Clinicopathological correlation of abdominal hysterectomy
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ABSTRACT
Background: Hysterectomy is the most common gynaecological procedure performed worldwide. Abdominal hysterectomy remains the most common approach though recently there has been preference towards laparoscopic hysterectomy. Fibroid uterus is the most common indication for hysterectomy followed by pelvic organ prolapse, benign ovarian tumour and abnormal uterine bleeding. The objective of this study was to analyse the indication, outcome and correlate the clinical indication with the histopathological diagnosis.

Methods: This study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, B. P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, from January 2017 to December 2019. This is a descriptive analysis of the patients who had undergone abdominal hysterectomy during the study period.

Results: A total of 801 patients underwent abdominal hysterectomy and the most common indication was fibroid uterus (n=391, 48.81%), followed by abnormal uterine bleeding. The total complication rate was 3.24% (n=26) and we had one (0.1%) mortality. Histopathological analysis of the specimen revealed leiomyoma (54.43%) as the most common finding. The clinical indication and histopathological diagnosis matched in 373 (86.94%) patients.

Conclusions: Abdominal hysterectomy is the most common approach and it is associated with risk of complications, so the indication for hysterectomy should be adequately evaluated. With the improvement in the different organ-preserving options, hysterectomy in benign disease should only be opted when all the other conservative options fails.
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INTRODUCTION
Hysterectomy is the most common surgery performed by the gynaecologist and it is the second most common surgical procedure performed among the women.1,2 It is a procedure whereby the uterus is removed using any of the various approaches either via abdominal or vaginal or endoscopic surgery for different benign or malignant conditions of female genital tract.2-4 The surgical approach depends on the surgeon’s preferences and experience, indications for surgery, nature of diseases and the patient characteristics and concomitant procedures. Rate of hysterectomy varies from one country to another; the rate of hysterectomy is 2.13-3.62/1000 in Germany and 5.4/1000 in United States.5 In the study from Denmark, total of 154,882 hysterectomies were performed for benign conditions from year 1977 to 2009.6
Leiomyoma, abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB), pelvic organ prolapse and benign ovarian tumours are some of the commonest benign conditions where hysterectomy is indicated. The malignant indication of hysterectomy includes cervical cancers, ovarian cancers, cancer endometrium. Sometimes, it is performed for pre-invasive conditions of cervix and endometrium, and sometimes pregnancy related complications even can lead to hysterectomy.3,6-8 Vaginal hysterectomy (VH) is the most recommended approach followed by minimal invasive approach, however choice of technique can be influenced by many factors like the size and shape of the uterus, extend of extra-uterine diseases, accessibility of the uterus,
experience of the surgeon and so on. VH is not always feasible and minimal invasive approach is technically demanding. Therefore, though recently there has been inclining toward VH and minimal invasive approach, abdominal hysterectomy is still the most common approach.

Uterus is an organ of self-being and has medical, sexual and emotional significance to women. A study from United States found that as high as 70% hysterectomies were inappropriately selected. Therefore hysterectomy should always be justified and should only be opted as last resort when all the other organ preserving measures fails. The aim of this study was to describe the indications, correlate the preoperative diagnosis with histopathological diagnosis and outcome of abdominal hysterectomy.

**METHODS**

This study is a descriptive study carried out at B. P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Dharan, Nepal, from January 2017 to December 2019. Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional review board before the start of the study. All the patients who had undergone abdominal hysterectomy at BPKIHS during the study period were included in the study. Hysterectomy done outside and referred to BPKIHS for various other reasons, hysterectomy done by other approach (VH or minimal invasive hysterectomy), hysterectomy done for malignancy and emergency hysterectomy were excluded from the study. Case record of all the patient were reviewed and patient’s demography, indication of surgery, complication and mortality were noted. Abdominal hysterectomy included total abdominal hysterectomy (TAH), TAH with bilateral salpingectomy or bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (BSO). All the histopathological diagnosis was noted and was compared with the preoperative diagnosis to see the accuracy and justify the need of hysterectomy.

All the data were in initially entered in the excel sheet and finally converted and analysed using statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) 21.0 IBM. Categorical variables were compared using the Fischer exact test (2 sided). Significant was set at p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

During the study period of three years, we performed 801 (70.8% of total hysterectomy) abdominal hysterectomy for benign diseases of female genital tract. The means age of these patients was 46.45±8.39 standard deviation (SD) years ranging from 26-82 years and the maximum number of hysterectomy was done in the age group between 41-50 years (n=407, 50.81%). TAH with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (TAH with BSO) was the most common abdominal hysterectomy (n=638, 79.65%), and it was mostly performed in the patients more than 40 years age (n=536, 84.01%) (Table 1). Fibroid uterus was the most common indication for hysterectomy (n=391, 48.81%), followed by adnexal mass and abnormal uterine bleeding (Figure 1). These three were the major indications (n=710, 88.63%) for abdominal hysterectomy. The less common indication included abdominopelvic mass (n=49, 6.12%), adenomyosis (n=28, 3.5%) and endometrial hyperplasia (n=9, 1.12%).

