Case Report

A near-miss case of obstetric haemorrhage managed successfully
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ABSTRACT

Functioning of health systems with respect to maternal health were previously audited using indicators like maternal mortality ratio. However, maternal morbidity as a consequence of pregnancy-related complications is not accounted for in these indicators. Thus, the World Health Organization (WHO) has formulated a maternal near-miss approach to pregnancy complications for a more thorough evaluation of health care systems across the world. In practical terms, women are said to be maternal near-miss cases when they survive lethal conditions during pregnancy or in the postpartum period. We report one such case of traumatic variety of postpartum haemorrhage subsequent to a lower segment caesarean section with immediate post-operative removal of cervical cerclage threads that resulted in a maternal near-miss case.
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INTRODUCTION

India is still falling short of the target stated in Millennium Development Goal number five which aimed at improving maternal health. Maternal mortality ratio has always been recognised as an important indicator of quality of health services of a country but there are certain grey areas which need attention when maternal mortality audits are undertaken. Pregnant women's health status is not represented by mortality indicators alone; hence the concept of severe acute maternal morbidity (SAMM) was established. Apart from supplementing maternal mortality ratio in mortality audits, SAMM demonstrates that high risk obstetric patients can be triaged by junior doctors in busy obstetric units without compromising standards of care.1,2 The WHO has now defined a maternal near miss as a woman who nearly died but survived a complication that occurred during pregnancy, childbirth, or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy.3 This concept is superior to maternal death and draws attention to surviving women's reproductive health. The practical implementation of the maternal near-miss approach should help in improving the quality of obstetric care for reducing maternal mortality and improving maternal health.4 In 2009, WHO formulated well-defined criteria for the identification of these cases. These criteria are unique because they consider not only clinical but also laboratory parameters and treatment - based criteria. Hence, they incorporate both Mantel's and Waterston's criteria.5,6 If any one criteria fails to pick up the case, the other can cover up for it, thus decreasing the chance of missing any case.

CASE REPORT

A 30 year old Para 2 living 2 presented to our emergency department in a state of hypovolaemic shock 6 hours after an emergency lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) done in a private hospital. On examination, patient was markedly pale; pulse was 160 per minute, systolic blood pressure 60 mmHg on vasopressors, no icterus and pedal oedema. On per abdomen examination uterus was 20 weeks size, well contracted, with evidence of abdominal distension with guarding and tenderness all over the abdomen. On vaginal examination there was minimal bleeding, os was closed, cervical cerclage knots were felt...


**DISCUSSION**

Death of a mother during delivery cripples a family and which as a unit is shattered. Behind each maternal death there are many more women with pathophysiological conditions who have just escaped death. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and haemorrhage usually top the list of causes of near-miss cases. Infectious diseases are also emerging as an important cause of maternal mortality. Every hurdle can be crossed but that is possible only if one understands the root cause of the problem. Similarly, reducing maternal mortality effectively requires more insight not only in maternal deaths but also in the near-miss cases. All maternal near-miss cases are living lessons, who despite their misery show us our deficiencies. The obstetric near-miss cases can be prevented from becoming maternal deaths only by providing prompt and appropriate medical care. Thus, we need to have systems and protocols to identify these near-miss cases and immediately institute the necessary measures to help save maternal lives.

**Table 1: WHO inclusion criteria for baseline assessment of quality of care.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severe maternal complications:</th>
<th>Critical interventions or intensive care unit use:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Severe postpartum haemorrhage</td>
<td>1. Admission to intensive care unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Severe pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>2. Interventional radiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Eclampsia</td>
<td>3. Laparotomy (includes hysterectomy, excludes caesarean section)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sepsis or severe systemic infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Ruptured uterus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Severe complications of abortion</td>
<td>4. Use of blood products</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Life-threatening conditions (near-miss criteria):**

- **Cardiovascular dysfunction** - Shock, cardiac arrest (absence of pulse/heart beat and loss of consciousness), use of continuous vasoactive drugs, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, severe hypoperfusion (lactate >5 mmol/l or >45 mg/dl), severe acidosis (pH < 7.1)
- **Respiratory dysfunction** - Acute cyanosis, gasping, severe tachypnoea (respiratory rate > 40 breaths per minute), severe bradypnoea (respiratory rate < 6 breaths per minute), intubation and ventilation not related to anaesthesia, severe hypoxyaemia (O2 saturation < 90% for > 60 minutes or PAO2/FiO2 < 200)
- **Renal dysfunction** - Oliguria non-responsive to fluids or diuretics, dialysis for acute renal failure, severe acute azotemia (creatinine ≥300 µmol/ml or ≥3.5 mg/dl)
- **Coagulation/haematological dysfunction** - Failure to form clots, massive transfusion of blood or red cells (≥5 units), severe acute thrombocytopenia (< 50000 platelets/ml)
- **Hepatic dysfunction** - Jaundice in the presence of severe pre-eclampsia, severe acute hyperbilirubinemia (bilirubin >100 µmol/l or >6.0 mg/dl)
- **Neurological dysfunction** – Prolonged unconsciousness (lasting ≥12 hours)/coma (including metabolic coma), stroke, uncontrollable fits/status epilepticus, total paralysis
- **Uterine dysfunction** - Uterine haemorrhage or infection leading to hysterectomy

**Maternal vital status: Maternal death**

The WHO near-miss approach is implemented in three steps: (1) baseline assessment (or reassessment); (2) Situation analysis and (3) interventions for improving health care. Identification of eligible women is of utmost importance for the success of this approach. Table 1
describes the inclusion criteria laid down by the WHO to be included as near-miss cases. Our patient, as described above, fulfilled the WHO inclusion criteria i.e. she had cardiovascular system involvement in the form of shock requiring vasoactive drugs, respiratory system involvement in the form of tachypnoea and was not maintaining O2 saturation and had uterine dysfunction in the form of haemorrhage.

Figure 1: Intraoperative findings showing lacerations over posterior uterine wall in the lower segment.

A five-factor scoring system has been described by Geller et al. for recognition of near miss cases, which has a sensitivity of almost 100% and a specificity of 93.9%. It comprises of organ-system failure, intensive care unit admission, blood transfusions of more than 3 units, intubation for >12 hours and surgical intervention (hysterectomy/re-laparotomy). Each of these factors is given a score in descending order from 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 respectively, giving a maximum score of 15. The cut off for near-miss case is a score of 8 or greater. Our patient had a score of 13 according to the above criteria.

Timely referral to a tertiary care centre, prompt action, availability of good infrastructure with facilities for imaging and the availability of in house skilled surgeons helped to save the life of our patient. Lessons learnt from management of near-miss cases help us identify lacunae in our health care systems and can serve as a beneficial tool in taking corrective measures.

CONCLUSION

Quality of health care for pregnant women is not reflected by mortality indicators alone, hence the new concept of maternal near-miss cases is coined and should be incorporated in the present health providing system. Delayed diagnosis, late transfers in morbid conditions and lack of infrastructure are often the main causative factors for increase in maternal morbidities and mortalities in developing countries. As analysis of near-miss cases represents the true quality of health care provided by the nation, it is worth incorporating in the national indices.
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