INTRODUCTION

Primary postpartum hemorrhage (PPH) represents the major reason of maternal deaths, with global prevalence about 6%. In developing countries obstetric hemorrhage is the most common cause of female mortality in the child bearing period which represents 27% of all maternal deaths throughout world.

Primary postpartum hemorrhage is considered if blood loss within first 24 hours after delivery (vaginal or cesarean) equaled or exceeded 1,000 ml or associated with manifestations of hypovolemia inspite of amount of blood loss. Traditional definitions depended on mode of delivery, if estimated blood loss >500ml with vaginal delivery and >1000 ml with cesarean delivery were considered primary postpartum hemorrhage.

Postpartum hemorrhage risk factors include all causes of uterine atony (previous history of atonic PPH, macrosomic baby, multiparty, considerable anemia, elective Caesarean delivery (CD), prolonged and or...
augmented labor), abnormal placentation or morbidly adherent placenta, coagulation defect, blood diseases, birth canal injury, uterine rupture and sometimes PPH can be occurred in absence of any obvious risk factors.\textsuperscript{5,6} CD can be considered as one of most common risk factors for atomic PPH particularly with global increase in the cesarean delivery rate.\textsuperscript{7}

Tranexamic acid (TXA) is an amino acid lysine synthetic derivative which has its anti-fibrinolytic effect from the reversible blockade of lysine binding sites on molecules of plasminogen.\textsuperscript{8} Since the 1960s TXA has been prescribed off-label in order to minimize blood loss for different surgeries that associated with marked blood loss such as orthopedic and cardiac surgical procedures. TXA also had been used in trauma surgeries to minimize blood loss.\textsuperscript{9} Following inclusion of TXA in the (Essential Medicines Model List) for cardiac surgeries, in 2013 it had been included for use in trauma of adults with ongoing excessive blood loss or in patients who are at risk of massive hemorrhage through 8 hours of injury.\textsuperscript{10}

Many medications and various surgical interventions are used as preventive measures that could at least reduce postpartum hemorrhage during CD.\textsuperscript{11} TXA is a promising agent, easy to administer, cheap, and can be added to the other routine management in hospitals deliveries.\textsuperscript{12}

The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy of slowly intravenous administration of TXA in prevention and decline the severity of PPH in elective CD.

METHODS

This prospective double blinded, randomized trial was conducted on 500 full term pregnant women underwent elective caesarean delivery at Obstetrics and Geneology Department, Qena University Hospital, South Valley University, Egypt from May 2017 to April 2018.

Inclusion criteria

- All patients recruited in this study underwent elective caesarean delivery for obstetric indications.

Exclusion criteria

- Multiple pregnancy, macrosomic baby, IUFD, preterm baby, polyhydramnios, presence of uterine fibroids, placenta previa and morbidly adherent placenta, bleeding disorders, presence of any degree of anemia, any medical disorders as heart or liver diseases, anticoagulant therapy, history of allergy to tranexamic acid.

A good counselling and written concept was taken from each patient participated in the study according to the Committee of medical Ethics of Faculty of Medicine of South Valley university. A detailed full history was taken from each patient included in this study with general and obstetric examination and all routine investigations needed for patient preparation for operation also obstetric ultrasound for fetal assessment and confirmation of diagnosis and exclude cases that mentioned above in exclusion criteria.

Randomization and blinding

Patients were classified randomly into two groups using a computer-generated randomization system (Microsoft office excel, 2010). The list of randomization was concealed and expressed by sequentially numbered, sealed opaque envelopes just prior to intervention. Each number had been correlated to a ready prepared 10 ml syringe that contains 10 ml of completely colourless solution.

Packing process, sealing and numbering had been performed by 2 separate persons not related to the investigator. Neither the investigator nor the surgeon awarded about what each patient received (double-blinding). The codes tables of randomization had been hidden to the investigator till the study ended.