The total complication rate was 3.24% (n=26), out of with 13 (50%) had intraoperative and rest had post-operative complications. Thirteen patients had iatrogenic bowel injury (n=9, 1.12%) and bladder injury (n=4, 0.5%) during the surgery (Table 2). All the injuries were detected intraoperatively. All these patients underwent primary repair and had uneventful recovery, expect for one patient who had sigmoid injury requiring sigmoid colostomy. There were one (0.1%) mortality. The patient had rupture ovarian dermoid and died postoperative due to septicaemia.

Histopathological analysis of the specimen revealed benign lesion in 776 (96.87%) patients and the remaining 25 (3.12%) patients operated for benign condition had malignancy. Leiomyoma (n=436, 54.43%) was the most common histopathological finding followed by benign ovarian tumour (n=210, 26.22%), adenomyosis (n=92, 11.49%) and endometriosis (n=10, 1.25%). Mature cystic teratoma (n=87, 41.42%) was the most common benign ovarian tumour, followed by endometriosis (n=41, 19.52%), haemorrhagic cyst (n=28, 13.33%) and serous cystadenoma (n=27, 12.85%). Twenty five patients operated for benign disease had malignancy on the histopathological examination and most of them had ovarian carcinoma (n=15, 60%) (Table 3).

The patients who underwent hysterectomy for symptomatic fibroid, 350 (89.51%) had histopathological diagnosis as leiomyoma and remaining 41 (10.48%) patients revealed to have alternative diagnosis. Adenomyosis (n=24, 6.1%), was the most common unexpected histopathological diagnosis operated for fibroid disease and in seven (1.7%) patients who were operative for fibroid disease had malignant disease on histopathological examination (Table 4).

Similarly, 22 patients operated for adenomyosis had leiomyoma in five patients. Ten patients operated for endometrial hyperplasia/polyph had leiomyoma and endometrial polyph (4 each) and a case of endometrial carcinoma. Our clinical indication for hysterectomy was similar to the histopathological diagnosis in 373 (86.94%) patients and rest 56 (13.05%) patients had different histopathological diagnosis.

Most of the patients operated for adnexal mass had ovarian pathology (n=190, 91.78%). Mature cystic teratoma (n=74, 35.74 %), endometriosis (n=38, 18.35%), serous cystadenoma (n=20, 9.66%) and haemorrhagic cyst (n=22, 10.6%) were the most common histopathological findings in the adnexal mass, also 10 (4.83%) of them had...
malignancy (ovarian carcinoma=9, metastatic carcinoma=1) (Table 5). There were 112 patients requiring hysterectomy for AUB after failed medical management and in these patients leiomyoma (n=59, 52.68%) was the most common histopathological finding followed by adenomyosis (n=41, 36.61%). Mature cystic teratoma (n=12, 24.49%) was also the commonest histological finding in the patients operated for abdominopelvic mass, followed by leiomyoma (n=8, 16.33%). Also there were six (12.24%) cases of malignancy in abdominopelvic mass. Finally, after analysing the clinical diagnosis and histopathological findings, 70 (8.73%) patients either did not have significant histological findings (chronic cervicitis=2, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia-CIN II/III=4) or hysterectomy could have been avoided by conservative approach (endometritis=10, para tubal cyst=11, endometrial polyp=5, haemorrhagic cyst=28 and others=10).

Table 1: Types of hysterectomy in different age-group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type of hysterectomy</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤30</td>
<td>TAH (%)</td>
<td>8 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>TAH+B/L salpingectomy (%)</td>
<td>194 (24.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>TAH+BSO (%)</td>
<td>407 (50.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>TAH+B/L salpingectomy (%)</td>
<td>138 (17.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>TAH+BSO (%)</td>
<td>44 (5.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>TAH+BSO (%)</td>
<td>9 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>TAH+BSO (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>14 (1.75)</td>
<td>149 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>638 (79.65)</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Indication for abdominal hysterectomy.

Table 2: Intraoperative and post-operative variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No. of patients (n=1131)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intraoperative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel injury</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder injury</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
<td>80.37±27 SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postoperative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>3.55±3 SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest infection</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Histopathological diagnosis of hysterectomy specimen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variants</th>
<th>Total no. of patients (n=801)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leiomyoma</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>54.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign ovarian tumour</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>26.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mature cystic teratoma</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhagic cyst</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variants | Total no. of patients (n=801) | Percentage
---|---|---
Endometriosis | 41 | 5.12%
Mucinous cystadenoma | 11 | 1.37%
Serous cystadenoma | 27 | 3.37%
Others | 16 | 2.00%
Adenomyosis | 92 | 11.49%
Endometritis | 10 | 1.25%
Others | 28 | 3.50%
Malignancy | 25 | 3.12%
Ovarian carcinoma | 15 | 1.88%
Others | 10 | 1.25%

Table 4: Histopathological diagnosis of patients operated for fibroid uterus.

