Comparison between Mifepristone and Ulipristal acetate as an alternative to surgical management of uterine fibroids (Leiomyoma) in symptomatic patients of reproductive age group in Asian population
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ABSTRACT

Background: Present study was a randomised prospective observational study carried out at Ashakiran Hospital and IVF centre Pune, Maharashtra, India to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and quality of life by using Ulipristal acetate 10 mg and Mifepristone 25 mg daily doses to treat uterine fibroids of two subgroups involving fibroids <3 cm and fibroids 3 to 5 cm all are in reproductive age group with symptomatic in nature over 3 months.

Methods: A total number of 40 patients were recruited in the study of which they were divided into two groups according to the size of the fibroid as <3cm and 3-5cm as seen on transvagal as well as transabdominal ultrasound. Further they were randomly assigned to either mifepristone or ulipristal orally with each category having 10 patients each to assess changes in fibroid size, in symptomatic pain reduction, menorrhagia and in quality of life.

Results: The 25-mg dosage of Mifepristone is shown to be a good and effective way of treatment in fibroids less than 3 cm in achieving 40% reduction in size and 50% reduction in menorrhagia as compared to Ulipristal 10 mg which acts better in other subgroup of size 3-5 cm of fibroids.

Conclusions: Still larger RCTs are needed to study the long-term benefits of these drugs.
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INTRODUCTION

Uterine fibroids are one of the most common benign uterine tumors seen in females of reproductive age group.\(^1\) They either may be completely asymptomatic (diagnosed incidentally while doing ultrasound for some other reason) or may present as menorrhagia, lower abdominal or back pain, pelvic mass, obstructive uropathy, anemia secondary to blood loss and infertility.\(^2\) In some patient these symptoms may severely affect the quality of life. The incidence of uterine fibroids is variable because most of them are asymptomatic hence remain undetected for years. Some studies have suggested that more than 75% women of age above 50 years may have symptomatic or asymptomatic fibroids. The percentage of patients having symptomatic uterine fibroids is reported to range from 25-30%.\(^3\)

The exact etiology of fibroids is debatable but many factors are reported to have some role in the pathogenesis of fibroids including genetic, hormonal and biological factors. The risk factors for developing fibroids include obesity, nulliparity, younger age at menarche and African race.\(^4\)

The diagnosis of uterine fibroids is usually done by ultrasound examination which usually shows a well-defined hypochoic lesion within myometrium having a characteristic peripheral vascularity on Doppler examination.\(^5\) The sensitivity of transvaginal ultrasound...
is more that transabdominal ultrasound in the diagnosis of small fibroids. On T1 weighted images (MRI) these uterine fibroids may appear as low to intermediate signal intensity areas as compared to the normal myometrium. On T2 weighted images they appear as low signal intensity lesions.

The complications associated with uterine fibroids may include severe anemia requiring intervention in the form of transfusions, hyaline or red degeneration, urinary retention, hydrenephrosis secondary to obstructive uropathy and rarely sarcomatous changes.

The treatment of uterine fibroids depends upon the size, symptoms, location and age of the patient. Patients who are asymptomatic and in whom there are very minimal symptoms these fibroids should be left alone, and no active intervention is required. In patients having severe symptoms affecting quality of life considerable surgical management may be required. Minimally invasive surgeries like hysteroscopic myomectomy (for submucosal fibroids), Laparoscopic myomectomy (for symptomatic subserosal and less commonly for intramural fibroids), abdominal myomectomy and hysterectomy (when woman no longer wishes to preserve uterus or fertility like in perimenopausal women or in women where sarcomatous changes are suspected on imaging). Other less invasive procedure include uterine artery embolization and magnetic resonance guided focused ultrasound surgery (MRgFUS).

