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Accuracy of visual inspection with acetic acid for cervical pathology screening in low cost setup
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ABSTRACT

Background: Cancer cervix, a preventable disease continues to be a cause of great concern to women’s health, being associated with agonizing morbidity and high mortality. Approximately 493,100 new cases and more than 273,000 deaths occur each year, among women worldwide. In India the screening is largely based on pap smear, which is cumbersome procedure due to transportation of samples and follow up of patients are not usually feasible. Visual inspection with Acetic acid (VIA) is simple and easy to teach procedure. Follow-up of patients usually doesn’t require.

Methods: This study includes a total of 1000 patients who attended OPD of gynecology. Each patient is subjected to VIA examination. Biopsy is taken from women with abnormal findings on VIA. The objectives of this study were to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity and positive predictive value of inspection of cervix with acetic acid in precancerous lesions of cervix.

Results: When biopsy is taken as reference standard, VIA has sensitivity of 97.7%, specificity of 76.6%, PPV of 62.9% and NPV of 98.5%. The accuracy rate for VIA is 84.8%.

Conclusions: VIA is highly sensitive for diagnosis and treatment of cervical pathology at the same sitting. It can be done cost effectively in low resource set up.
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INTRODUCTION

India, which accounts for one sixth of world’s population, also bears one fifth of world’s burden of cervical cancer. It is the foremost common cancer among women in India. There are approximately 130,000 new cases of cervical cancer in India per annum and therefore the disease is reported to be liable for almost 20% of all female deaths.

Simultaneously, there's also evidence that India is on the verge of an outsized HIV epidemic. The Indian National AIDS Control Organization estimates that the number of people living with HIV is approximately 5.1 million (38% of whom were women). This suggests cause for concern given the strong association between HIV and HPV infections and evidence of more rapid progression of HPV infections to cervical neoplasia in HIV infected women.

Global evidence demonstrates that the key to reducing cervical cancer morbidity and mortality is early detection coupled with timely treatment of cervical precancerous lesions.

WHO states that cervical cancer is totally preventable disease. Preventable but not prevented is the glaring reality in India. Given this reality and the fact that screening by pap smear (cytological) does have pitfalls.
Cytology based screening programmes for cervical cancer cannot be provided on a large scale in developing countries because they are based on transportation, communication, follow-up and training. It is apparent that cervical cancer screening based on an approach other than just pap smears is needed.

One such method is visual inspection with acetic acid. The cervix is washed with acetic acid and then inspected by eye for evidence of disease. This has potential advantages over traditional screening techniques in poorly resourced locations as it does not require a second person for interpretation of results nor a second visit by the patient to collect the report as there is immediate feedback of test results to the patient and importantly, treatment can be provided immediately after the test. VIA has been recommended by WHO as an alternative to cytology to pick up a patient at risk for cancer cervix.

**Advantages of VIA**

- Simple and easy to learn approach
- VIA is cheap so very cost effective
- The negative predictive value of VIA is sufficiently high to assure screening of negative women.

Most important advantage particularly in a population like that seen in the present study with high illiteracy rate and poor follow up is immediate availability of test result. This facilitates diagnosis (colposcopy/biopsy) and treatment to be carried out in the same visit. Single visit approach provides treatment with cryotherapy without opting for diagnostic investigations or referral. This approach has been found to be safe, acceptable and feasible.

The three types of errors leading to false-negative results of Papanicolaou smears like (1) sampling error (2) screening error (3) interpretative errors can be improved by using the Ayre spatula first followed by the endocervical brush. Fewer smears will be obscured by blood which could result in more squamous intraepithelial lesions being detected. The present study was undertaken to assess the sensitivity and specificity of VIA in picking up preinvasive or invasive cancer in an abnormal cervix.

Objective of this study were to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity and positive predictive value of inspection of cervix with acetic acid in precancerous lesions of cervix. To evaluate VIA of cervix in picking preinvasive and invasive cancer of cervix.

**METHODS**

This study was conducted at Govt. Medical College, Rajnandgaon over a period of 2 years, from September 2015 to August 2017. The patients for the study were selected randomly from those attending outpatient department of obstetrics and gynecology at Govt. Medical College, Rajnandgaon.

It is a prospective study conducted on 1000 gynecological outpatients for visual inspection of cervix after application of 3% acetic acid followed by biopsy in women with abnormal or suspicious areas on VIA, in predicting sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value in precancerous lesions of cervix.

