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Review of hysterectomies in Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at tertiary care hospital in Northern India
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ABSTRACT

Background: With the advancement of newer and conservative approach in the management of many gynaecological conditions, hysterectomy still remains the chief modality of treatment. The aim of this study was to analyze the various indications, clinical profile, and outcome of all the patients who underwent hysterectomy at premier teaching hospital in Northern India.

Methods: This study involved all the patients who underwent hysterectomy from 1st Jan 2017 to 31st Dec 2017 in tertiary care hospital India.

Results: Out of total 513 hysterectomies, 105 were obstetric and 408 were gynaecological hysterectomies. In obstetric hysterectomies 40% were due to rupture uterus, 38% were due to abnormal placentation. In gynaecological hysterectomies, 75.5 % abdominal & 24.5 % were vaginal. 75.7 % were for benign conditions and 24.3% were for malignant conditions. Most common indication was AUB.

Conclusions: Hysterectomy is commonly done to improve the quality of life but at the same time in obstetrics emergencies it’s a lifesaving procedure. So, its indications should be clearly evaluated, and patient should be clearly counselled about conservative options, risk and benefits of the procedure.
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INTRODUCTION

Hysterectomy is the second most common operative procedure performed on women in world after lower segment caesarean section. Its incidence varies between 6.1 to 8.6 per 1000 women of all ages.1 Most common indications are AUB associated with fibroid, endometriosis, adenomyosis and uterovaginal prolapse.2 But in Obstetrics it becomes a lifesaving procedure when all other methods fail to control post-partum haemorrhage. All the large-scale surveys of hysterectomy practice have shown that most of the hysterectomies are performed by abdominal route.3 It can be done vaginally and laparoscopically also. Route depends upon indication, surgeon’s preference and nature of disease. As with all other major surgical procedures it is not free of complications. There may be intra or post-operative complications. Rates of various complications vary from 0.05% to 43%.4 With the advent of newer modalities of conservative management in various uterine pathologies, indications of hysterectomy should be clear-cut. It is also observed that ovarian functions also decline rapidly after removal of uterus.

Mean age of menopause in women who underwent hysterectomy is 3.7 years earlier even if we preserve ovaries.5 The aim of this audit was to evaluate the various indications, route and histopathologic association of all hysterectomies performed at tertiary care teaching hospital in Northern India.
METHODS

This study involved all women who underwent hysterectomy at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology King George’s Medical University Lucknow. This was a retrospective cohort study and included all cases of hysterectomy from 1st Jan 2017 to 31st Dec 2017. Records from register and case sheets of patients were collected.

There were no exclusion criteria. Patients were identified by medical record tracking. Data collected regarding age, parity socioeconomic status, clinical profile, chief complaints, major medical history, any previous operative history, indications, routes of hysterectomy, duration of hospital stay.

Baseline investigations including CBC, Blood sugar, urine complete examination, ECG, X ray chest, USG, viral markers were noted.

Data collected for post-operative complications, transfusions, histopathology was collected. Haemoglobin level was built up and blood transfusions were done according to haemoglobin level. All the hysterectomies were included elective as well as emergency.

Abdominal hysterectomies included supracervical, TAH, TAH with unilateral salpingoophrectomy, TAH with BSO, as part of staging laparotomy as a part of ovarian malignancy and also included wertheim’s hysterectomy. Vaginal hysterectomies included the VH with PFR for prolapse and non-descent vaginal hysterectomy (NDVH) for other indications. After collecting data various indications were reviewed. Special emphasis was given on indication of hysterectomy < 30 years of age. Maximum patients were discharged between 7th and 10th post-operative day. The data was analyzed using percentages.

RESULTS

A total of hysterectomies 513 were performed in the study period. Out of these 105(20.5%) were obstetrical and 408(79.5%) were gynaecological. Out of 408 gynaecological 308(75.6%) were abdominal and 100(24.5%) underwent vaginal hysterectomy (Table 4).

Table 1 is showing age wise distribution of subjects who had Obstetrical hysterectomy.

Table 2 is showing distribution of subjects of obstetric hysterectomy according to parity.

Table 3 is showing distribution of subjects according to indication for obstetric hysterectomy.

Table 4: Distribution of gynaecological hysterectomy according to age.

309 (75.7%) had benign indication of hysterectomy and 99 (24.3%) had malignant (Figure 1).

Maximum 55 (52.4%) subjects were between age 26-30 years. Table 3 is showing distribution of subjects according to indication of obstetrical hysterectomy. Rupture uterus (40%) was most common followed by abnormal placentation (38.1%).

Figure 1: Distribution of subjects according to nature
Table 5 is showing distribution of subjects of gynaecologic hysterectomies according to indication. 149 (36.5%) underwent hysterectomy as a consequence of AUB, and among them the most common was leiomyoma 81 (19.9%) followed by adenomyosis 35 (8.5%).

Apart from AUB, 48 (11.8%) patients had hysterectomy because of fibroid uterus. Approximately one third of the patients had vaginal hysterectomy.

