A study of feto-maternal outcome of jaundice in pregnancy
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ABSTRACT

Background: Jaundice in pregnancy complicates 3-5% of cases and carries a grave prognosis. The purpose of the study was to assess the epidemiology, magnitude, causes and the maternal and fetal outcome of pregnancies complicated by jaundice.

Methods: The maternal and fetal outcomes of 101 cases of jaundice in pregnancy were reviewed retrospectively from July 2013-June 2016.

Results: The incidence of jaundice in pregnancy was 2.32%. Primigravidas constituted 46.53%. Women aged 20-30 years constituted 86.13%. Unbooked cases included 60.39%. Serum bilirubin was >10 mg/dl at admission in 1.98%. Out of the 101 women, 4 remained undelivered. Labor was spontaneous in 53.52%, vaginal delivery in 55.67%. However, 38.63% newborns required NICU care. Perinatal mortality was 8.91% (3.96% stillbirths and 4.95% early neonatal deaths. The causes for jaundice were viral hepatitis (30.69%), HELLP syndrome (30.69%), intrahepatic cholestasis (15.84%), acute fatty liver of pregnancy (13.86%) and the rest in combination constituted 8.91%. Maternal mortality was 3 in 101. The various maternal complications were DIC (44.55%), septicemia (10.89%), ARDS (7.92%), acute renal failure (8.91%) and MODS (3.96%). ICU was needed in 14.85% of mothers and blood component therapy in 70.29% cases. All deaths were within 3 weeks of admission.

Conclusions: This study emphasizes the need for essential antenatal care at domiciliary and peripheral levels. Early detection and treatment can prevent most of the complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Jaundice is defined as the yellowish discoloration of skin, sclera and mucous membrane resulting from raised serum bilirubin concentration, that is clinically visible when bilirubin level exceeds 3 mg %, the normal level being 0.2-0.8 mg%.1 Liver is one of the organs affected during pregnancy due to hormonal and metabolic changes. Metabolic, synthetic and excretory functions of the liver are affected by the increased levels of estrogen and progesterone in pregnancy. A genetic susceptibility to the cholestatic effect of reproductive hormones and their metabolites may impair the bile secretory function in susceptible women. For example, mutations in ABCB11 gene (ATP Binding Cassette subfamily B) leads to enhanced susceptibility to intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy and the lack of ABCB4 gene product results in defective functioning of the phospholipid export pump and impaired biliary secretion of phosphatidylcholine.2 The liver receives around 25%-35% of the cardiac output which is not changed during normal pregnancy. Pregnancy is a mild cholestatic condition. Serum biochemical tests rise to 2 to 4 folds during the third trimester.3

Normally there is a slight rise in the ALP levels while AST and ALT values remain relatively unchanged.
Hepatic dysfunction complicates around 3-5% of pregnancies. The causes may be classified as

- Peculiar to pregnancy - AFLP, Cholestasis of pregnancy and jaundice complicating toxemia of pregnancy,
- Concurrent with pregnancy - Infections (Viral hepatitis), Gallstones, Drugs
- Chronic pre-existing hepatic illness.4

The occurrence of hepatobiliary disease with or without jaundice during pregnancy provides both the hepatologist and obstetrician with a diagnostic challenge. Advances in understanding and management of liver disorders unique to pregnancy and hepatobiliary disease in general have resulted in a significant improvement in the outcome for both the mother as well as the fetus. Vaginal delivery should be attempted whenever possible due to increased risk of haemorrhage.5 Reasons for high maternal mortality in India include prevalence of anemia, poor nutrition, delay in seeking medical advice and delayed referral. Among the varied causes of jaundice in pregnancy, Hepatitis E is a deadly fetomaternal disease having a feco-oral route of transmission and hence the disease transmission can be decreased by ensuring improved sanitation and providing clean drinking water for pregnant women.6

Presenting features of liver disease in pregnancy are non-specific. Presence of jaundice may lead to intense contractions which shorten the labour duration and increase threat to the fetus. Early diagnosis and treatment is required and hence the need for the study. This study was conducted to study the epidemiology, magnitude and causes of jaundice in pregnancy and to assess the maternal and fetal outcome in pregnancies complicated by jaundice.

METHODS

The study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Ramaiah Medical College and Teaching Hospital, Bengaluru. It was a retrospective study conducted on 101 antenatal patients with jaundice, who got admitted to Ramaiah Hospital during the period July 2013 to June 2016.