**Inclusion criteria**

- Women aged 18 years and above and sexually active
- Multiparous women
- Women treated for vaginal infections
- Women with history of sexually transmitted diseases
- Women with family history of genital malignancies.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Pregnant women
- Women with post hysterectomy status
- Women with current vaginal infections
- Women with previous history of treatment for cervical carcinoma
- Women with active bleeding p/v.

**Materials**

- Sterile Sims speculum and anterior vaginal wall retractor
- Sponge holding forceps and swabs and cotton swabs on sticks
- Normal saline
- Acetic acid 3% - freshly prepared. Light source
- Sterile Cusco’s bivalve speculum of different standard sizes
- Cervical punch biopsy forceps.
- Examination table.
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Method of collection of data

After explaining the need for, and the procedure, after taking verbal consent, the data is collected by examination of the outpatients. Patient is put in lithotomy position. First an unaided visual inspection of cervix is performed under good illumination and findings noted. Cervix is painted with 3% freshly prepared acetic acid solution using a sterile cotton swab. Cervix is inspected after 1 minute and the changes in surface epithelium were noted. Results are noted either positive if there is acetowhite areas or negative if no acetowhite areas seen. The VIA findings are recorded. Direct punch biopsy is taken from those who showed positive VIA (Figure 1).

Biopsy is used as reference standard for statistical calculations. Biopsy is considered positive if it shows CIN lesions.

RESULTS

This is a prospective study undertaken to investigate the risk factors in association with premalignant and malignant lesions of cervix with visual inspection of cervix after application of 3% acetic-acid and biopsy of abnormal lesions. The study consisted of 1000 gynaecological patients.

In our prospective study sensitivity of VIA is 97.2%, specificity is 76.6%, positive predictive value is 62.9%, and negative predictive value is 98.5% (Table 1).

Table 1: Statistical analysis, comparison of via with biopsy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIA findings</th>
<th>Total number of patients</th>
<th>Biopsy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>282 (62.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>8 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>290 (29.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity %</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity %</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV %</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV %</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy %</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 218.215; p&lt;0.001$**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The study was done on patients attending gynecological outpatient departments of obstetrics and gynaecology in Govt. Medical College Rajnadgaon Chhattisgarh. The study is compared with other authors who had done similar studies and results evaluated. In present study five hundred cases were subjected to VIA patients with acetowhite areas were subjected to biopsy.

In a study conducted by Sankarnarayanan sensitivity was 96% and 90% respectively.\(^4\)

Goel et al, found sensitivity 96.7%. Bharani et al found sensitivity of 100%.\(^6,7\)

In present study sensitivity was 97.7% which was correlating with Goel et al\(^6\) and Sankarnarayanan Table 2.\(^4\)

In the study conducted by Doh et al specificity was 77.6%.\(^8\)

In a study by Sankarnarayanan et al, specificity was 65% and 81.9% respectively.

In the present study specificity is 76.6% which correlated with study conducted by Doh et al and Sankarnarayanan et al Table 3.
In a study by Barani et al, positive predictive value was 75%.

In a study conducted by Megevand et al, positive predictive value was 72%. In our prospective study, positive predictive value is 62.9% which corresponds to above-mentioned study done previously Table 4.

**Table 4: Comparison of positive predictive value of VIA of various authors.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Reference standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barani et al</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Colposcopy/Biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megevand et al, 9</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>Colposcopy/Biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION**

During the past decade, much has been written about the challenges involved in detecting cervical cancer in low-resource settings and the strategies that are likely to be most effective in these settings.

The detection of intraepithelial or preclinical invasive cervical neoplasia should not depend on the possession of a colposcope. On the other hand, use of colposcopy is essential for the selection of CIN that can be treated with ultraconservative therapy or with colposcopically directed conisation.

Many aspects of VIA make it an appealing approach for use in low-resource settings. In most cases, costs associated with launching and sustaining VIA screening are lower than those associated with other methods. VIA is a relatively simple, easy-to-learn approach that is only somewhat reliant upon infrastructure for its adequate performance, assuming that sufficiently trained providers are available. The approach does not require laboratory involvement and non-physicians can perform the procedure, provided that they receive adequate and ongoing training. As a result, VIA generally has the potential for greater population coverage than other available screening approaches. The results of the procedure are available immediately, making it possible to provide further management, including an offer of immediate treatment of some suspected precancerous lesions during the same visit.

Hence, the benefits of low-cost, ease of implementation and a point-of-care diagnosis and treatment algorithm will be powerful incentives for developing countries to pursue visual inspection as a screening procedure for cervical cancer.
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