Accidental diagnosis and conservative management of a case of first-trimester caesarean scar ectopic pregnancy


INTRODUCTION

Ectopic pregnancy is the most important cause of pregnancy-related first-trimester death in the United States, occurring in approximately 2% of pregnancies.1 Cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy (CSEP) is diagnosed as ectopic pregnancy implanted in the myometrium at the site of a previous cesarean section scar.2 The exact incidence of CSEP has not been determined because limited number of cases have been reported in the literature. However, the incidence of such cases seems to be on the rise.3

Although it is uncommon, this iatrogenic condition can be life-threatening because of the very high risk of complications such as uterine rupture and massive hemorrhage.4 Ultrasound is the primary diagnostic modality. With increased index of doubt and wide use of transvaginal sonography, more cases of CSEP are being diagnosed in early pregnancy, thus allowing early and rapid interventions and conservation of uterus and fertility.

Any delay in either diagnosis or intervention may lead to uterine rupture, hysterectomy, and significant maternal morbidity and mortality.5

This report presents a case of a CSEP accidentally diagnosed early at 9 weeks at the first antenatal visit that allows conservative management of the condition without subsequent morbidity.

CASE REPORT

In August 2017 a 25-year-old woman gravida 3 para2+0 with 2 living females presented to the outpatient Antenatal Care Clinic of Women’s Health Hospital in Assiut, Egypt, for routine antenatal checkup after 2 months of amenorrhea and positive urine pregnancy test. She was otherwise asymptomatic. She had a history of 2...
previous caesarean sections (CS); the first one was 4 years ago and the second one was 2 years ago.

A pelvic ultrasound was obtained revealing an endometrial cavity without evidence of an intrauterine gestational sac.

However, a gestational sac with embryo CRL =8 weeks had a positive cardiac pulsation was evident within a defect in the anterior myometrium in the lower uterine segment, corresponding to the site of her prior CS (Figure 1).

Laparotomy was carried out through a Pfannensteil incision under spinal anesthesia after counseling the patient about her current condition and obtaining informed consent. The previous uterine scar was intact but bulging out ward by the implanted gestational sac (Figure 2).

New surgical technique was used to preserve the uterus and minimize the blood loss in the form of: bilateral uterine artery ligation, then elliptical segment including the previous uterine scar, gestational sac and placenta was removed. Then the uterine incision was sutured in two layers using vicryl number 1. Both adnexa were free. No intra-operative blood transfusion. The patient had an excellent postoperative course and was discharged at the third day post-operative.

**DISCUSSION**

Implantation of a gestational sac within a cesarean scar is the rarest form of ectopic pregnancy, currently represents less than 1% of all pregnancies; however, the rate is definitely increasing due to rising rate of LSCS.6

There are several theories which clarify the occurrence of intramural ectopic pregnancy. The most accepted theory seems to be that the blastocyst invades into the myometrium through a microscopic dehiscent tract, which may be the result of trauma of a previous caesarean section or any other uterine surgery.7 Another mechanism for intramural implantation of the gestational sac may be in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer, even in the absence of previous uterine trauma or surgery.8

There are two types of CSEPs. The first type has deep implantation in a CS defect up to the serosal lining into the bladder and the abdominal cavity. In the second type there is progression away from the serosal lining growing inside the uterine cavity.7

The first type is more catastrophic with high incidence of uterine rupture. Abbas et al reported a case of first type CSEP in which the sac grows deeply within the myometrium towards the uterine cavity UR occurred at the tenth week of gestation and the patient did not know that she was pregnant until the occurrence of the UR.9

Ultrasonography is the first-line diagnostic tool for approaching CSEP and confirmed by MRI or during laparoscopy and/or laparotomy.10 Early diagnosis is very important, as it can prevent such serious complications as UR with a high risk of hysterectomy.11

Because this is a rare condition, there is no uniform approach to the treatment. Previous reports in the literature included a variety of medical and surgical strategies such as the use of systemic methotrexate, surgical sac aspiration, hysteroscopic evacuation, laparoscopic removal, open surgical treatment, and hysterectomy.12 Most authors reported that expectant...
management is not suitable given the significant risk of uterine rupture. Dilatation and curettage are inadequate because the trophoblastic tissue is actually located outside the uterine cavity and unreachable. Such attempts can cause perforation of the implantation site could occur and result in severe peritoneal hemorrhage.

In the current case, the patient was hemodynamically stable, but the CSEP was invading the myometrium. Therefore, laparotomy was done for fear of UR. To decrease the bleeding firstly bilateral uterine artery ligation was done then elliptical uterine segment including the previous uterine scar, gestational sac and the trophoblastic tissues was removed. By this technique the patient fertility was preserved.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Ectopic pregnancy in a site of a CS scar should be detected early to prevent CSEP's catastrophic sequelae. CSEP must be kept in mind of obstetricians facing emergency cases.
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