Medical management is used in patients for short term relief and as pre-operative adjunct treatment for reduction of size of the fibroid. Nonetheless many of the studies have come up with the conclusion that medical management may be used for small sized fibroids. Various medical therapies used for fibroids include tranexamic acid, combined oral contraceptive pills, GnRH analogs, selective estrogen and progesterone receptor modulators, Somatostatin analogs and aromatase inhibitors. Various selective progesterone receptor modulators (SPRMs) used for uterine fibroids include Mifepristone and Ulipristal Acetate. Many researchers have individually studied the effect of mifepristone and Ulipristal acetate on fibroids and found that both these selective progesterone receptor modulators (SPRMs) are effective for management of fibroids. Mifepristone has been in use since 2002 while Ulipristal acetate has recently been used for fibroids. The comparative studies comparing these 2 SPRMs are rare hence we conducted this prospective case control study to compare efficacy of Mifepristone and Ulipristal acetate as an alternative to surgical management of symptomatic uterine fibroids

Uterine Leiomyoma (fibroids) are benign tumors arising from the smooth muscles and connective tissue in the uterus. By reproductive age group more than 60% females are expected to have symptomatic or asymptomatic uterine fibroids. They may present as menorrhagia, lower abdominal pain, frequency of micturition, pregnancy loss, dysparainea and backache. Many of the times these fibroids are asymptomatic and may be detected in patients undergoing ultrasound examination for some other reason.

The management of uterine fibroids depends upon factors like possibility of pregnancy in future, whether preservation of uterus is desirable, severity and characteristics of symptoms. Various treatment options include observation and follow up (in small asymptomatic fibroids), medical management (Mifepristone or Ulipristal acetate), uterine fibroid embolisation and hysterectomy. Use of Mifepristone and Ulipristal acetate individually has been studied by some researchers but comparative studies of these 2 drugs have rarely been done. For this reason, we have conducted this study to compare efficacy of Mifepristone and Ulipristal acetate as an alternative to surgical management of symptomatic uterine fibroids.

METHODS

This was a prospective case control study conducted at a tertiary care hospital situated in an urban area. A total number of 40 patients with symptomatic fibroids were enrolled in this study. The patients were divided into two groups (Group A and B) of 20 patients each according to whether they received either Mifepristone or Ulipristal acetate orally. The primary outcome was evaluated in terms of change in size of fibroid, reduction in pain, resolution of menorrhagia and improvement in quality of life.

The study was approved by institutional ethical committee. The informed consent was obtained from all the patients before enrolling them in the study.

Detailed history and demographic profile was noted in all the cases. Menstrual blood loss was assessed by taking into account number of pads soaked, degree of soaking and passage of clots. Any history of dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia or menorrhagia was noted. A complete general and systemic examination followed by gynecological examination was done.

Routine investigations like complete blood count, renal and hepatic functions tests were done in all patients. Transvaginal ultrasound examination was done to know the exact number and location of fibroids. Patients were divided into 2 groups (Group A and Group B). Group A patient received using mifepristone 25 mg and Group B patients received Ulipristal acetate 10 mg. Patients were followed up for 3 months and the parameters like change in fibroid size, reduction in pain, resolution of menorrhagia and improvement in quality of life was compared between these 2 groups.

Results were tabulated and analyzed using SPSS 16.0 version software. Microsoft word and excel were used for generating charts and graphs.
Inclusion criteria

- Females diagnosed to be having uterine fibroids in reproductive age group
- Patients having symptoms like menorrhagia, dysmenorrhea, abdominal pain or any other symptoms related to fibroids
- Single fibroid
- Patients having consented to be part of this study.

Exclusion criteria

- Pregnant females
- Those who refused consent
- Renal or hepatic dysfunction or any other disease in which either mifepristone or Ulipristal is contraindicated
- Patients having adenomyosis, endometrial hyperplasia or genital tract infections
- Not taken any previous medication.

RESULTS

The administration of both drugs, Mifepristone and Ulipristal acetate, in women with symptomatic fibroids was associated with decreased pain, reduced blood loss and decreased size of fibroids. While it was found that Mifepristone was more effective in patients having smaller fibroids (less than 3 cm), Ulipristal acetate was more effective in medical management of the patients having fibroids of relatively larger size (3-5 cm). Treatment with Ulipristal acetate was associated with significant pain reduction in patients having fibroid size of 3-5 cm (60%) while in patients having fibroid size less than 3 cm the most profound effect was seen in reduction in menorrhagia (45%). It was observed that Mifepristone was more effective in reducing size of fibroid (>40%), reduction in pain (30%) and reducing menorrhagia (50%) than Ulipristal Acetate in patients having fibroid size of less than 3 cm.

