INTRODUCTION

Induction of labor is a common procedure in obstetrics. It is usually performed when risk of continuing a pregnancy is more than benefit of delivery. Cervical ripening has got a close relationship with the success rate of delivery.  

Cervical ripening refers to a process of preparing the cervix for induction of labor by promoting effacement and dilatation as measured by Bishop's score.2 Induction of labour should be safe, simple and effective. The success of induction depends upon the consistency, compliance and configuration of cervix. With low Bishops score, there may be increased rate of caesarean section delivery, maternal fever and fetal hypoxia.3,4
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There for a simple and effective method for pre induction cervical ripening is of use. Ripening of cervix may be achieved by mechanical techniques such as introduction of trans-cervical Foley's catheter. Embrey and Mollison first described the use of a trans-cervical Foley’s catheter for the cervical ripening. Currently Foley’s catheter balloon is the most commonly used mechanical device for labour induction.6

It can cause mechanical dilatation of cervix and stimulates endogenous release of prostaglandins by stripping the fetal membranes and release of lysosomes from decidual cells. Use of catheter is associated with reduced induction delivery interval, decrease caesarean section rate, increase rate of spontaneous vaginal delivery. Chances of infection are no more than that of the usual hospital rate if strict aseptic precautions are observed.7

Intra-cervical application of PGE2 gel is also found to be effective for ripening of cervix as it can have a combined contraction inducing and cervical ripening effect.5 It is in use since 1960s for cervical ripening.

Local application of PGE2 causes direct softening of cervix by a number of different mechanisms. It can cause connective tissue softening, cervical effacement and uterine activity. PGE2 gel can be used in cases of heart disease, PIH and eclampsia also.9,10

The purpose of the study was to compare the efficacy of intra-cervical Foley's catheter with PGE2 gel for preinduction cervical ripening. The induction delivery interval, maternal and fetal outcomes and the need for augmentation of labor in these two groups were also compared.

METHODS

A randomized, comparative study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Civil Hospital, B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad, for a period of 8 month from September 2018 to April 2019. 100 patients at term with a Bishop's score ≤5 with various indications for induction were randomly allocated to group F (intra-cervical Foley’s catheter) and group P (PGE2 gel) with 50 women included in each group.

All the cases fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria and willingness to participate in the study were included in the study and they were divided into two groups.

Inclusion criteria
- Primigravida
- ≥37 weeks of gestation
- Singleton pregnancy
- Cephalic presentation
- Bishop’s score ≤5
- Intact membranes.

Exclusion criteria
- Multiple pregnancy
- Malpresentation
- Absent membrane
- Antepartum haemorrhage
- Previous uterine scar
- Medical diseases, e.g. heart disease, renal disease, etc.
- Cephalopelvic disproportion.

The patients were randomly allocated to either Foley’s catheter (group F) or PGE2 gel (group P) method. The Bishop’s score was determined earlier. Each patient was questioned in detail and examined thoroughly. Last menstrual period was ascertained and correlated clinically.

Primary outcome
- Post induction Bishop's score was assessed after 6 hours of induction preferably by the same person.

Secondary outcome
- Demographic profile, gestation age, improvement of Bishop's score, induction-delivery interval, mode of delivery and feto-maternal outcome were noted
- Dose repetition of PGE2 gel was considered if post induction Bishop's score become ≤6 in both the groups.
- Need of augmentation of labor was assessed and implemented by other methods such as artificial rupture of membrane (ARM) and/or oxytocin administration
- Failure of induction was declared if patient failed to go in active phase of labor within 48 hours of induction.

Foley’s catheter

An 18 size Foley’s catheter (it comes in pre-sterilized pack using ethylene oxide) was introduced through cervix to extra-amniotic space using a sterile technique with the aid of a speculum and sponge holding forceps and 30 ml distilled water was instilled into the balloon. Then balloon is pulled up to the internal os. Catheter was tapped with thigh. Prophyllactic antibiotic was given. The catheter was left undisturbed until spontaneous expulsion or no longer than 12 hours.

Prostaglandin gel

PGE2 gel is available in the name of cerviprime gel as a sterile preparation containing 0.5 mg of dinoprostone per 3 gm (2.5 ml) of gel in a prefilled syringe with a catheter for endocervical application. After exposing the cervix by speculum 0.5 mg of PGE2 was inserted intra-cervically from a loaded syringe and the patients were kept in lying down position at least 30 minutes for absorption of drugs.
Statistical methods

Student's t test and Chi square test was used to statistically compare the two groups. Differences with a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant with the confidence interval of 95%.

RESULTS

Group F and Group P had 50 randomized patients each. Both the groups were comparable with respect to the maternal age, gestational age, indication for induction and pre-induction Bishop's score.

No statistically significant difference was demonstrated between the two groups. Majority of the patient were between the age of 21 - 25 years. The mean age of patient was 22.59±3.38 years and 22.32±3 years respectively in group 1 and group 2 (Table 1). The mean gestational age was 38.48±1.35 weeks in group 1 and 38.43±1.29 weeks in group 2 (Table 2). The most common indication for induction of labor in the present study was pregnancy induced hypertension.

