Evolution of second trimester low implanted placenta to previa at term: a prospective cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Background: The objective of this study is to identify the percentage of low implanted placenta (LIP) at second trimester of pregnancy and identify the risk factors of its persistence to placenta previa (PP) at term.

Methods: Through a registered prospective cohort study conducted at tertiary hospital in Upper Egypt, authors screened all pregnant ladies comes to present facility for antenatal second trimester USG between 18-24 weeks gestation. All participants interviewed for detailed risk factors of placenta previa. Those diagnosed to have a LIP (≤1.5 cm from the internal os) had had TVS to confirm the exact distance between the lower edge of the placenta and the internal os. Serial USG had been done every 4 weeks up to delivery to measure the same distance. The primary outcome was the percentage of LIP at 18-24 weeks. Logistic regression analysis was performed to predict the risk factors for PP at term.

Results: Through screening of 1000 pregnant lady, LIP had been identified in (52 cases) 5.2% of pregnant women between 18-24 weeks. This percentage dropped gradually to reach 1.3% at 36 weeks of gestation and at time of delivery. The logistic regression analysis demonstrated that the distance between the internal os and the lower edge of the placenta between 18-24 weeks was the single significant variable associated with PP at term (p<0.001, odds ratio 0.319, 95% CI 0.20-0.50). However, excluding the distance from the regression model demonstrated other risk factors as previous miscarriage, previous cesarean section (CS), and history of multiple pregnancies and history of previous PP.

Conclusions: About 5.0% of pregnant women have LIP at the second trimester of pregnancy (18-24 weeks) and only 25.0 % of them remain placenta previa at term. A cut-off value of 10 mm between the internal os and the lower edge of the placenta is the most important predictor of development of PP.
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INTRODUCTION

Placenta previa (PP) is a placenta inserted into the lower uterine segment; it is responsible for 0.03% of maternal mortality and 8.1% of perinatal mortality in the developed world and much more in developing countries.¹² Placenta previa is a major cause of maternal hemorrhage, a complication that is directly correlated the number of previous CS done for the same lady.³

The rate of CS is increased and increasing worldwide, reaching about 52% in Egypt in the last demographic.⁴ Although various studies have tried to address risk factors of PP; the exact etiology of PP still remains obscure.⁵
Several risk factors have been identified as strong contributors to PP development like high parity, advanced maternal age, history of previous CS and history of previous PP. Unlike first-trimester bleeding, the PP bleeding is usually due to abnormal placental implantation which usually started in the third trimester.

A high number of women with asymptomatic PP or LIP could be detected by ultrasonography when performed at the mid trimester. However; a high proportion of them, about 66% will be migrated especially in marginal, incomplete or low laying PP. So the guidelines recommend follow-up at 32 weeks’ gestation to assess for persistence of placenta previa. Transvaginal ultrasonography has an important role in predicting PP at term by determining the distant between the internal cervical os and the lower placental edge at early second trimester. However; there is no consensus about the exact distance, which can give the obstetricians a high sensitivity rate of diagnosis. Additionally, the maternal characteristics may be also having a role in prediction of PP.

The current study aims to identify the percentage of low implanted placenta (LIP) at second trimester of pregnancy and identify the risk factors of its persistence to PP at term.

**METHODS**

The current study was a clinically registered prospective cohort study (NCT03321435)compassing the percentage of LIP at 18-24 weeks and the risk factors contributed to progression to PP at term. LIP between 18-24 weeks is defined as the overlap of a placental edge on the lower uterine segment (15mm or less from the internal os). The Institutional review Board of Assiut Faculty of Medicine had approved the study. The study participants were recruited from the outpatient clinic of Woman's Health Hospital, Assiut University, Egypt from 1st of October 2016 to 30th of March 2017. Women who met the eligibility criteria of the study were invited to participate and only those who signed the informed consent were recruited. The consent form included using their medical data anonymously for research purpose.

**Inclusion criteria**

Authors included in present study women aged between 20-35 years, with BMI 18-22 kg/m² who had a singleton baby between 18-24weeks gestation. Gestational age established by either reliable dates or confirmed by early ultrasound.

**Exclusion criteria**

However; authors excluded women refused to perform trans-vaginal ultrasound (TVS) in the second trimester and who were living away from present hospital so the follow up would be quite difficult.

Each patient was subjected to interview questioner of detailed personal and obstetric history, including: age, parity, number of normal deliveries, number abnormal deliveries, number of multiple pregnancies, number of pervious abortions, smoking, previous uterine operations and previous PP. All pregnant ladies scanned by trans abdominal ultrasound (TA-USG) using a 3- to 5-MHz abdominal probe during this visit to identify cases suspicious of LIP.

