Obstetric near miss events and maternal deaths in a tertiary care hospital
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ABSTRACT

Background: Maternal mortality is one of the important indicators of maternal health. Objectives of present study were to determine the frequency of maternal near miss, maternal near miss incidence ratio, maternal near miss to mortality ratio and mortality index and to study the risk factors associated with near miss events.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of severe maternal morbidity from January 2015 to December 2015 was studied. Data was collected from women with pregnancy related life-threatening complications, near miss cases and maternal deaths.

Results: The total number of deliveries were 5247. The numbers of maternal deaths were 12. Maternal mortality ratio was 228 per one lakh deliveries. There were 5.3 near miss events for every 1 maternal death. The nature and course of near miss cases were analysed. Severe maternal odds ratio was 14.48. Mortality index was 15.78%. Maternal near miss incidence ratio was 12.19.

Conclusions: Near Miss Mortality indicator is helpful in identifying the life-threatening conditions and thus aiming to prevent maternal mortality. Hypertensive disorders and its complications are the leading causes of near miss events. Sepsis is the main cause of maternal death.
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INTRODUCTION

Maternal mortality is one of the important indicators of maternal health. Reducing the maternal mortality by 75% has been the target of Millennium Development Goal 5, which was not met. Now there is Sustainable Development Goal (SDG 3) the target is to reduce the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 per one lakh live births by 2030.1,2 The standard indicator for measuring the maternal health is the Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) defined as the ratio of the number of maternal deaths per 1,00,000 live births.3

In India there is a decline in MMR compared to 2010 statistics. Severe Acute Maternal Morbidity (SAMM) has been introduced to analyse the quality of the health care system, thus to improve the obstetric care. SAMM and Near Miss events are complimentary to MMR.4

Maternal near miss is defined as a woman who nearly died but survived a complication during pregnancy, childbirth or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy.4,5

If maternal near miss cases are more than the maternal deaths, they provide useful information on quality of health care. Potentially life-threatening conditions end up in near miss events.4 Some of these women end up in mortality, few of them narrowly escape death. Near miss...
cases and maternal deaths together are Severe Maternal Outcome (SMO).

There are three delays which have been identified to analyse the gaps in the management of obstetric emergencies leading to severe maternal complications and death. First delay is in seeking health care by the women due to lack of awareness of the need for care or failure to recognize the warning signals or lack of support of the family. Second delay is inaccessibility of the health care facility due to socioeconomic barriers or lack of transportation facilities. The third delay occurs in receiving adequate care at the facility system due to delay in diagnosis, decision making, deficiencies in medical facilities like availability of blood and blood products or intensive care units, or lack of medical staff who are trained in management of obstetric emergencies. In developing countries like India 75% of women with obstetric complications are already in critical condition when they arrive at a tertiary care. Hence the medical staff at the peripheral health centres should be made aware of the danger signals and utilization of maternal near miss review done.

METHODS

A retrospective study of maternal near miss cases was done for a period of one year from January 2015 to December 2015 at SDM hospital Dharwad. Data was collected from women with potentially life threatening complications. Those who met the criteria for WHO 2009 maternal near miss were selected and studied. Ours is a tertiary care hospital with referral from primary health centres and private hospitals in the surrounding districts. We have 24 hours emergency obstetric care facilities with High Dependency Unit in the labour ward, Intensive Care Unit and 24 hour availability of blood and blood products.

WHO recommended three different criteria for identification of MNM patients. They are clinical, laboratory and management based criteria. Total numbers of live births were recorded. Potentially life threatening complications were studied. Risk factors leading to maternal near miss events based on WHO criteria were studied. The causes of maternal mortality analysed.

Clinical criteria

- Acute cyanosis
- Gasping
- Respiratory Rate >40 or <6/min
- Shock
- Oliguria (urine output <30ml/hour for 4 hours) not responding to fluids or diuretics
- Loss of consciousness ≥12 hours
- Clotting failure (absence of clotting from IV site after 7-10 mins)
- Uncontrollable fits
- Stroke
- Jaundice in the presence of pre-eclampsia
- Loss of consciousness and absence of pulse/heart beat
- Acute thrombocytopenia ≤50,000 platelets
- Bilirubin ≥6.0mg/dl
- Creatinine ≥3.5mg/dl
- Oxygen saturation <90% for >60 minutes
- Transfusion of ≥5 units of PRBCs
- Hysterectomy for postpartum haemorrhage or infection
- Dialysis for acute renal failure
- Intubation and ventilation for >60mins not related to anaesthesia
- Use of continuous vasoactive drugs

The following indices were

SMOR-Severe maternal odds ratio, MMR-Maternal mortality ratio MNM: MNM-Maternal near miss to maternal mortality ratio, MI-Mortality index.