This was a prospective double-blind case control study. 40 patients having uterine fibroids and symptoms related to it were enrolled in this study. Patients having any exclusion criteria were excluded from the study. These 40 patients were divided into 2 groups. Patients in Group A received using mifepristone 25 mg and Group B patients received Ulipristal acetate 10 mg.

Demographic data

Out of the 40 studied cases Group A had a mean age of 38.6±5.8 years and group B patients had a mean age of 39.4±6.1 years. The mean age of the patients was comparable in both the groups and there was no statistically significant difference.

The analysis of presenting complaints of the patients revealed that the most common presenting complaint of the patients was pain during menstruation (24/40) followed by lower abdominal pain (14/40), excessive bleeding during menstruation (13/40) dyspareunia (12/40) and pain occurring between the cycles particularly at midcycle (4/40).

Table 1: Mean age of the cases in both the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>38.6±5.8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>39.4±6.1 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagnosis of uterine fibroid was confirmed by either transabdominal or transvaginal ultrasound depending upon the size of fibroid and marital status of the patients. Out of the studied case patients 60% patients had subserosal fibroids while 10% and 30% patients had submucosal and intramural fibroids respectively.

Out of 40 studied cases 20 patients had fibroid size less than 3 cm and remaining 20 patients had fibroid size in between 3 to 5 cm. There was no patient having fibroid size of more than 5 cm.

Table 2: Fibroid size in the studied cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibroid size</th>
<th>&lt; 3 cm</th>
<th>3-5 cm</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the patients either received mifepristone 25 mg or Ulipristal acetate 10 mg daily. The analysis of the effect of medical management by these drugs was done. The study found that Mifepristone had profound effect in reducing menorrhagia (62%) pain reduction (60%) in patients having fibroid size of 3-5 cm. While in patients having fibroid size less than 3 cm the most profound effect was seen in reduction of menorrhagia (50%). It was observed that mifepristone was less effective in pain reduction in patients having fibroid size less than 3cms (30%).

Table 3: Reduction in symptoms in patients receiving Mifepristone (Group A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduction in size</th>
<th>Reduction in pain</th>
<th>Reduction in menorrhagia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-5 cm</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3 cm</td>
<td>&gt;40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis of group B patient receiving Ulipristal acetate revealed that it had predominant effect on blood loss and reduced blood loss was seen in 90% patients having fibroid size of 3-5 cm. Reduction in fibroid size and pain was significant in patients who received Ulipristal acetate and had fibroid of 3-5 cm while in patients having fibroid size less than 3 cm the Ulipristal acetate was found to be effective in terms of reduction in blood loss during menstrual periods (45%). It was found
to be less effective in terms of reduction in fibroid size and pain which was seen in <20% and 22% respectively in patients having fibroid size of less than 3 cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduction in size</th>
<th>Reduction in pain</th>
<th>Reduction in menorrhagia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-5 cm</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3 cm</td>
<td>&lt;20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, it was found that Mifepristone was more effective in patients having smaller fibroids (less than 3 cm) as far as pain and size of the fibroid is concerned and Ulipristal acetate was more effective in medical management of the patients having fibroids of relatively larger size (3-5 cm). Ulipristal acetate was very effective in reducing the size and symptoms associated with fibroids in patients having size between 3 to 5 cm.

