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ABSTRACT

Background: The recent data shows 16.55% prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in India. Hence universal screening has become important and we need a simple procedure which is economical and feasible to perform in the Indian context. The comparison of screening methods for gestational diabetes mellitus by 75 gm oral glucose challenge testing done in fasting state (WHO) and non-fasting (DIPSI) state was done.

Methods: Cross sectional prospective study done on 225 antenatal women between 20-24 weeks coming to tertiary level hospital in a single working unit were included. They were subjected to two screening glucose challenge tests for detection of gestational diabetes mellitus. Results of glucose challenge tests using 75 gm of anhydrous glucose done in fasting and non fasting state were compared. Values of standard 100 gm oral glucose tolerance test done were considered gold standard.

Results: The prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus was found to be 12.4% by oral glucose tolerance testing. Glucose challenge test with 75 gm done in fasting state was abnormal in 14.7% and abnormal in 15.6% done in non fasting state. The sensitivity of 75 gm GCT done in fasting was 60.7% and the sensitivity of 75 gm GCT done in non fasting state was 67.9%. The specificity of 75 gm GCT done in fasting state was 91.9% and the specificity of 75 gm GCT done in non fasting state was 91.9%.

Conclusions: Sensitivity and specificity of the screening tests done by 75 gm GCT done in non fasting state is comparable with screening done in fasting state. Screening done by 75 gm GCT done in non fasting state is convenient and more feasible in Indian setup.
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INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as “carbohydrate intolerance with recognition or onset during pregnancy, irrespective of the treatment with diet or insulin”.1-4 The importance of gestational diabetes mellitus is that two generations are at risk of developing diabetes in the future. Women with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus are at increased risk of future diabetes, predominately type-2 diabetes, as are their children.5 Besides, any abnormal glucose intolerance in pregnant women without gestational diabetes mellitus is associated with a graded increase in adverse maternal and fetal outcomes.

However, for the detection and diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus, controversy concerning optimal strategy still continues. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends two step procedures for screening and diagnosis of diabetes in selective population. Compared with selective screening, universal screening for gestational diabetes mellitus detects more
cases and improves maternal and fetal prognosis.6,7 In the Indian context, screening is essential in all pregnant women as the Indian women have an eleven fold increased risk of developing glucose intolerance during pregnancy compared to Caucasian women.8 The recent data shows 16.55% prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in our country.9 Hence universal screening has become important in our country.

Majority of the world has accepted the importance of screening but there is no consensus till now. ACOG recommends 50 gm glucose challenge test. According to the WHO 1999 criteria, diagnosis was based on a 2-hour venous plasma glucose value of ≥140 mg/dl (7.8 mmol/l) done in the fasting state, and this is called ‘WHO 1999 criteria’.10-13 According to the Diabetes In Pregnancy Study group India (DIPSI) criteria, diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus was based on a 2-hour venous plasma glucose ≥140 mg/dl (7.8 mmol/l) in the non-fasting OGTT, and this is called ‘DIPSI criteria’.14

WHO predicts adverse pregnancy outcome and warrants treatment based on diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus by OGTT. A fasting plasma glucose value of <95 mg/dl and a post 2-hour plasma glucose value after giving 75 gm glucose ≥140 mg/dl serves as screening as well as diagnostic criteria. It is a simple and economical one step procedure.13

Considering the magnitude of adverse pregnancy outcomes related to gestational diabetes the present study was undertaken to find out the prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in our our population using two glucose challenge tests.

The study also aimed to compare results of challenge test done by DIPSI criteria (non-fasting state) with that done by WHO criteria (fasting state) to ascertain whether the sensitivity of screening done using by the guidelines recommended by Diabetes In Pregnancy Study Group India (DIPSI) is comparable to that done by WHO criterion.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional prospective study carried in a single working unit of Lokmanya Tilak Municipal General Hospital, a major tertiary institute and Medical College.

Two twenty five antenatal women fulfilling inclusion criteria and who underwent all necessary tests of the study and finally delivered at our institute were analysed in this study from November 2015 to November 2017.

Approval of Institutional ethical committee was obtained before starting of the study. All patients recruited in the study were informed about the purpose and methodology of the study and informed consent was taken.

Inclusion criteria

Women with singleton pregnancy with gestational age between 20-24 weeks; women ready to participate; women willing to follow up and deliver at our institute.