Inclusion criteria

All pregnant women with singleton pregnancies with spontaneous conception having recent onset of jaundice who got admitted during the aforesaid period Preeclampsia was taken as blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg or more on two occasions at least 6 hours apart with proteinuria as per international society for the study of hypertension in pregnancy.

Exclusion criteria

- Multiple pregnancy
- Pregnancies following Artificial Reproductive Techniques
- Alcoholism
- Chronic liver diseases
- Congenital diseases of the hepatobiliary system
- Hemolytic anemia
- Cardiac or Renal diseases.

All the patients were assessed thoroughly by history and physical examination and relevant investigations like Platelet, liver enzymes and Bilirubin were analysed.

History of the presenting illness included symptoms, onset, duration and progression.

Physical examination was carried out with special attention to level of consciousness, built and nutrition, presence of pallor, icterus, edema, lymphadenopathy and vital signs. Also, abdominal examination was done to look for hepatosplenomegaly, abdominal mass, tenderness and ascites. This was followed by detailed obstetrical examination

Maternal and fetal outcomes were statistically analysed. Maternal outcomes included mode of onset of labour and delivery, admission to delivery interval, ICU admission, component therapy, complications and condition at discharge. Fetal outcomes included neonatal mortality/morbidity, NICU admission and condition at discharge.

Statistical analysis

The demographic variables like age, parity, booking status and socio-economic status were analyzed and presented as frequency and %age. The comparative statistics of the blood variables were summarized in terms of median with interquartile range since the data was not normally distributed. The maternal and fetal/neonatal outcome was compared using odds ratio-confidence interval calculator. The statistical significance of the variables was calculated using McNemars test and p<0.001 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Total number of deliveries in the study period was 4350, out of which, number of cases with jaundice was 101, hence the incidence of jaundice in pregnancy in our study was 2.32%.

Table 1 depicts the incidence of the demographic variables that includes 2.97% cases <20 years, 86.13% cases 20-30 years and 10.89% cases >30 years age group. 60.39% cases were unbooked, and 46.53% cases were primigravida. Majority of cases (62.37%) belonged to urban areas. Socio-economic status calculated by Modified Kuppuswamy Index showed almost equivalent distribution in all the classes.
DIC, AKI and Sepsis (66.66%) were the leading causes of maternal death followed by ARDS and MODS (33.33%) with considerable overlap among them.

Table 3: Maternal outcome analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labour onset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spontaneous</td>
<td>53.52%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>induced</td>
<td>46.47%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD</td>
<td>55.67%</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>4.01-5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSCS</td>
<td>44.32%</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.10-4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>3.96%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission-delivery interval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10 days</td>
<td>96.90%</td>
<td>16.58</td>
<td>14.99-18.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 days</td>
<td>2.06%</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.20-3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>70.29%</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>4.98-6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>29.70%</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.67-2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14.85%</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.04-1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>85.14%</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>6.34-6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA</td>
<td>8.91%</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.03-1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved and discharged</td>
<td>85.14%</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>4.54-5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to another department</td>
<td>1.98%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01-0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Analysis of associated complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>44.55%</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.93-4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>10.89%</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.29-2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS</td>
<td>7.92%</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.30-1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARF</td>
<td>8.91%</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.56-1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODS</td>
<td>3.96%</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.52-1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>2.97%</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.31-0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 describes the neonatal outcome - live born (87.12%), still birth (3.96%) and neonatal death (4.95%), and the 3.96% cases remained undelivered.

Commonest cause of neonatal death was birth asphyxia (60%). Babies were handed over to mother at birth in 68.18% cases, within 2 weeks in 13.63% cases, within 15-30 days in 5.68% cases, 30-50 days in 9.09% cases and >50 days in 2.27% cases. 1.13% babies were discharged against medical advice and were lost to follow-up.
Table 5: Neonatal outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of NICU</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth asphyxia</td>
<td>35.29%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUGR</td>
<td>35.29%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBW</td>
<td>29.41%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01-0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Management of jaundice in pregnancy is a common, albeit challenging, clinical endeavor. A multidisciplinary collaboration is required between obstetrician and gastroenterologist in the management decisions. Diagnosing pregnancy-related causes of abnormal liver function tests is important because immediate intervention and delivery may be needed. Conversely, conditions unrelated to pregnancy might be exacerbated by pregnancy and delivery.7