Table 1: Demographic profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group F (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Group P (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>p value (p&lt;0.05 was statistically significant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)</td>
<td>22.59±3.38</td>
<td>22.32±3</td>
<td>0.55 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>38.48±1.35</td>
<td>38.43±1.29</td>
<td>0.78 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Change in Bishop Score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bishop’s score</th>
<th>Group-F (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Group-P (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>p value (p&lt;0.05 was statistically significant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean preinduction score</td>
<td>3.91±0.70</td>
<td>3.90±0.77</td>
<td>0.92 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean postinduction score</td>
<td>9.10±1.49</td>
<td>9.04±1.60</td>
<td>0.78 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean change in score</td>
<td>5.10±1.55</td>
<td>5.14±1.60</td>
<td>0.97 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Need for augmentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of augmentation</th>
<th>Group F (n=50)</th>
<th>Group P (n=50)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>12 (24%)</td>
<td>14 (28%)</td>
<td>0.62 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td>0.62 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin</td>
<td>19 (38%)</td>
<td>18 (36%)</td>
<td>0.88 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin+ARM</td>
<td>15 (30%)</td>
<td>12 (24%)</td>
<td>0.42 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study, there was a significant increase in post – induction Bishop’s score in both the study groups. However no significant difference in the mean changes in the two groups could be established.

Table 4: Mode of delivery and induction-delivery interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group F (n=50)</th>
<th>Group P (n=50)</th>
<th>p value (p&lt;0.05 was statistically significant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.88 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.073 (p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSCS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows no significant statistical difference in spontaneous vaginal delivery in both the groups. Group F had 76% (n=38) spontaneous deliveries whereas group P had 78% (n=39) spontaneous deliveries. The need for operative intervention (LSCS) was also not significant in both the groups.

In Table 3 the need for further augmentation of labor was studied. Spontaneous labor ensued in 12 patients in Group F (24%) compared with 14 patients in Group P (28%). In Foley's catheter group, need for augmentation of labor was required by doing ARM (n=4) oxytocin infusion (n=10) and both ARM + oxytocin 15 (30%) patients required.

In PGE2 gel group, 6 patients required ARM, 18 patients required oxytocin and 12 patients required both ARM + oxytocin. There was no significant difference in need for augmentation in both groups.

Table 5 shows the incidence of perinatal asphyxia with Apgar score ≤7 at 5 minutes and me conium aspiration syndromes were similar in both the groups. However the
morbidty in both the groups was not statistically significant.

Table 5: Neonatal outcome (APGAR SCORE at 1 and 5 minutes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group F (n = 50)</th>
<th>Group P (n = 50)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR &gt; 7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR &lt; 7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(P &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR &lt; 7 (Perinatal Asphyxia)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(p &gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(p<0.05 was statistically significant)

DISCUSSION

The results of the study confirm that both Foley’s catheter and PGE2 gel are effective methods for preinduction cervical ripening. The mean change in Bishops score in Foley’s catheter 5.10±1.55 (p <0.0001) and PGE2 gel 5.14±1.60 (p <0.0001) were highly significant. However, a comparison between the groups revealed that one method did not confer a statistically significant advantage over the other. There have been theoretic concerns regarding the introduction of infection with the use of Foley's catheter. In this study there was no infectious morbidity. Similar were the observation of Jozwiak M and Anthony C et al.11,12

The need for oxytocin induced augmentation of labor was 39% in Group F and 38% in Group P. The induction delivery interval showed no significant difference in the two groups. The mean I-D interval was 16.01±5.5 h in Foley's group and 16.85±3.81 h in PGE2 group. Similar observations were observed by Dewan et al, Pennel C et al.13,14 The rate of LSCS in Group F was 21% and 19% in Group P (p = 0.88). The most common indication for LSCS in Group F was fetal distress. Group F had 9 cases for FD and Group P had 11 cases of FD. The rate of LSCS in our study is agreeable. There was no association of increased rate of cesarean section with the Foley's catheter PGE2 gel use.

Fetal outcome data showed no significant difference between Group F and Group P with respect to birth wt (2.57±0.44 and 2.58±0.48), MAS (4 and 4 respectively), 1 min APGAR score <7 (13 and 12 respectively), NICU admission rate (20 and 18 respectively). Thus the present study shows that the fetal outcome results were also comparable in both the groups. The total cost of Foley’s catheter was much less than PGE2.15

In the study, the most common indication for induction of labor was pregnancy induced hypertension followed by postdated pregnancy. This is similar to the study conducted by Laddad MM et al.16

CONCLUSION

The results of study confirm that both intra-cervical Foley’s catheter and PGE2 gel are effective methods for preinduction cervical ripening. This study has shown that there is no difference in efficacy between intra cervical PGE2 gel and intra cervical Foley's catheter for cervical ripening. Also, other factors like induction delivery interval maternal and neonatal outcome and need for oxytocin for further augmentation were similar in both the groups. Both methods are complementary to each other.

Drugs and material used in this study are government supply. The cost of any drugs and material in the study will not be passed on to the patient.
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