Intervention

Group A (Tranexamic acid group) included 250 patients received tranexamic acid (Kapron, Amoun industry) 1gm slowly iv over 2 minutes at least 10 minutes before skin incision and Group B (Placebo group) included 250 patients that received placebo (normal saline NaCl 0.9%). All patients had lower segment caesarean section. When baby had been delivered, 10 units of oxytocin in 500 ml of normal saline were administered through intravenous drip over 15 minutes. The amount of blood loss was assessed from time of placenta delivery to the end operation through measuring volume of blood in the suction bottle and reweighing towels used during operation. After end of operation authors assessed the amount of blood loss and for the next 6 hours postoperative by reweighing of soaked pads.

Hemoglobin level and haematocrit value were checked pre and 24 hours postoperative. Patients were observed during and postoperative for any hemodynamic instability and managed according to need (additional uterotonic drugs or cross matched blood transfusion or surgical interventions as B Lynch suture or urgent hysterectomy). The newborns were assessed by neonatologist for fetal distress using Appgar score 1 and after 5 minutes and persistent marked distressed cases were incubated in NICU. All data were documented on case record form.

Statistical analysis

The data was collected coded, tabulated and finally statistically analyzed, using SPSS program (software version 22.0). Descriptive statistics were done for numerical parametric data as mean±SD (standard deviation). Inferential analyses were done for quantitative
variables using independent t-test in cases of two independent groups with parametric data. Inferential analyses were done for qualitative data using Chi square test for independent variables. The level of significance was taken if P value <0.05, otherwise was considered non-significant.

**RESULTS**

No statistical significant difference regarding the baseline criteria; age, parity, body mass index and gestational age of both groups (Table 1).

### Table 1: Demographic data of both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=250)</th>
<th>Group B (n=250)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27.14±4.986</td>
<td>26.77±4.942</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.88±2.76</td>
<td>33.59±3.22</td>
<td>1.489</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>38.32±1.124</td>
<td>38.24±1.518</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1.74±1.461</td>
<td>1.61±1.286</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p>0.05 (data expressed as mean±SD)

### Table 2: Comparison between the two groups according to amount of blood loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=250)</th>
<th>Group B (n=250)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During CS procedure</td>
<td>387.68±93.05</td>
<td>560.79±107.46</td>
<td>-19.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From end of CS procedure up to 6 hours postoperative</td>
<td>159.16±50.95</td>
<td>232.68±65.18</td>
<td>-14.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During CS procedure + next 6 hours postoperative</td>
<td>546.84±106.13</td>
<td>793.99±141.21</td>
<td>-22.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant (data expressed as mean±SD)

### Table 3: Comparison between Group I and Group II as regard to pre and postoperative hemoglobin (g/dl) and hematocrit (%) levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=250)</th>
<th>Group B (n=250)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative Hb (gm/dl)</td>
<td>11.29±0.97</td>
<td>11.33±1.03</td>
<td>-0.516</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours Postoperative Hb (gm/dl)</td>
<td>10.81±0.92</td>
<td>10.27±0.89</td>
<td>6.679</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in Hb (gm/dl)</td>
<td>0.475±0.23</td>
<td>1.06±0.31</td>
<td>-23.923</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative Hct (%)</td>
<td>32.77±2.97</td>
<td>33.01±3.02</td>
<td>-0.892</td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours Postoperative Hct (%)</td>
<td>31.96±2.89</td>
<td>30.80±2.74</td>
<td>4.599</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in Hct (%)</td>
<td>0.85±0.47</td>
<td>2.21±1.13</td>
<td>-17.54</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant (data expressed as mean±SD)

The incidence of PPH in group A and group B were (4.4% and 6.8) respectively, as regard to severity of PPH in both groups, only 3 cases (1.2%) had severe degree of PPH in group A, while 7 cases (2.8%) had severe degree of PPH with a highly statistically significant difference between the two groups with p value <0.001 (Figure 1).

Amounts of blood loss had a highly statistically significant difference between the two groups (less in group A) with p value <0.001 (Figure 2). This was applied on the blood loss during CS and after 6 hours from the end of CS.