DISCUSSION

Hysterectomy is one of the most common gynaecological surgery performed worldwide. There are various approaches for hysterectomy, but abdominal hysterectomy is still the most common route, though recently there has been rise and preference towards vaginal and laparoscopic hysterectomy.\textsuperscript{9,10,16,17} Abdominal hysterectomy (n=801, 70.8%) was also the most common approach in our institute. The means age of these patients was 46.45±8.39 SD years and the maximum number of hysterectomy was done in the age group between 41-50 years (n=407, 50.81%), which was similar to other studies and study from US, where 78.3% of the patients were less than 55 years.\textsuperscript{3,16,18}

Fibroid uterus was the most common indication for hysterectomy worldwide except in few countries like Canada, where dysfunctional uterine bleeding (DUB) is the most common indication for hysterectomy.\textsuperscript{1,8,10} Pelvic organ prolapse, AUB, adenomyosis and ovarian tumors were the other common indication for hysterectomy.\textsuperscript{11,16,19} Pelvic organ prolapse is the second most common indication for hysterectomy in the developing countries and its incidence in the developed countries has been decreasing.\textsuperscript{11,20} Pelvic organ prolapse though is common in our institute, our approach in mainly vaginal route and not the abdominal, though there are studies with success rate as high as 94.7% by vaginal route for well selected patients.\textsuperscript{9} Although the vaginal hysterectomy has been recommended as the most appropriate route, it is not always feasible in all the patients, and hence there has been increasing trend towards minimal invasive hysterectomy.\textsuperscript{4,9,21} Abdominal hysterectomy carries highest complication rate varying from 10.9% to 26.4%, which is much higher than we experienced (n=26, 3.24%).\textsuperscript{11,12,16} Having said so, VH is not always feasible and laparoscopic approach is technically demanding and
costly, hence abdominal hysterectomy is preferred by most in the literature, as seen in this study, where abdominal hysterectomy was our preferred (n=801, 70.8%) route.11,14,16,19

The most common histopathological finding in our study were leiomyoma, benign ovarian tumour and adenomyosis, and these three were accounted for 738 (92.13%) specimen analysed, which was similar to the other studies.22,23 In the study by Rai et al ovarian disease (80.3%) was the most common cause for adnexal mass which was similar to our study (91.78%) and mature cystic teratoma was the most common histopathological finding in both adnexal and abdominopelvic mass.24 There were 112 patients (13.98%) present with abnormal uterine bleeding requiring hysterectomy for failed medical management. Leiomyoma and adenomyosis were the most common (89.28%) histopathological finding in those AUB patients, similar to the study by Sawke et al where adenomyosis followed by leiomyoma was the common cause.25

Hysterectomy like any other surgery is associated with complications and also is it the organ of self-being for women, both of which is the cause of dissatisfaction. Hence, the reason for hysterectomy should always be justified. Broder et al evaluated the appropriateness of recommendations for hysterectomies done for benign disease and revealed that 70% (n=367) of hysterectomies didn’t meet the level of care recommended by the expert panel.13 This finding raised an eyebrow on the need of hysterectomy, and that actually there may be misuse or overused of hysterectomy. We found that in 731 (91.26%) patients, there was histopathological evidence for need of hysterectomy, this was similar to the study where hysterectomy was justified in 91.37-98.9% patients.16,20 There are different organ preserving options including myomectomy, embolization (fibroid or uterine artery), endometrial ablation, polypectomy and so on.3,17 Depending on the disease pattern, facility and expertise availability these organ-preserving options should be explored rigorously before opting for hysterectomy. We believe that, in our study 70 (8.73%) hysterectomies could have been avoided because some of these patients didn’t have significant pathology on histopathological examination and in other, the disease might have been amenable to the organ preserving surgery. However, it is necessary to emphasize that twenty-five (3.12%) patients whom we had operated for benign disease turnout to have malignancy on histopathological examination, so wherever there is high index of suspicion, hysterectomy may be justified.

CONCLUSION

Hysterectomy is the second most common surgery in the women and abdominal hysterectomy is the commonest approach. Leiomyoma is the most common indication for hysterectomy and mature cystic teratoma is the most common ovarian pathology. The clinical indication for hysterectomy correlate with most of the histopathological specimen but the hysterectomy should be done only when all the other organ-sparing option fails. However, there should not be hesitation to opt it as the initial choice when there is high index of clinical suspicion for malignancy.
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