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INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble substance which after activation becomes hormone. This vitamin is found in two forms; vitamin D2 or ergocalciferol which is manufactured by plants or fungus and vitamin D3 or cholecalciferol which is the most effective form in human body. Vitamin D plays significant role in bone mineralization through the maintenance of calcium and phosphorus homeostasis. Several evidence suggests that vitamin D influences several pathophysiological processes and is also known to modulate both innate and adaptive immunity. Vitamin D deficiency is responsible for the risk of several chronic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases. Hypovitaminosis D is highly prevalent among pregnant women. Several important functions in pregnancy, including glucose homeostasis, placental function, inflammatory response and infection control has been linked with Vitamin D. Increases the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preeclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus and small-for-gestational-age is related with vitamin D deficiency. Macrosomia, birth trauma, respiratory distress syndrome, jaundice, hypoglycemia, and an increased rate of primary cesarean section, preterm labor, are the complications of infant of mother with GDM. Infants of mothers with GDM are at a higher risk of obesity.
and diabetes in later life compared to their unexposed siblings. In addition, GDM is also related with a high risk of the development of diabetes in these women in later life.11,12 Several studies have shown associations between maternal serum vitamin D concentrations in the 1st or early 2nd trimester and the development of GDM.13-15 These findings were supported by a meta-analysis of observational studies that indicated a consistent association between vitamin D deficiency and an increased risk of maternal GDM.16 Patients having GDM have significantly low vitamin D level than normal counterparts and responsible for development of type 2 diabetes in GDM mothers. Given the high prevalence of vitamin D (70-100%) deficiency in developing countries, especially in Asian pregnant women and evidence that vitamin D supplementation in gestational diabetes patients had beneficial effects on fasting plasma glucose and serum insulin levels.5,17-19 It is important to evaluate the association of GDM with this condition of high vitamin D deficiency in these countries. Therefore, this study, was conducted in an ongoing prospective observational on healthy pregnant women to examine the relationship between vitamin D levels and GDM status during pregnancy.

METHODS

This case-control study was performed at the department of obstetrics and gynecology of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib medical university from January 2019 to December 2019. The eligibility criteria for the study included pregnant women aged 18 to 40 years registering for antenatal screening in their third trimester planning to deliver at study institution. Women with multiple fetuses, chronic illness, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiac disease, or thyroid disease were excluded from the study. At recruitment the demographic and anthropometric variables studied were age, socio-economic status, weight, educational qualification, occupation, family history of diabetes, maternal body mass index (BMI). The obstetrical variables were gestational age, gravida, parity and biochemical parameters were measurement of serum 25(OH)D, fasting blood sugar Blood sugar 2 hours following 75 gm oral glucose load. All pregnant women attending antenatal clinics are screened using a 75-gm oral glucose. GDM was defined according to the recommended actions of the American diabetes association (ADA) such that women were diagnosed with GDM if two or more of the following exceeded ADA criteria after a 75-gm oral glucose tolerance test: fasting ≥5.3 mmol/L (95 mg/dL); 2 h ≥8.6 mmol/L (155 mg/dL).20 According to previously published criteria, vitamin D sufficiency (≥30 ng/mL or ≥75 nmol/L), insufficiency (20-30 ng/mL, 50-75 nmol/L), were used to categories participants according to their 25(OH)D concentrations gestational age (in weeks) was calculated from the last date of the menstrual cycle and confirmed through ultrasonography.4 5 ml of blood was taken from antecubital vein. Serum vitamin D level was measured by chemiluminescence micro particles immunoassay method (CM1A) in Abbott architect system. Serum 25 (OH) D levels of all study participants were measured. Data were analyzed by using computer software SPSS (Statistical package for social sciences, version 23) and statistical analysis was performed by Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test for the comparison of data presented in categorical scale and two-tailed Student’s t-test for comparison of data presented on a continuous scale. The p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Strength of association was determined by the estimating odds ratio and their 95% confidence intervals.

RESULTS

Seventy percent of cases and 90% of control group belongs to 20-30 years of age range the mean BMI was higher in case (30.6±5.1) group compared to that in control group (23.91±3.7) but the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant. Mean fasting plasma glucose and mean 2- hours plasma glucose was significantly higher in case group in comparison to that in control group. The mean serum vitamin D level was lower in case 23.4 (17.4±35.1) group compared to that in control group 29.7 (15.4±39.8) and the difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p=0.001). Regarding the comparison of serum vitamin D between GDM and normal pregnant women the mean of sufficient level of vitamin D was more in control group (36.4±2.07) than that of case group (23.5±0.69). This finding was statistically significant (p=0.041). Mean of insufficient level of vitamin D was lower in case (35.05±3.50) group than that of control (24.6±3.1) group. This finding was statistically significant (p=0.021). The comparisons of serum vitamin D between GDM and normal pregnant women shows sufficient level of vitamin D was more in control group (66.7%) than that of case (26.1%) group. Insufficient level of vitamin D was higher in case (73.3%) than that of control (33.3%) group. These findings were statistically significant (p=0.021). Respondents with insufficient level of vitamin D have 3.1 times more chance to develop GDM. (OR=3.1; 95% CI=2.1-8.60).