As regard to change in hemoglobin level and hematocrit value pre and 24 hours postoperative were highly statistically significant differences between the two groups with p value <0.001 (Table 3).

There were highly statistically significant differences between the two groups as regard to additional uterotonic agents and additional surgical interventions used in control of PPH and in blood transfusion needed with p value < 0.001 (Figure 2).
Neonatal outcomes were comparable between both groups. No difference either in the rate of NICU admission or neonatal RDS.

![Figure 2: Incidence of additional uterotonic drugs, additional surgical intervention, blood transfusion and neonatal outcome in both groups.](image)

**DISCUSSION**

Maternal mortalities due to obstetric hemorrhage particularly PPH represent the first cause of maternal deaths in child bearing period in developing countries and about 43% of them present in Sub-Saharan and Northern Africa.13 45 per 100,000 live births represent the number of maternal mortality in Egypt that had been registered in 2013 according to the World Health Organization data.14 Maternal mortality has been decreased in Egypt in period 1995 to 2008, where the rate dropped from 230 per 100,000 live births to 66 deaths per 100,000 live births, as regard to the estimation reported from repetitive Demographic and Health Surveys.15

Two surveys for national maternal mortality had been conducted in the period 1992 to 1993 and in the period 2000 to 2001, both surveys reported that approximately 30% of all cases of maternal deaths caused by PPH.16 Another study had been reported in Egypt on maternal mortality discussed the main causes for avoidable deaths were suboptimal care, delay in the recognition of the case, improper antenatal care and finally lack of supplies include blood and its derivatives e.g. fresh frozen plasma and platelets.17 Many medications have been tried in prevention of postpartum hemorrhage, authors used tranexamic acid in this study because it has affordable price, easily administered and has rare side effects. In this study the base line of patient’s demographic data was matched in both groups with no significant difference (Table 1).

Incidence of PPH was significantly low in tranexamic group (4.4%) than placebo group (6.8%) (Figure 1). In this study the blood loss during elective cesarean section after delivery of placenta and up to 6 hours postoperative was significantly low in tranexamic group (Table 2) and this result agreed with that had been reported with different studies as Gai MY et al and Sekhavat et al as they assessed the blood loss during cesarean section and up to 2 hours postoperative.18,19

As regard to changes in hemoglobin level and hematocrit value 24 hours postoperative in this study, the changes were significantly high in placebo group than tranexamic group (Table 3). Also the need for additional uterotonic drugs, additional surgical interventions or blood transfusion were significantly less in tranexamic group (Figure 2), these results were agreed with many previous studies as what had been reported by the meta-analysis of the 9 RCTs for evaluation the efficacy of prophylactic tranexamic acid in decrease postpartum hemorrhage at cesarean delivery, which showed, tranexamic acid was associated with a significant reducing postpartum blood loss (low incidence and low severity), a significantly lower hemoglobin level drop postoperative and less need for additional uterotonic drugs.20

Also, the results of this study agreed with another meta-analysis has been published as a Cochrane Review that evaluated the efficacy of tranexamic acid in reduction of postpartum blood loss, but this meta-analysis included vaginal and cesarean deliveries studies.21,22

As regard to neonatal outcome in both groups in this study, neonatal respiratory distress and admission to neonatal intensive care were insignificant in both groups so tranexamic acid has no effect on neonatal outcome, although tranexamic acid may have rare serious adverse effects on neonatal outcome because tranexamic acid cross placenta to fetal cord blood.23

**CONCLUSION**

Tranexamic acid administration few minutes prior to elective CD was effective in:

- Reducing the incidence and severity of PPH.
- Decreased incidence of additional uterotonic agents or other additional surgical interventions.
- Decreased blood transfusion requirement
- Tranexamic acid had no significant adverse effects on neonatal outcome.

**Recommendations**

Tranexamic acid should be used before elective cesarean delivery as a prophylactic method for prevention of PPH even in absence of any risk factors.
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