**DISCUSSION**

Selective Progesterone receptor modulators (SPRMs) like mifepristone and Ulipristal Acetate have been used for the treatment of dysfunctional uterine bleeding and uterine myomas because of their antiproliferative effects on endometrium and myometrium. In 1993 Murphy et al first described use of mifepristone for the treatment of uterine fibroids. They showed uterine fibroids to be steroid hormone dependent tumors possessing Estrogen and progesterone receptors (ER and PR). They proposed that antiprogesterone reduce the size of uterine fibroids either by blocking the effect of progesterone or interference of estrogen action on fibroids. The authors examined the effects of daily administration of mifepristone 50 mg for a period of 3 months in 10 patients with uterine fibroids. Baseline ultrasound examinations were obtained and repeated monthly during treatment as a measure of fibroid size. The authors found that fibroid volume (mean±SE) decreased 21.9±4.8% after 4 weeks, 39.5±6.6% (P <0.001) after 8 weeks, and 49.0±9.2% (P <0.001) after 12 weeks of treatment compared to pre-treatment measurements. They further found that administration of mifepristone was associated with a significantly reduced immunoreactivity in fibroids as compared with tissues from untreated patients, this suggested that mifepristone caused regression of fibroids by through a direct antiprogesterone effect. The findings of the present study were similar, and we found that administration of mifepristone was associated in reduction in size of fibroids by 55% and 40% in patients having fibroid size of more than 3-5 cm and less than 3 cm respectively. The incidence of reduction in pain and menorrhagia was found to be 60% and 62% in patients with a fibroid size of 3-5 cm while it was 30% and 50% in patients having fibroid size of less than 3 cm.

Feng C in their comparative study of women with symptomatic uterine fibroids who were treated with 5 mg or 2.5 mg of mifepristone or placebo found that treatment with mifepristone was associated with significant improvement in health-related quality of life. Similarly, the utility of Ulipristal acetate has been tested in many randomized controlled trials. The first large randomized controlled trial for use of Ulipristal acetate in medical management of fibroids (PEARL I) compared it with placebo in uterine fibroids. The study found that use of Ulipristal acetate was associated with significant reduction in menorrhagia and fond that bleeding was controlled in 91%, 92%, and 19% of the women receiving UPA (5 mg), UPA (10 mg), and placebo, respectively. This staggering difference in control of bleeding made many researches take up the studies using Ulipristal acetate for medical management of uterine fibroids. PEARL II study was another randomized controlled trial comparing Ulipristal acetate with GnRH analog in the medical management of uterine fibroids. The study found that menorrhagia was controlled in 90%, 98%, and 89% of the women receiving Ulipristal acetate (5mg), Ulipristal acetate (10mg) and GnRH analogue, respectively. The authors concluded that Ulipristal acetate use was associated with a quicker control of menorrhagia as compared with GnRH analogues. The reduction of fibroid size, reduction in pain and decreased incidence of menorrhagia was associated with improved quality of life in patients receiving Ulipristal acetate. The findings were similar to the present study we found that administration of Ulipristal acetate 10 mg daily was associated with reduction in fibroid size in 80% and <20% in patients having fibroid size of 3-5 cm and less than 3 cm respectively. The incidence of reduction in pain and menorrhagia was found to be 85% and 90% in patients with a fibroid size of 3-5 cm while it was 22% and 45% in patients having fibroid size of less than 3 cm.

Various other studies have shown the effectiveness of Ulipristal acetate in decreasing menorrhagia and improving the quality of life of patients with uterine fibroids. In a recent study Kalampokas T et al found that treatment of patients with Ulipristal acetate was associated with improved quality of life parameters and reduction in fibroid size. They concluded that Short-term use of Ulipristal acetate is effective and safe method of treating uterine fibroids. All these findings were similar to findings of our study which showed that administration of Ulipristal acetate was associated with decreased blood loss and fibroid size consequently causing improved quality of life.

**CONCLUSION**

Treatment of symptomatic fibroids by Mifepristone as well as Ulipristal acetate was associated with reduction in fibroid size, reduced blood loss and decreased pain. It was found that Ulipristal acetate was more effective when used in patients having fibroid size of 3-5 cm. Mifepristone was found to be more effective when used
in patients having fibroid size of less than 3 cm. We conclude from this study that both these drugs can be used for treatment of symptomatic fibroids. Ulipristal acetate should be preferred over Mifepristone when the fibroid size is found to be more than 3 cm.
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