Authors cared about bladder over distension during TAS to avoid false positive results. Those who found to have a placental tissue within 15 mm from the internal os by TA-USG were underwent TVS-USG (Medison BW 128, Korea) using a 4- to 7-MHz endo-vaginal probe for accurate measuring of the distance between the lower edge of the placenta and the internal. Additionally, the placental location either anterior or posterior was recorded. All ultrasound examinations were done by a single sonographer to decrease the interobserver errors. Pregnant women with LIP women were encouraged to follow up every 4 weeks at present facility till time of delivery.

During each follow-up visit TVS scan was done and the distance between the lower edge of the placenta and the internal os was reported. Definition of LIP was changed to become 50 mm from the internal os starting from 28 weeks gestation. Other sonographic findings had been assessed by TAS. All data were collected in a specially designed data collection sheet that included patients contact details, address and cell phone to facilitate their follow-up.

The primary outcome of the study was the percentage of LIP at mid trimester (18-24 weeks). Secondary outcomes included the percentage of progression of LIP at mid trimester to PP at term and the potential risk factors for prediction of development of PP.

PP at term means placenta within 50 mm from the internal os. Additionally, the placental condition at the time of delivery and the degree of its adherence to the lower uterine segment as well as any maternal complications at the time of delivery had also been recorded.

Sample size was calculated based on the primary outcome (the percentage of LIP at mid trimester). A previous study reported that the percentage of LIP at mid trimester was about 4.0%. Hypothesizing the population size is 100,000, percentage of frequency of outcome factor in present population is 4.0% and confidence limits is 5.0%, a total sample size of at least 55 patients will be needed assuming a rate of loss to follow-up of 10% (Epi-info TM, CDC, USA).
RESULTS

One thousand five hundred pregnant women between 18-24 weeks gestation were initially interviewed for inclusion in the study. Five hundred women were excluded; 320 because of refusing to perform TVS during pregnancy and 180 because they were living away from present facility which make the subsequent follow-up up to delivery not feasible.

Between 18-24 weeks gestation, authors found 52 women (5.2%) had had LIP (within 15 mm from the internal os, while the majority of cases (94.8%) had a higher placenta. By 28 weeks; authors found that only 22 women out of 52 women still had their placentae low implanted, those decreased to 13 women at 36 weeks and at delivery (Figure 1, the study flow chart). Two cases (3.8%) had been diagnosed preoperatively to be suspicious of abnormal invasion (placenta accreta).

Figure 1: Study flow chart.

Table 1: The socio-demographic characteristics between LIP women and HP women between 18-24 weeks gestation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristics</th>
<th>LIP (n=52)</th>
<th>HP (n=948)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ±SD</td>
<td>26.96±4.93</td>
<td>26.87±4.77</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residency, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sohag</td>
<td>30 (57.7%)</td>
<td>522 (55.1%)</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assiut</td>
<td>22 (42.3%)</td>
<td>426 (44.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of previous CS, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (25%)</td>
<td>131 (13.8%)</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of previous multiple preg. n (%)</td>
<td>8 (15.4%)</td>
<td>35 (3.7%)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of previous placenta previa, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%)</td>
<td>2 (0.2%)</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity, mean±SD</td>
<td>1.94±1.35</td>
<td>1.97±1.68</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of previous miscarriages, n (%)</td>
<td>0.48±0.80</td>
<td>0.56±0.81</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental position, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>30 (57.5%)</td>
<td>638 (67.3%)</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>22 (42.5%)</td>
<td>310 (32.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance of the internal OS (mm), mean±SD</td>
<td>6.90±5.65</td>
<td>27.25±7.48</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistical significance if p-value less than 0.05, LIP low implanted placenta, HP high placenta, CS cesarean section, SD standard deviation.

Table 1 shows that there were no significant differences between women with LIP and those with a higher placenta at 18-24 weeks in socio-demographic data except in history of previous CS which was much higher in LIP women than women with a higher placenta (25% versus 13.8%; respectively, p=0.025). As regard history of multiple pregnancy; the figures are 15% in LIP women versus 4% in a high placenta women; respectively with statistically significant difference (p=0.001) (Table 1).

Seventy five percent of LIP between 18-24 weeks became a high implanted placenta at time of delivery. In the other hand; 25% of cases persist as PP at time of delivery. The possible risk factors for progression of LIP between 18-24 weeks to PP at term were calculated by multivariate logistic regression analysis. Authors found that the only significant predictive factor was the distance between the internal os and the lower edge of the placenta (Table 2).
Table 2: Multivariate logistic regression analysis for possible risk factors for LIP between 18-24 weeks including the distance from the internal os.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residency</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.476</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of PP</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.885</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistical significance if p-value less than 0.05, CS cesarean section, PP placenta previa, IO internal os.

Excluding the distance from the internal os from the regression model resulted in the appearance of the effect of other significant factors namely previous miscarriage, previous CS, history of multiple pregnancies and history of previous PP (Table 3).