- SMOR- Potentially life threatening conditions (MMN+MD) per 1000 LB.
- MMR-Number of maternal deaths per 1 lakh LB.
- MNM:MMR-Proportion of maternal near miss and maternal deaths, higher the ratio, better is the care.
- MI-Number of maternal deaths divided by the number of women with life threatening complications expressed as percentage (MD/MMN+MD). Lower index indicates better health care.
- MNM incidence ratio-Number of maternal near miss cases per 1000 LB(MNM/1000LB). Increasing ratio indicates improvement in obstetric care.

RESULTS

There were 5247 live births during the study period, maternal deaths were 12 and maternal near miss cases were 64.

There were 582 potentially life threatening conditions among 5247 live births (11.09%). Among these 69.34% were severe pre eclampsia and its related conditions (eclampsia, abortion, HELLP syndrome). Haemorrhage (anaeptum and postpartum) was seen in 23.88%. Cardiac disease like mitral stenosis was seen in 7.04%. Sepsis was seen in 1.03%.

Prevalence of eclampsia was 6.67 per 1000 LB in our study (35 in number). Only one patient has been included as SAMM, who had uncontrollable fits. WHO criteria for neurological dysfunction includes uncontrollable
fits/status epilepticus, prolonged unconsciousness (lasting for ≥12 hours)/coma and stroke.

severe pre-eclampsia (25%) and one case of cardiac disease in pregnancy (8.34%).

Table 1: Potentially life-threatening complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease complication</th>
<th>Number (total 582)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclampsia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abruptio placenta</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum haemorrhage</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectopic pregnancy</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antepartum haemorrhage (placenta previa)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abortion with severe haemorrhage</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac disease with pregnancy</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta accreta</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELLP syndrome</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rupture uterus</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dengue</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Near miss cases, clinical criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical criteria</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eclampsia with uncontrolled fits</td>
<td>01 (35)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abruptio placenta with DIC</td>
<td>03 (40)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe pre-eclampsia, HELLP with jaundice</td>
<td>14 (317)</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atonic PPH with massive transfusion</td>
<td>06 (40)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPH with haemorrhagic shock</td>
<td>10 (44)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruptured ectopic pregnancy with haemorrhagic shock</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
<td>57.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac disease with atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>01 (41)</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine inversion with haemorrhagic shock</td>
<td>01 (2)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rupture uterus with haemorrhagic shock</td>
<td>03 (3)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe pre-eclampsia with CVT</td>
<td>02 (317)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis with septic shock</td>
<td>06 (11)</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hypertension and its complications are the leading causes of MNM cases (patient may fit into multiple criteria).

Table 3: Near miss cases, laboratory criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory based criteria</th>
<th>Number (total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin ≥6.0mg/dl</td>
<td>14 (317)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute thrombocytopenia (platelet count ≤50,000)</td>
<td>14 (317)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation &lt;90% for &gt;60 minutes</td>
<td>04 (30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sepsis is the leading cause of maternal mortality followed by hypertension, haemorrhage and cardiac disease. Among 12 cases, 5 were with puerperal sepsis (41.67%) 3 were due to haemorrhagic shock (25%) 3 were due to

The average age of women who died was 25.5 years. All the 12 cases were referred from nearby hospitals to our hospital in critical condition. Among them 5 were antenatal, 7 were referred after delivery due to jaundice, postpartum haemorrhage, sepsis, acute inversion of uterus, absence of urine output or acute renal failure. The antenatal cases were severe anaemia, pre eclampsia, HELLP syndrome, bronchopneumonia or rheumatic heart disease in congestive cardiac failure.

Table 4: Near miss cases, management criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management based criteria</th>
<th>Number (Total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peripatrum hysterectomy (rupture uterus-3, placenta accreta-3)</td>
<td>06 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admissions</td>
<td>57 (582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubation and ventilation for &gt;60 min not related to anaesthesia</td>
<td>30 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis for acute renal failure</td>
<td>01 (57)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among maternal death group, all the cases were unbooked, referred from other hospitals mainly the PHCs. Among the near miss group 60.9% of the cases were unbooked in our hospitals.

