Case Report

Chronic non-puerperal uterine inversion in the absence of risk factors: a rare case report
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ABSTRACT

Non puerperal chronic uterine inversion is a rare entity; representing 1/6th of all uterine inversions. Usually precipitated by risk factors like fibroid uterus, endometrial polyp, endometrial malignancies. We present a case of 25 year old P2L2 with irregular vaginal bleeding and pain abdomen, with severe anemia. On per abdomen examination no abnormality detected, per speculum revealed a red friable mass 5*5cms protruding through vagina and per vaginal examination same findings confirmed. A diagnosis of fibroid polyp made initially and planned for polypectomy after correction of anemia. Under spinal anaesthesia diagnosis was changed to uterine inversion. Exploratory laparotomy done, which revealed characteristic flower pot appearance. Inversion was corrected by Haultens method, where in posteriorly incision was given to cut the tight cervical ring, and uterus was repositioned back to normal anatomic position. Bilateral tubal sterilization was done as per patients request. Post operative period was uneventful and she was discharged in stable condition. Thus chronic non puerperal uterine inversion can be difficult to diagnose in the absence of risk factors.
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INTRODUCTION

Uterine inversion refers to descent of the uterine fundus to or through the cervix, so that the uterus is turned inside out. It is a rare condition, usually occurs as complication of III stage of labor. Acute inversion occurs within 24 hours of delivery; and chronic uterine inversion presents after 4 weeks of childbirth or in the non pregnant state. Non puerperal inversion is extremely rare and no estimate of its incidence occurs in the literature. It is often associated with uterine pathology. The most important pathology being prolapsed submucosal fibroid, and less commonly endometrial polyp or neoplasm.1-4

We here present a case of non puerperal inversion, which was earlier misdiagnosed as fibroid polyp protruding through vagina, since there were no risk factors for the inversion. Intraoperatively proper diagnosis was made and inversion corrected by Haultens method.

CASE REPORT

A 25 years old woman presented to OBG OPD with complains of irregular per vaginal bleeding since 6 months, increase in flow since 1 week, associated with lower abdomen pain. She also complained of mass per vagina since 1 week, associated with difficulty in passing urine and constipation since 1 week. She is para 2, with both full term vaginal deliveries at peripheral health centre by trained birth attendant, with no history of difficulties in deliveries, last child birth 3 years back.
Prior to 6 months menstrual cycles were regular with average flow. There was no history of coital difficulties.

**On examination**

She was average built with pallor ++, pulse rate-102/m, blood pressure-100/60mm Hg. Per abdomen was soft with tenderness +. Local examination- normal external genitalia. Per speculum examination revealed- mass 5*5cms, angry red looking, friable, bleeding on touch protruding in the vagina (Figure 1). Per vagina examination revealed same mass, with cervix completely taken up over the mass.

**Investigations**

Hb-5.1gm%. LFT and RFT- normal. USG: uterus 9*6.25*3.6, antverted. ET 8mm. A solitary mass ~5.4*5.5*3.9cms located at posterior cul de sac, homogenous with low level echoes. Ligaments and ovarian ligaments giving a characteristic flower-pot appearance (Figure 2).

Huntingtons method was tried pulling the round ligaments with babcock forceps to bring out the fundus but failed because of the tight cervical ring. Thus Haultens method was used, putting a incision in the posterior uterine wall involving the cervical ring (Figure 3) and then vaginal part of the inverted uterine fundus was pushed back to normal anatomic position (Figure 4). Then the posterior uterine incision closed in 2 layers (Figure 5). Because of the young age of patient and no desire for future childbearing bilateral tubal ligation was performed simultaneously.
DISCUSSION

Uterine inversion is a rare, life threatening complication of the third stage of labour. The incidence varies widely between 1 in 2000 to 1 in 50000 deliveries. Non-puerperal inversion is extremely rare representing about one sixth of all inversion. Uterine leiomyoma (79-85% of cases), leiomyosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, endometrial polyps, endometrial carcinoma, cervical carcinoma, and total uterovaginal prolapse has been described as possible preceding factors.

**Uterine inversion can be classified as**

Stage 1: Inverted uterus remains in the uterine cavity. Stage 2: Complete inversion of the fundus through the cervix is seen. Stage 3: Inverted fundus protrudes through vulva. Stage 4: Inversion of the uterus and vaginal wall through the vulva are seen together.

Inversion can also be classified as acute and chronic. Acute uterine inversion causes severe pain and hemorrhage whereas chronic inversion is insidious and characterized by pelvic discomfort, vaginal discharge, irregular vaginal bleeding and anemia.

In acute inversion the uterus can be generally reverted by intravaginal manipulation. In chronic uterine inversions, surgery is imperative. Many surgical methods have been described to treat chronic non puerperal uterine inversion. Huntington and Haultain are commonly used abdominal approaches; whereas Kustner and Spinelli procedures are the commonly used vaginal approaches.

Our case presented late after child delivery in the absence of any high risk factors, even the ultrasonography findings were misleading. The diagnosis is said to be difficult and requires high index of suspicion especially when the inversion is partial.

In doubtful cases of partial inversion, T2 weighted MRI scans are recommended, which show U shaped uterine cavity with inverted uterine fundus on sagittal image and a ‘bull eye’ appearance on axial image.

Huntington and Haultain techniques are commonly used abdominal operation procedures. Huntington procedure involves grasping the round ligament and slowly pulling up until the uterus is reinverted. Haultain procedure is incising posterior of the vaginal-cervical ring and carrying up the posterior wall of the uterus until it is reinverted to its normal anatomy. The Kustner and Spinelli vaginal approach procedures could also be used. The Kustner procedure is to enter the pouch of Douglas vaginally and to split the posterior aspect of the uterus and cervix for reinverting the uterus. In Spinelli operation, an incision is made on the anterior aspect of the cervix and then the uterus is reinverted. Robotic and laparoscopic surgeries have been recently used for chronic uterine inversion.

CONCLUSION

The cases of non-puerperal uterine inversion are uncommon and are difficult to manage even for an experienced gynaecologist. High index of suspicion for the diagnosis and clear knowledge about surgery will permit a successful outcome.
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