The incidence of jaundice in this study was 2.32%. The incidence is higher compared to US statistics but similar to other Indian studies. This is because of low socio-economic status, poor sanitation and delayed referrals to tertiary centres. Majority of the patients (more than two thirds) in the study belonged to 20-30 years age group with 3-fold increased risk of jaundice. The disease seemed to be more prevalent in the active reproductive age group. Around two-third of the patients were unbooked. This highlights the need for regular antenatal checkup, especially in high risk cases, to aid early identification of the disease and prompt treatment or referral if needed. No significant difference in parity was noted, hence both primigravidae and multigravidae are at risk and to be carefully attended for any symptoms or signs of liver disease during pregnancy. In this study, risk is 9-fold in 3rd trimester compared to 2nd trimester. This may correlate with the growing demands of the fetus and the consequent burden on the maternal metabolism to meet the requirements as the pregnancy advances. Hence extra precaution to be taken as the fetus grows, jeopardizing the maternal status. If the fetal maturity is documented and with a worsening maternal condition, termination of pregnancy to be planned with a hope to have a considerably good maternal-fetal outcome. Socio-economic status did not reveal significant impact on the incidence of jaundice (Table 1). 60.30% cases were referred 1/3rd from rural centres and remaining from urban health centres. It is to be emphasized here that if jaundice is detected in pregnancy, maternal complications to be anticipated along with a poor fetal outcome. If the concerned health care centre is not well equipped to handle to poor outcome of the mother and/or the fetus, early referral to higher centre is imperative to achieve the best results. According to a study conducted by Ambreen et al,57.7% were unbooked and 42.2% were booked.4 71.02% patients were between 20-30 years of age and 28.98% were between 30-40 years age. According to a study conducted by Acharya et al, overall incidence of 0.4% jaundice in pregnancy was reported.3 The disease was more commonly seen in younger age group. Parity has no exact relation with the disease. This was in concurrence with the findings in our study.

Blood investigations showed significant changes with respect to serum bilirubin that increased by 5-fold and LDH that increased by 75% from reference value. However no significant changes were noted in platelet count and liver enzymes, although variations like thrombocytopenia and mild alterations of liver enzymes were noted. (Table 2) This correlated well with the need for component therapy in the patients with altered blood parameters. This explains why jaundice in pregnancy needs management in a tertiary care institute that is well equipped with 24-hour blood bank facility. According to study conducted by Namrata Kumar et al, adverse fetal outcomes were significantly associated with rising serum bilirubin levels of more than 11 mg/dL, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) and serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) of more than 1000 IU/L, and a platelet count of less than 85.000 cells/mm, and this difference was statistically significant.3 Maternal outcomes were also significantly poorer in cases of serum bilirubin of more than 14 mg/dL, SGPT more than 1600, and SGOT more than 1200 and platelets less than 59.000 cells/mm.3

Proportion of patients who had spontaneous and induced labour were similar out of which 55.5% delivered vaginally, 44.3% by caesarean section and 3.9% remained undelivered till discharge. Admission-delivery interval was significantly high within 10 days of admission. Caesarean section was done due to obstetric indications or worsening maternal conditions, however, vaginal delivery is preferred due to fear of increased bleeding tendency in these patients. Pregnancy could not be prolonged much beyond 10 days due to non-response to therapy or worsening of the disease with advancing pregnancy. According to Reddy et al, in 55.6% cases onset of labour was spontaneous. 88.9% delivered vaginally.8 83.3 % of women were discharged in improved condition. Although 75% of patients required component therapy, only 14% needed ICU care, the remaining patients were aptly managed in the high dependency unit (HDU). The overall maternal outcome was fairly good with significant improvement at discharge (Table 3). As a good proportion of the patients with jaundice belong to the lower strata of the society, unaffordability of ICU charges may be a cause for the poor outcome. In our study, 8.91% of the cases were discharged against medical advice due to inability to meet the costs of ICU treatment. On the contrary, 86% patients were managed in the HDU with successful outcome.
Hence, establishing an improvised HDU in the tertiary care centres to deal with such cases effectively, avoiding the burden of ICU care has to be borne in mind.

In present study, among the causes of jaundice, maximum association was with hepatitis and HELLP syndrome, but nothing seemed significantly associated. The incidence of each cause was - Hepatitis (30.69%), HELLP (30.69%), Cholestasis of pregnancy (15.84%), AFLP (13.86%) and others (8.91%). DIC was the leading complication accounting for half of the cases, followed by sepsis, ARF, ARDS, MODS and death. In the study by Reddy et al, HELLP syndrome, acute fatty liver of pregnancy, intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy, viral hepatitis, malaria and sickle cell anemia were the causes of jaundice in this study with HELLP syndrome being the most common cause of jaundice. Maternal death occurred in 3 out of 101 cases, resulting from DIC, AKI and Sepsis twice more commonly than with ARDS and MODS. (Table 4). Two-thirds of the deaths occurred more than 15 days from admission. Serum bilirubin at death was >25 mg/dl in 1 out of 3 deaths. There were no antenatal deaths in this study. All the 3 deaths were reported in the ICU cases while all the patients managed in HDU went home well. This emphasizes the need for further improvement in the critical care in the institute to deal with the severe complications more efficiently. In a study by Reddy et al, maternal mortality was seen in 16.66% cases, of this 1 case died within 24 hour of delivery, 1 on the 4th postnatal day and 1 on 8th postnatal day. Cause of death was acute fatty liver of pregnancy with multiorgan failure with disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) with shock in 2 cases, HELLP syndrome with DIC with renal failure in 1 case.