Among the potentially life threatening conditions 85.6% were antenatal women, 0.85% were postnatal and 0.51%
were in early pregnancy and 4 cases were associated conditions like dengue.

Table 6: MNM indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMOR</th>
<th>14.48</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNN incidence ratio</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMR</td>
<td>228/1 LAKH LB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNN:MNR</td>
<td>5.3:1 (for every 1 maternal death there are 5.3 maternal near misses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>15.78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

WHO criteria for identification of obstetric near miss cases is helpful as it considers clinical, laboratory and management based criteria. Hence none of the cases are missed.

In a study by Purandare C, total maternal near miss cases were 264 (0.96%). Delay in seeking treatment was the main factor contributing to MNM.1

In a study by Madhavi, severe pre eclampsia and related conditions (eclampsia, abortion, HELLP syndrome) predominated the list of potentially life threatening conditions. Maternal near miss incidence ratio was 9.2 per 1000 live births. MNN to Mortality Ratio was 11:1. Mortality Index was 8.3%.2

Roopa PS et al in their study found 755 potentially life-threatening cases, 131 near miss cases of the 7330 live births, MNN incidence ratio of 17.8 per 1000 LB.MNN to Mortality ratio was 5.6 to 1. MI was 14.9%. Among the MNN cases, haemorrhage was the leading cause followed by hypertension and then sepsis. Sepsis was the major cause of maternal mortality followed by haemorrhage, cardiac disease and hypertension.4 In a study by Purandare CN, it was found that 62.5% of MNN cases were in antenatal phase, 23.8% in post-natal phase. Haemorrhage was the major complication contributing to 46.9% of all cases.

In a similar study done by Rathod AD et al, over a period of three years there were total of 161 near miss cases. MMR was 2.99/1000 LB, MNN to incidence ratio was 7.56/1000 LB. MI was 29. Haemorrhage was the leading cause of MNN, followed by anaemia. MNN to mortality ratio was 3.43:1 which means for every 3.4 women who survived a life-threatening complication, one woman died.

In a study by Singh A et al, there were two maternal near miss for one maternal death. Hypertension and haemorrhage were the main cause of maternal near miss.

Similar study by Chhabra P, the leading cause of MNN were haemorrhage, hypertension and sepsis.5 In a study by Kalra P et al, near miss incidence was 4.18/1000 LB. MMR was 202/1 lakh LB. MNN:Mortality was 2:1.10

In a study by Parmar N, MMR was 933/1 lakh LB. In a total of 1629 LB .46 near miss cases were recorded. The ratio of MNN to maternal deaths was 2.6:1, MI was 28.1%.11 In the study done by Venkatesh S, the prevalence of SAMM was 2.025%.12

The WHO criteria for pregnancy related life threatening conditions are part of strategy promoted by WHO for assessing and improving quality of maternal health care.13

The current MMR in India is 178/1 lakh LB.14 MMR in our study is 228/1lakh LB.

In present study MNN:MRR is 5.3:1 which means for every 5.3 women who survived a near miss complication, one woman died. MI in our study is 15.78. Lower index indicates better quality of health care. Few patients were brought to our hospital in a state of irreversible shock who could not be survived which highlights the delay in referral of women with danger symptoms and signs.

The health workers, ANMs, ASHA workers should be trained in recognizing the high risk patients and the warning symptoms and signs of pregnancy and hence early referral of these women to the nearest institutions. These women should be encouraged for a institutional delivery. These steps will go a long way in preventing maternal morbidity and mortality.

CONCLUSION

Maternal deaths are only the ‘tip of the iceberg’. There are various risk factors leading to these deaths which have to be prevented. We have to identify the near miss cases and learn from them.

- Maternal near miss incidence ratio is 12.19/1000 live births. Maternal mortality ratio is 228/1 lakh live births. Maternal near miss to mortality ratio is 5.3 to 1.
- WHO criteria to identify the maternal near miss cases should be implemented in all peripheral health centres in rural India to identify the pregnancy related life-threatening conditions (e.g. severe pre-eclampsia and its related conditions, antepartum and postpartum haemorrhage) which helps in timely referral to tertiary hospitals. Our aim is in prevention of maternal mortality.
- Major causes of maternal near miss events and mortality are hypertension, haemorrhage, anaemia and sepsis, these can be prevented.
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