<p>| Table 1: Distribution of the study patients by age (case=30, control=30). |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>With GDM</th>
<th>Without GDM</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>N=30</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N=30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table 2: Body mass index of the respondents (case=30, control=30). |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
<th>With GDM, (n=30), Mean±SD</th>
<th>Without GDM, (n=30), Mean±SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>30.6±5.1</td>
<td>23.91±3.7</td>
<td>1.201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this study, it was observed that most of patients belonged to age 20-30 years. Our study is consistent with the findings that the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency among the study population was found 80% of the study population belongs to 31-50 years of age range which was not similar.\(^{21}\) Lowest mean vitamin D level was found in the younger age group 18-30 years (mean-12.63, SD4.62). 95.3% of study population was Muslim which is almost similar to this study.\(^{22}\) It was observed that majority of the participants 83.3% of control group and 63.3% of case group were at the period of 30 weeks of gestation. The mean BMI was higher in case (30.6±5.1) group compared to that in control group (23.9±3.7) but the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant. An association between vitamin D and body fat has been found in several studies.\(^{2,3,4}\) As body fat and weight gain are risk factors for GDM, it is important to adjust for body fat as a confounder of the association between vitamin D and GDM.\(^{5}\) Asians are known to have a body composition with more visceral and central fat and more fat per BMI unit compared with Western subjects which contribute to an increased insulin resistance, particularly seen in South Asians.\(^{6}\) The mean serum vitamin D level was lower in case 23.4 (17.4±35.1) group compared to that in control group 29.7 (15.4±39.8) and the difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Our findings of the association of low maternal plasma vitamin D concentrations in pregnancy with GDM is consistent with findings from three separate meta-analyses of published studies emphasizing the role of vitamin D.\(^{7,8,9}\) An increase in the risk of GDM by 40-60% in women with vitamin D deficiency during the third trimester of pregnancy has also been previously observed.\(^{27,30}\) In a study showed a potentially beneficial role for vitamin D in reducing the risk of GDM (RR: 0.61, 95%CI 0.34-0.83) in 2,643 pregnant women.\(^{31}\) Cross sectional studies conducted by Clifton-Bligh et al at mid-pregnancy demonstrated a poor vitamin D status as the risk factor for poor glucose control.\(^{32}\) The current study showed a negative correlation between the vitamin D concentration and GTT values which is in alignment with the findings of Maghbooli et al confirming the association of poor vitamin D status and the risk of GDM through a negative correlation between serum vitamin D and fasting plasma glucose.\(^{33}\) Mean of sufficient level of vitamin D was more in control group (36.4±2.70) than that of case (23.5±0.69) group. This finding was statistically significant (p=0.041). Mean of insufficient level of vitamin D was lower in case (35.0±3.50) group than that of control (24.6±3.1) group. This finding was statistically significant (p=0.021). The risk of GDM in relation with low level of vitamin D was measured by odds ratio with 95% confidence interval and it was found 3.1. Respondents with insufficient level of vitamin D had 3.1 times more chance to develop GDM (OR=3.1; 95% CI=1.20-8.60). A 5-ng/mL increase in total 25(OH)D concentration was associated with a 14% reduction in GDM risk (OR 0.86 [95% CI 0.77, 0.97]). Women with total 25(OH)D deficiency had a 1.97-fold increased risk of GDM compared with women who were total 25(OH)D sufficient (≥30 ng/mL) [95% CI 1.12, 3.47] in the unadjusted model. Women in the lower three quartiles for total 25(OH)D concentration had higher risk of GDM compared with women in the highest quartile in unadjusted models (p=0.013).\(^{34}\) Our findings are similar to some previous studies that investigated vitamin D status and GDM risk, but were different from others.\(^{34,35}\) Previously, in a nested case-control study conducted among 57 GDM cases and 114 controls, our research group reported that vitamin D deficiency was associated with a 2.66-fold

### Table 3: Mean of serum vitamin D level between GDM and non-diabetic pregnant women (case n=30, control n=30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum biochemical parameters</th>
<th>With GDM, (n=30), Mean±SD</th>
<th>Without GDM, (n=30), Mean±SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.1±1.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-hours plasma glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>8.0±0.6</td>
<td>5.6±0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum vitamin D (ng/ml)</td>
<td>23.4 (17.4±35.1)</td>
<td>29.7 (15.4±39.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Comparison of serum vitamin D between GDM and normal pregnant women (case n=30, control n=30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin D level (ng/ml)</th>
<th>With GDM, (n=30)</th>
<th>Without GDM, (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sufficiency (&gt;30 ng/ml)</td>
<td>8 (26.1)</td>
<td>20 (66.7)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficiency (&lt;30 ng/ml)</td>
<td>22 (73.3)</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for gestational diabetes (GDM) according to maternal plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D 25(OH)D concentrations in pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum vitamin D (ng/ml)</th>
<th>With GDM, n (%)</th>
<th>Without GDM, n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient (&gt;30 ng/ml)</td>
<td>8 (26.1)</td>
<td>20 (66.7)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.1 (1.20-8.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient (&lt;30 ng/ml)</td>
<td>22 (73.3)</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION

In this study, it was observed that most of patients belonged to age 20-30 years. Our study is consistent with the findings that the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency among the study population was found 80% of the study population belongs to 31-50 years of age range which was not similar.\(^{21}\) Lowest mean vitamin D level was found in the younger age group 18-30 years (mean-12.63, SD4.62). 95.3% of study population was Muslim which is almost similar to this study.\(^{22}\) It was observed that majority of the participants 83.3% of control group and 63.3% of case group were at the period of 30 weeks of gestation. The mean BMI was higher in case (30.6±5.1) group compared to that in control group (23.9±3.7) but the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant. An association between vitamin D and body fat has been found in several studies.\(^{2,3,4}\) As body fat and weight gain are risk factors for GDM, it is important to adjust for body fat as a confounder of the association between vitamin D and GDM.\(^{5}\) Asians are known to have a body composition with more visceral and central fat and more fat per BMI unit compared with Western subjects which contribute to an increased insulin resistance, particularly seen in South Asians.\(^{6}\) The mean serum vitamin D level was lower in case 23.4 (17.4±35.1) group compared to that in control group 29.7 (15.4±39.8) and the difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Our findings of the association of low maternal plasma vitamin D concentrations in pregnancy with GDM is consistent with findings from three separate meta-analyses of published studies emphasizing the role of vitamin D.\(^{7,8,9}\) An increase in the risk of GDM by 40-60% in women with vitamin D deficiency during the third trimester of pregnancy has also been previously observed.\(^{27,30}\) In a study showed a potentially beneficial role for vitamin D in reducing the risk of GDM (RR: 0.61, 95%CI 0.34-0.83) in 2,643 pregnant women.\(^{31}\) Cross sectional studies conducted by Clifton-Bligh et al at mid-pregnancy demonstrated a poor vitamin D status as the risk factor for poor glucose control.\(^{32}\) The current study showed a negative correlation between the vitamin D concentration and GTT values which is in alignment with the findings of Maghbooli et al confirming the association of poor vitamin D status and the risk of GDM through a negative correlation between serum vitamin D and fasting plasma glucose.\(^{33}\) Mean of sufficient level of vitamin D was more in control group (36.4±2.70) than that of case (23.5±0.69) group. This finding was statistically significant (p=0.041). Mean of insufficient level of vitamin D was lower in case (35.0±3.50) group than that of control (24.6±3.1) group. This finding was statistically significant (p=0.021). The risk of GDM in relation with low level of vitamin D was measured by odds ratio with 95% confidence interval and it was found 3.1. Respondents with insufficient level of vitamin D had 3.1 times more chance to develop GDM (OR=3.1; 95% CI=1.20-8.60). A 5-ng/mL increase in total 25(OH)D concentration was associated with a 14% reduction in GDM risk (OR 0.86 [95% CI 0.77, 0.97]). Women with total 25(OH)D deficiency had a 1.97-fold increased risk of GDM compared with women who were total 25(OH)D sufficient (≥30 ng/mL) [95% CI 1.12, 3.47] in the unadjusted model. Women in the lower three quartiles for total 25(OH)D concentration had higher risk of GDM compared with women in the highest quartile in unadjusted models (p=0.013).\(^{34}\) Our findings are similar to some previous studies that investigated vitamin D status and GDM risk, but were different from others.\(^{34,35}\) Previously, in a nested case-control study conducted among 57 GDM cases and 114 controls, our research group reported that vitamin D deficiency was associated with a 2.66-fold
increased risk of subsequent GDM [95% CI 1.01, 7.02]. Three independent meta-analyses of observational studies reported 38-61% higher risk of GDM among women with vitamin D deficiency (total 25[OH]D<50 nmol/L). More recently, Lacroix reported that lower first trimester 25[OH]D concentrations were associated with higher risk of developing GDM (OR 1.48 per decrease of one SD in 25[OH]D concentration, p=0.04)14. Baker et al reported that women with vitamin D deficiency, in early pregnancy, did not have a significantly higher risk of GDM compared with women who did not have vitamin D deficiency (OR 0.78 [95% CI 0.22, 2.78]). Similarly, researchers did not observe associations of vitamin D deficiency with risk of GDM in other studies conducted in Korea, North England, and Australia. Recently, Zhou et al reported that the prevalence of GDM was higher among women with high 25[OH]D (≥30 ng/mL) concentrations compared with women in the low and medium groups (OR 1.02 [95% CI 1.00, 1.03]). Notably, there have not been randomized control trials that were designed to examine associations of vitamin D status with risk of GDM.

CONCLUSION

Serum vitamin D level is reduced in pregnant women having GDM.
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