Exclusion criteria

Women with overt diabetes mellitus; women on drugs affecting carbohydrate metabolism; women who do not tolerate glucose, who vomited glucose during the procedure; women with diagnosed multiple pregnancy; women with high risk factors for gestational diabetes mellitus like: age >25, family history of DM, BMI >30; and women who did not complete all tests.

After taking an informed consent, a standardized questionnaire was used to collect details.

Most women in our hospital report to antenatal clinics in the non-fasting state. A non-fasting OGCT using 75 gm of anhydrous glucose administered irrespective of the timing of the last meal was carried out. A venous blood sample was drawn 2 hours after administering 75 gm glucose.

After 3 days, patient was again called, this time in fasting state and again OGCT with 75 gm glucose load was done and blood sample was collected 2 hours later.

With a proper diet and overnight fasting, the patient was called the following week. A fasting sample was drawn and a 100 gm oral glucose load was given followed by samples taken at intervals of 1 hour, 2 hours, 3 hours.

Patients not completing 3 tests visits for GCT/OGTT for reasons like non-compliance or intolerance, vomiting were excluded.

Criteria used

According to the WHO 1999 criteria, screening was based on a 2-hour venous plasma glucose value of ≥140 mg/dl (7.8 mmol/l) done in the fasting state after 75 gm oral glucose load, and this is called ‘WHO 1999 criteria’ for the purpose of my study.

According to the DIPSI criteria, national guidelines for diagnosis and management of gestational diabetes mellitus given by the Maternal Health Division, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, December 2014, screening of gestational diabetes mellitus was based on a 2-hour venous plasma glucose ≥140 mg/dl (7.8 mmol/l) in the non-fasting state after 75 gm oral glucose load, and this is called ‘DIPSI criteria’ for the purpose of this study.

Gold standard are values of 100 gm OGTT done after patient reporting in fasting state. Carpenter and Coustan criteria was used. That is, if any 2 values meet or exceed
FPG >95 mg/dl, 1 hour PG >180 mg/dl, 2 hour PG >155 mg/dl and 3 hour PG >140 mg/dl done in fasting state after a 100 gm glucose load and this is the gold standard for the purpose of this study.

When any two of 4 values come abnormal, patient was labelled gestational diabetes mellitus.

Women diagnosed as gestational diabetes mellitus with abnormal OGTT/GCT were managed as per unit protocol till delivery. Though not a primary objectives of this study, since all these women finally delivered at our institute, their maternal, labour and perinatal outcome available from indoor sheets was analyzed.

**Statistical analysis**

All values were expressed as the mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 20) and MedCalc (version 12.7.0). Receiver operating characteristic curves were plotted using sensitivity and specificity for different non-fasting 2-hour venous plasma glucose values against the WHO and the DIPSI criteria. OGTT values were used as the gold standard, and the C statistic was calculated.

The information collected was recorded in a master chart in Microsoft Excel 2010 and analyzed using the social sciences software (SPSS) version 20.0. The quantitative data was represented as frequency or percentage, bar diagrams and pie charts. The association between two qualitative data was analyzed by Chi Square test and the ‘p’ value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

This was a prospective study of 225 pregnant women with single live intrauterine pregnancy between 20 to 24 weeks of gestation who eventually delivered at our hospital. A total of 225 subjects underwent both screening tests and OGTT and then were included in the final analysis to compare the screening methods for gestational diabetes mellitus by 75 gm oral glucose challenge testing done in fasting state and non-fasting (random) state to assess the prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus during the study period in low risk cases. The following are the results obtained from our study.

Patients in this study belonged to age group of 19 to 25 years with mean age 22.84 years with a standard deviation of 1.6. Out of the total 225 patients enrolled in this study, 89 were primigravida and 136 were multigravida. Majority of the patients had a normal BMI. Fourteen women were underweight and while 31 were overweight. The mean gestational age at time of screening was 22.52±1.00 weeks with a range of 20-24.5 weeks.
Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus based on abnormal GCT values as per OGTT criteria was 12.4%.

When results of screening glucose challenge tests done in non-fasting (DIPSI) and fasting (WHO) were analysed compared to that by gold standard 100 gm glucose tolerance tests, sensitivity of challenge tests done in non-fasting (DIPSI) and fasting (WHO) states were comparable.

