be 11.8% among the pregnant women. It was noted that there was statistically significant correlation between age, obesity, excess weight gain in pregnancy, family history of diabetes, history of PCOS, previous history of GDM and gestational diabetes. Promoting healthy lifestyle habits in reproductive age women may alter the modifiable risk factors and prevent development of GDM. Pregnant women should be counselled about the diabetogenic effect of pregnancy and the risk factors for development of GDM. Early diagnosis and appropriate intervention is necessary for optimal maternal and fetal outcome in present and future.
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