A study on peripartum hysterectomy in a tertiary referral government hospital
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ABSTRACT

Background: Emergency peripartum hysterectomy (EPH) is a major surgical venture invariably performed in the setting of life-threatening haemorrhage during or immediately after abdominal and vaginal deliveries. Aim of the study was to study the frequency and indications for peripartum hysterectomy and to assess the maternal outcome of peripartum hysterectomy.

Methods: Cross sectional study was done in the department of obstetrics and gynaecology, Vanivilas hospital, Bangalore from September 2018 to August 2019. This study consists of 24 cases of emergency peripartum hysterectomy within 24 hours of delivery, operated at Vanivilas hospital.

Results: The frequency of peripartum hysterectomy was 1.102/1000 deliveries and following caesarean section and vaginal deliveries are 3.544/1000 deliveries and 0.248/1000 deliveries respectively. Among 24 cases who had peripartum hysterectomy, 16 cases were delivered by caesarean section and 4 cases delivered by vaginal route while another 4 cases delivered by laparotomy for rupture uterus. 22 cases (91.67%) survived with major number of cases having morbidities and there were 2 (8.33%) maternal death.

Conclusions: The most common mode of delivery before peripartum hysterectomy was Caesarean section. The most common indication was atonic postpartum haemorrhage. Better protocols for induction and augmentation of labour will decrease the necessity of peripartum hysterectomies.
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INTRODUCTION

Emergency peripartum hysterectomy (EPH) is a major surgical venture invariably performed in the setting of life-threatening haemorrhage during or immediately after abdominal and vaginal deliveries. It was first proposed in 1869. Hysterectomy following caesarean section (CS) was first described by Porro and was used to prevent maternal mortality due to post-partum haemorrhage. In modern obstetrics, the overall incidence of EPH is 0.05%, but there are considerable differences in incidence in different parts of the world, depending on modern obstetric services, standards and awareness of antenatal care, and the effectiveness of family planning activities of a given community.

The risk factors for post-partum haemorrhage include coagulopathies, uterine atony, retained products of conception, precipitate or prolonged labour, foetal macrosomia or multiparity, maternal obesity and previous primary post-partum haemorrhage. Compared with vaginal delivery there is a strong association between...
caesarean section and emergency peripartum hysterectomy. Because of the increase of both caesarean and artificial reproductive technique induced multiple pregnancy, the incidence of emergency obstetric hysterectomy is likely to raise worldwide. In the past the most common indications were haemorrhage and rupture uterus. Recent reports show that abnormal placental adherents-placenta previa is emerging as the major indication for obstetric hysterectomy.

METHODS

This is a cross sectional study for a period of one year from September 2018 to August 2019, of patients who underwent emergency peripartum hysterectomy within 24 hrs of delivery and followed up till discharge from hospital. The sample size was derived by including all the cases of emergency peripartum hysterectomy done during the study period. The study was assessed and analysed by student t test.

Inclusion criteria

- All women who underwent emergency peripartum hysterectomy with gestational age more than 28 weeks, within 24 hours following delivery.

Exclusion criteria

- All women who underwent emergency peripartum hysterectomy with gestational age less than 28 weeks
- Women who underwent obstetric hysterectomy for symptomatic fibroid, cervical dysplasia or carcinoma in situ.

RESULTS

Table 2 shows out of 24 peripartum hysterectomies, 4 cases (16.67%) were following vaginal delivery and 20 cases (83.33%) were following caesarean section and laparotomy done for rupture uterus.

Table 1: The frequency of peripartum hysterectomy according to mode of delivery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>No. of deliveries</th>
<th>No. of peripartum hysterectomy</th>
<th>Frequency/1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>16145</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.248/1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean delivery and laparotomy done for rupture uterus</td>
<td>5642</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.544/1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21787</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.102/1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of cases based on previous caesarean section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of previous c/s</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Previous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The frequency of peripartum hysterectomy is 1.102/1000 deliveries and following caesarean section and vaginal deliveries are 3.544/1000 deliveries and 0.248/1000 deliveries respectively.