Early neonatal death was seen in 6 cases accounting for 4.95%. Neonatal death was 3-fold more due to asphyxia than IUGR and LBW. Asphyxia and IUGR led to similar number of NICU admissions followed by LBW. (Table 5). This emphasizes the role of perinatal asphyxia as a leading cause of poor neonatal outcome. Adequate measures should be taken to avoid perinatal asphyxia in the newborn. This calls for intensive fetal monitoring in the antenatal period with an able group of obstetricians, neonatologists, a skilled team of nursing staff and an amply equipped HDU for fetal monitoring along with maternal care. As per study Kumar et al, 96% patients presented in third trimester of pregnancy while 4% pregnancy ended in the second trimester as a missed miscarriage. 84% babies were born alive, 86% of which were preterm. Perinatal mortality was 26%, which was contributed to by intrauterine deaths and early neonatal deaths in 14% cases each.

The present study reported a maternal death rate of 2.97% which is quite less compared to other the maternal mortality rates in other similar studies (Table 6). A similar study conducted by Kamalajyaram et al in 1988 reported a maternal mortality rate of 12.4%. Roychowdhary et al in 1990 reported a maternal death rate of 13.37%, Bera et al in reported 19.9% maternal mortality in his study on pregnant patients. Sapre et al and Rao et al conducted similar studies and reported maternal deaths of 4.99% and 15.8% respectively in their studies. In 2003 and 2005, two more studies conducted, reported maternal mortality rates of 29% by Trivedi et al and 14.4% by Tripti N et al respectively. The higher mortality rates in these studies indicates the poor antenatal care and health care facilities in the two –three decades ago. Absence of standard tools for diagnosis of the disease, specialized units for maternal and neonatal care as well as lack of awareness among the population in those days, thereby delaying referral and treatment onset all contributed to a higher maternal mortality rate.

Table 6: Comparison with reported maternal deaths due to jaundice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Maternal mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kamalajyaram et al</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roychowdhary et al</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>13.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bera et al</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapre et al</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rao et al</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trivedi et al</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripti N et al</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>2013-2016</td>
<td>2.97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A recent guideline from the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) on the evaluation and management of liver disease during pregnancy includes other liver diseases, such as liver masses, biliary tract disease, and viral infections, that can be present during pregnancy.

**ACG recommendations**

A pregnant patient with abnormal liver tests should undergo standard work-up as with any non-pregnant individual. Viral hepatitis is the commonest cause of jaundice in pregnancy, Generating public awareness about the Hepatitis virus, various routes of transmission of the infective hepatitis, improving sanitary conditions, providing health education and knowledge of preventive measures, routine and regular antenatal checkups and viral markers as a part of routine antenatal screening can be useful in reducing the burden of jaundice in pregnancy. The features of hepatobiliary disease in pregnancy are varied and include jaundice, nausea, vomiting, pruritus, abdominal pain, and altered liver function tests. Specific patterns that occur during pregnancy may lead to easy recognition of the underlying disease. Mild jaundice can be conservatively treated, and recovery is possible. However, moderate to severe jaundice in late trimester have poor effect on health of both mother and fetus. High maternal mortality in our country is due to poor nutrition, prevalence of anemia, delay in seeking medical advice and delay in referral to the higher centre. Many patients are already in moribund
condition by the time they are brought to the hospital and often, do not respond to treatment. Jaundice and pregnancy is a deadly combination leading to a very high perinatal as well as maternal morbidity and mortality and requires an early diagnosis and careful management. Some entities have well-defined criteria for diagnosis that also allows classifying the disease according to its severity. Management may be simple for some conditions, and some require termination of pregnancy. In critical conditions, there is ardent need to have expert obstetric and specialists care to help improve the outcomes.19

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes the need for essential antenatal care at domiciliary and peripheral levels. Early detection and treatment can prevent most of the complications and lead to a better perinatal outcome.
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