Table 3: Multivariate logistic regression analysis for possible risk factors for LIP between 18-24 weeks excluding the distance from the internal os.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residency</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of PP</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.050*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistical significance if p-value less than 0.05, CS cesarean section, PP placenta previa.

Figure 2: Receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve analysis for predictive value of internal os distance at 18 weeks for the 52 cases with PP to complete as PP.

Finally; authors constructed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of the most significant predictor revealed by logistic regression which was (distance from IO). Authors found that the most suitable cut-off point of internal os distance at 18-24 weeks predicting the progression of LIP to PP at term is 10 mm, with a sensitivity of 95% and a specificity of 83% (Figure 2).

Complications that encountered at the time of delivery in cases of PP were 18 cases (34.6%) of cases had received antenatal or intra-natal blood transfusion, 14 (26.7%) cases had developed post-partum hemorrhage and only one case proved to be abnormally adherent at the time of cesarean delivery.

DISCUSSION

Second trimester screening of a thousand of pregnant women between 18-24 weeks diagnosed LIP as defined by 15 mm or less from the internal os in 5.2% of cases. Prospective follow-up of these cases dropped with the rate to 1.3% of cases to become overt PP at term and during delivery. This is confirming the fortunate upward change of placental location with advancement of gestational age placental migration. Authors studied the potential predictors of this favorable placental migration and identified that the distance between the internal os and the lower edge of the placenta as the most important predictor at the second trimester of pregnancy. Other contributors included previous history of miscarriage, previous CS, history of multiple pregnancies and history of previous PP had been also identified.

Placenta previa is a life threatening obstetric complication; however, its proper anticipation and preparation of pregnant women with PP is markedly decreases the maternal morbidity and mortality. Present detection rate of LIP (5.2%) is quiet similar to what have been found by Rosati and colleagues (4.9%) between 10-16 weeks gestation. Additionally, Mustafa et al reported also an incidence of 3.9% between 20-24 weeks gestation. Again; Shukunami in his study reported an incidence of 6.0% at 12-15 weeks of pregnancy. Variance in the gestational age of scanning and the type of included participants may be behind this minor difference. On the other hand, other studies found much lower incidence ranged from 0.66 to 1.1 between 15-20 weeks. Difference in the span of time at which different studies had been done may be the cause of lower detection rate in some studies as CS rate is different. Moreover, present rate of detection of LIP was 2.2% at 28 weeks scan. This is in accordance to Obata and colleagues of 2.8% who scanned patients at 29 weeks gestation. With advancement of pregnancy, by 36 weeks of gestation the rate of PP in present cohort was 1.3% and the same rate observed at the time of CS at term. This is near what has been recently reported by Omakanye who reported 1.6% incidence of PP among 10,250 deliveries. On the contrary; much lower...
incidence was reported by Kollmann (0.36% in 2003 and 0.74% in 2011) which could be explained by the parallel increase in CS deliveries from 24.2 to 31.9%.  

These findings may point to the presence of an element of change in the placental location with the progress of pregnancy. The placental trophotropism which means degeneration of thin placental margins and rapid growth of the lower uterine segment may explain this phenomenon. From the above studies, it can be settled that the rate of detection of LIP decrease with the advancement of gestational age and there is no consensus about the cut of value of distance early in pregnancy that can predict the LIP at term. Moreover, it seems that the reported incidence of PP is increasing year after year and this is parallel to the increase in the CS rate.

The distance from the internal os measured between 18-24 weeks was the only significant risk predictor. This was in accordance to Kacey and colleagues who found that the likelihood of resolution of LIP detected after 14 weeks gestation was affected by only gestational age and distance from the internal os at time of diagnosis.

Present study demonstrated that the most significant cut-off points for predicting the persistent of second trimester LIP to PP was 10 mm. This cut of value had a very high sensitivity (95%) and relatively high specificity (83%). Authors are in agreement with Taipale and colleagues who agreed that persistence of PP is extremely unlikely if the distance is more than 10 mm. On the other hand, Mustafa and colleagues reported a distance that a distance of 2.4 cm between11-14 week, gave 80.0% probability of PP at term. The difference in the cut value may be secondary to the earlier scan of LIP in Mustafa and colleagues study in addition to the difference in the other risk factors.

Present study had its limitation, as authors followed up only women who diagnosed to have LIP based on present criteria up to delivery not all the scanned women. Based on present study authors do recommend second trimester scan of all pregnant women for exclusion of LIP. Close follow up of those with placenta 10 cm or less from the internal os as about one quarter of them will develop PP. Further studies are needed for early detection of second trimester LIP with the cut of value of 10 mm is greatly recommended.

CONCLUSION

About 5.0% of pregnant women have LIP at the second trimester of pregnancy (18-24 weeks) and only 25.0% of them remain placenta previa at term. A cut-off value of 10 mm between the internal os and the lower edge of the placenta is the most important predictor of development of PP.
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