There were 11 cases (45.83%) with no previous C/S, while 7 cases (29.17%), previous 1 c/s and 6 cases (25%) had previous 2 c/s. Table 3 shows among 24 cases who had peripartum hysterectomy, maximum number of cases i.e., 16 cases were delivered by caesarean section and 4 cases delivered by vaginal route while another 4 cases delivered by laparotomy for rupture uterus.

Table 3: Distribution of cases in relation to mode of present delivery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean sections</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy for rupture uterus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Mode of induction of labour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of induction</th>
<th>Total no. of cases</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No induction</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction with misoprostol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction with dinoprostone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 shows that 16 cases were intra-caesarean hysterectomies, 4 cases were postpartum hysterectomies and 4 cases were hysterectomy following irreparable rupture uterus.
Table 5: Mode of augmentation of labour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of augmentation</th>
<th>Total no. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No augmentation</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>79.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation with oxytocin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 shows that peripartum hysterectomy was done for following indication ie, 12 cases had atonic PPH not responding medical management, 4 cases had irreparable rupture uterus and 8 cases had abnormal placentation with placental site bleed.

Table 6: Distribution of cases according to the time of hysterectomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of hysterectomy</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intra-caesarean</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy (hysterectomy followed by irreparable rupture of uterus)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Distribution of cases showing indications for peripartum hysterectomy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications for peripartum hysterectomy</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atony</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rupture uterus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal placentation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total hysterectomy was performed in 4 cases with abnormal placental site bleeding and subtotal hysterectomy was done in rest of the cases.

Maternal outcome

In this study group, out of 24 cases, 22 cases (91.67%) survived with major number of cases having morbidities and there were 2 (8.33%) maternal death.

The morbidities included

- Prolonged hospital stays: 16 (66.66%)
- ICU admission: 7 (29.17%)
- Disseminated intravascular coagulation: 8 (33.33%)
- Acute renal failure: 6 (25%) of which 2 (8.33%) required dialysis
- Electrolyte imbalance: 4 (16.67%)
- Pulmonary oedema: 2 (8.33%).
- Wound infection: 2 (8.33%)
- Wound gaping: 1 (4.17%)
- Massive blood transfusion: 4 (16.67%).

Mortality cases

There were 2 maternal death.

- Case 1: PPH with DIC (antecedent cause- severe anaemia with abruption placenta with uterine atony)
- Case2: PPH with hypovolemic shock (antecedent cause- placenta previa with APH).

DISCUSSION

Frequency of peripartum hysterectomy in our study was 1.102/1000 deliveries, whereas in Joana Ferreira Carvalho JF et al study was 0.41 per 1,000 deliveries and in Sharma B, et al study was 6.9/1000 deliveries.18,19

In present study 4 peripartum hysterectomy (16.67%) were following vaginal delivery and 20 cases (83.33%) were following caesarean section and laparotomy done for rupture uterus whereas in Carvalho JF, et al study the majority of cases who underwent peripartum hysterectomy (8/13) were following caesarean delivery.18

In present study, 33.33% had DIC, 8.33% had wound infection, and there were 2 maternal deaths.

In Sharma B et al, study disseminated intravascular coagulation was seen in 12.5%, and wound infection was seen in 5% of the women. There were 4 (10%) maternal deaths. Cause of maternal death in all was atonic PPH.

CONCLUSION

Peripartum hysterectomy represents a catastrophic end to a pregnancy for any woman, regardless of whether she considers her family to be complete or not.

Identification of the high-risk factors antenatally and delivery of such cases in an institution or referral centres by skilled birth attendants and following protocols of action, measures that can contribute to reduce high
maternal morbidity and mortality associated with emergency peripartum hysterectomy.
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