Table 4: Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus based on OGTT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gestational diabetes mellitus</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>87.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

India is considered as capital of diabetes mellitus. Incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus is very high which affects adversely pregnancy outcome. Hence universal screening of gestational diabetes mellitus is recommended.

In developing countries such as India, particularly in rural areas, there are several challenges to screening for GDM. Some of these challenges include lack of trained phlebotomists, lack of standardized laboratories to do blood glucose estimations, and the problem in getting all women to visit in a fasting state. Due to these challenges, the WHO 1999 criteria, which require only a single sample (compared to three samples with the IADPSG and four samples with the Carpenter and Coustan criteria), became very popular in India. DIPSI also endorsed the 1999 WHO criteria and recommended universal screening at first contact and again at 24-28 weeks using this single-step 2-hour value, which the WHO (1999) criteria proposed. Because there are difficulties in getting women to visit in a fasting state for the OGTT, Anjalakshi et al. conducted a study comparing the GTT done in the fasting and the nonfasting states. They found that the nonfasting OGTT had 100% specificity and sensitivity when compared to the fasting test taken as a “gold standard.” Based on this study, DIPSI adopted the nonfasting OGTT as a single-step screening and diagnostic test for GDM in India. The DIPSI guidelines recommend using 75 g glucose load, which can be given in either a nonfasting or a fasting state, and one blood sample to be drawn 2 hours after glucose load, and a cut-point of 140 mg/dl as the diagnostic cut-point for GDM irrespective of whether the GTT is done in the fasting or nonfasting state.

In our study, we estimated the 2-hour plasma glucose after 75 gm GCT without regard to the time of the last meal. All women also underwent WHO 2-hour PG after 75 gm GCT with overnight fasting. We found non-fasting GCT identified women with gestational diabetes mellitus similar to that of fasting GCT. Plasma glucose for each subject in non-fasting GCT and fasting GCT varied, yet all the values were found to be above the diagnostic criteria of 2-hour PG 140 mg/dl. At the same time, majority of the women who were diagnosed to be non glucose tolerant by non-fasting GCT were found to have NGT by fasting GCT too. Their plasma glucose also varied but was above 140 mg/dl. The non-fasting 2 hours post-75 gm glucose concentration strongly predicts adverse outcome for the mother and her offspring. The 75 gm of glucose challenge though larger than the 50 gm recommended by ADA, the difference in the glycemic load is not expected to result in a higher glycemic excursion in NGT subjects. Further, ADA also permits both 100 and 75 gm OGTT for diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus. Though the glucose loads are different, the cut off values (FPG <95 mg/dl, 1-hour PG <180 mg/dl, 2-hour PG <155 mg/dl) for diagnosis of
gestational diabetes mellitus are the same implying that the quantity of glucose load has little influence on the PG levels in a normal person, whereas in a metabolically deranged state like gestational diabetes mellitus, both 50 and 75 gm glucose load would unmask the glucose intolerance.

Overall the present study showed that, DIPSI procedure, (2014) of 2-hour PG ≥140 mg/dl would be cost-effective without compromising the clinical equipoise.

Considering limited resources and logistic feasibility in our country, routine GCT done in antenatal women for screening of gestational diabetes mellitus in non-fasting state is acceptable option and can be recommended in our set of population. 75-gm GCT performed irrespective of the last meal timing is a patient-friendly approach. Women found to have non glucose tolerance in the first visit may need to undergo GCT later in the pregnancy. This one-step screening/diagnostic procedure is easy to perform, cost effective and causes least disturbance in a pregnant woman’s routine activities.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus was found to be 12.4% in our study.

Therefore, universal screening for glucose intolerance during pregnancy is recommended in our ethnically vulnerable population with increased risk of developing gestational diabetes mellitus.

Sensitivity and specificity of the screening tests done by 75 gm GCT done in non fasting state is comparable with screening done in fasting state.

Screening done by 75 gm GCT done in non fasting state is more convenient and can be easily done in Indian setup where ANC women mostly attend OPDs in afternoon and in non fasting state. Asking women to come again in fasting state for such screening is likely to have low compliance.

Routine GCT done in antenatal women for screening of gestational diabetes mellitus in non-fasting state is acceptable option and can be recommended in our set of population considering limited resources and logistic feasibility in our country.
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