Table 5: Distribution of subjects according to indications (benign).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUB</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUB-P</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUB-A</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUB-L</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUB-N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine prolapse</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroid uterus</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign ovarian neoplasm</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 is showing distribution of subjects according to indication of hysterectomy in malignant group. Most common indication for hysterectomy was carcinoma ovary 41(10%) followed by carcinoma cervix 24(5.9%).

Table 6: Distribution of subjects according to indications (malignant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma ovary</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma cervix</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma endometrium</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign atypical hyperplasia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN III</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among nulliparous women 20 women had abdominal hysterectomy and the indications were carcinoma ovary 5(25%) followed by fibroid uterus 4 (20%). Table 7 is showing indication of hysterectomy in women <30 years. Leiomyoma (AUB-L) was the most common 5 (33.3%) followed by carcinoma ovary 4 (26.6%). One woman underwent hysterectomy as a consequence of cervical agenesis.

Table 7: Indications of hysterectomy in <30 years age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>No. (n=15)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUB-L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma Ovary</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma cervix</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma endometrium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroid uterus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical agenesis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

In the year 2017 in our busy tertiary care hospital, a total of 91,595 women attended our outpatient department (OPD). 19229 were admitted in the hospital. Out of which 6738 patients were treated with some major surgical procedure which included 513 hysterectomies. Hysterectomy is the most common non-pregnancy procedure performed on women. There are various indications of hysterectomy but when it comes to obstetrics it becomes a lifesaving procedure. In our hospital 105 Obstetric hysterectomies were done in a span of 1 year. Most common 55(52.4%) age group was 26-30 years. 35(33.3%) were P3, 31(29.6%) were P2 and one patient (0.9%) was P1.

Most common indication was rupture uterus 42(40%) followed by abnormal placenta 40(38.1%). 89(84.2%) patients were referred to our hospital as it’s a tertiary care hospital. Placenta accrete and percreta led to obstetric hysterectomy in 40(38.1%) patients because of uncontrolled haemorrhage. So, in primi patient indication of lower segment caesarean section should be very clear and justified to avoid further LSCS and development of placenta accrete and percreta. We should sensitize the general population regarding the long-term complications of CDMR (Caesarean Delivery on Maternal Request) to reduce the number of LSCS.

Obstetric haemorrhage continues to be the most important cause of maternal mortality in India. Our primary focus should be on reducing maternal mortality rather than preventing morbidity. “Maternal near miss” surveillance is an effective tool for improving safe motherhood programs. In our case, morbidly adherent placenta was the second most common indication for EOH (Emergency Obstetric Hysterectomy). This was also the case in Turkey and the UK contributing to 40% and 38% of cases, respectively. Korejo et al from Pakistan recently reported that 47.1% of cases were the result of uterine rupture, 28.9% from atony, and 17.4% from placental causes. Of all the cases of uterine rupture, 74% had an unscarred uterus. Maximum patients 177 (43.4%) in present study belong to age group 41-50 years. Average age at the time of hysterectomy has been increased in India as one study from Gujrat in 2010 showed that 33% of hysterectomies were performed in women less than 35 years of age.

In our institution in duration of one year we performed 408 gynaecological hysterectomies. Most of these were abdominal (75.5%) followed by vaginal (24.5%). Almost same observations came from Canada (abdominal 78%, vaginal 14%, and laparoscopic 5.9%). In Hong Kong the proportion of laparoscopic seemed a little higher (abdominal 70.2%, vaginal 15.9%, and laparoscopic 13.8%). In present study AUB (36.5%) was the most common indication for abdominal hysterectomy, among them AUB-L was most common. Fibroid uterus overall lead to hysterectomy in 129 (31.7%) patients. A Nigerian
tertiary hospital retrospective study showed that uterine fibroid was the leading indication in 38.7% of patients. In an Indian study, fibroid uterus (27.9%) was the most common indication for hysterectomy, followed by AUB and uterovaginal prolapse.

Out 408, 99 (24.3%) were done due to various genital tract malignancies. 41 (10%) were done due to carcinoma ovary followed by carcinoma cervix 24 (5.9%) and carcinoma endometrium 23 (5.6%). Our results are consistent with study done by Pranita et al. They did histopathological audit of 150 patients and found Ovarian tumors were observed in 11.3% of the hysterectomy cases.

**CONCLUSION**

Obstetric hysterectomy is still life saving tool when all medical and other surgical methods fail, although child bearing capacity of women is cut down. Training of our post graduates in this life saving surgery should become integral part of their training. In the ideal conditions we should give all medical management option to patient and after proper counselling hysterectomy should remain last resort. As very few studies are available in India regarding indications, selection of patients, complications of surgery. So regular clinical audit should be done for Gynaecological practice. To further improve the situation, we should be able to provide more medical methods to avoid surgical intervention. These types of audit should be conducted regularly, and this may a basis for further audits.
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