A comparative study of hysteroscopy and transvaginal ultrasonography in diagnosis of endometrial pathology in abnormal uterine bleeding
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ABSTRACT

Background: Abnormal uterine bleeding is the most common complaint in gynaecology and an important source of morbidity. The ideal diagnostic tool to determine the cause for the same continues to be debated. Objective of this study was to compare accuracy of hysteroscopy and transvaginal sonography in diagnosis of endometrial pathology in abnormal uterine bleeding.

Methods: A total 100 women attending gynaecological outpatient in hospital attached to J. J. M. Medical College, Davangere with abnormal uterine bleeding fitting into the inclusion criteria during November 2014 to August 2016, were admitted and evaluated with Transvaginal sonography (TVS) and hysteroscopy. Data was collected and analysed.

Results: Among 100 patients of AUB in this study, majority of the patients were in perimenopausal age group. Menorrhagia is the most common presentation (45%) followed by postmenopausal bleeding (20%). Anaemia was detected in 79% of patients. Hysteroscopy was taken as gold standard and TVS findings were compared. The sensitivity of TVS in detecting polyps, submucous fibroid were 22.2%, 11% respectively and for the normal variants like proliferative and secretory it was 50% and 79.2% respectively. TVS showed good correlation with hysteroscope findings for normal variants of endometrium, but poor correlation for intracavitary pathologies.

Conclusions: Hysteroscopy is a rapid, safe, well tolerated and highly accurate means of diagnosing the cause of abnormal uterine bleeding in perimenopausal age group. Thus, it is advised as a first line investigation in evaluation of AUB.
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INTRODUCTION

Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB), defined by bleeding occurring outside of normal cyclic menstruation that includes change in regularity, frequency of menses, duration or amount of bleeding during or in between periods.¹ It is one of the most common gynaecological problem that health care providers face, accounting for 9-14% from menarche to menopause.² In India, the reported prevalence is 17.9%.³ This proportion rises to 50% when perimenopausal and postmenopausal age groups are considered. It not only affects the quality of life such as intimate relationships, day-to-day living but can have serious adverse consequences such as anaemia, underlying malignancy or increased financial burdens.² The International federation of gynecology and obstetrics working group on menstrual disorders has proposed a classification system (PALM-COEIN) for causes of the
AUB in women. The categories of PALM group (polyp, adenomyosis, leiomyoma, malignancy and hyperplasia) are discrete structural entities that can be defined by imaging and/or by histopathology, whereas those in the COEIN group (coagulopathy, ovulatory disorders, endometrial, iatrogenic and non-classified) represent non-structural causes that cannot be defined by these techniques. The broad range of normal variation in menstrual bleeding causes difficulty in identifying abnormal patterns. The problem is that uterine bleeding has a wide range of diagnostic possibilities. Goals of clinical management are primarily dependent upon attaining a correct etiological diagnosis. Diagnostic evaluation includes investigations ranging from CBC, hormonal assays, traditional dilatation and curettage, office based endometrial biopsy to pelvic ultrasonography for evaluation of endometrial/myometrial pathology contributing to the presentation.

Transvaginal sonography (TVS) is an inexpensive non-invasive modality permitting the use of higher frequency ultrasound waves at greater proximity to the uterus with no need for anaesthesia which is the recommended first line diagnostic tool for assessing uterine pathology in women presenting with AUB. It is considered a natural extension of the bimanual pelvic examination by many gynaecologists. It clearly depicts the uterine contour, echotexture, the status of ovaries and evaluates the endometrium in terms of thickness and its ovulatory and hormonal status.

Hysteroscopy has ushered a new era in the evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding. It is easy to perform and widely available in study setup. By direct visualization of the uterine cavity it is possible to pin point the etiology in the majority of the cases. It can accurately detect endometrial hyperplasia and aids in the early diagnosis of endometrial carcinoma, uterine polyps and submucosal myoma. It is recommended to further evaluate the endometrium in women with abnormal bleeding when the endometrial echo is normal on TVS. This study has been taken up to analyse the place of hysteroscopy and TVS in the evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding and to correlate hysteroscopic with that of TVS findings which help in provisional/final diagnosis. It also aims to correlate hysteroscopic findings with histopathological results.

Objectives

- To study the etiology of abnormal uterine bleeding and the significance of hysteroscopy and TVS in evaluation of the etiopathogenic factors.
- Correlating the diagnosis after transvaginal sonography and hysteroscopy.

METHODS

With a level IV evidence, a prospective cohort study was performed from September 2014 to August 2016 in the department of obstetrics and gynaecology, Bapuji hospital, Chigateri Government General Hospital and Women and Child Health Hospital attached to JIM Medical College, Davangere, Karnataka, India. The patients for this study were recruited by convenient sampling technique. A total of 100 abnormal uterine bleeding women who satisfied the inclusion and exclusion criteria were taken for the study.

All patients with age greater than 35 years with complaint of AUB were taken for the study. Patients with pregnancy, pelvic inflammatory disease, systemic causes of bleeding, vaginal or cervical causes of bleeding, thyroid disorders and coagulation disorders and unmarried girls were excluded from the study.

After informed written consent and counselling, detailed clinical history was taken. Obstetrical history included parity, mode of delivery, abortions and contraceptive use; detailed menstrual history regarding the cycle length, no of days of flow, type and amount of abnormal bleeding and duration of complaint and any relevant preceding events like IUCD insertion or abortion was taken. Per speculum examination was done to rule out cervical and vaginal causes and PAP smear was taken. Per vaginal examination was done to find out any uterine, cervical or adnexal pathology. Investigations like complete hemogram, bleeding time, clotting time, thyroid function tests, blood sugar, liver and kidney function tests and pregnancy test were carried out. Transvaginal sonography was done by 7.5 MHz transducer and uterine size and contour, intramural and submucosal lesion and endometrial thickness were studied. Then diagnostic hysteroscopy was carried out for all patients under general anesthesia using 6 mm diagnostic sheath and 4 mm telescope. A systematic evaluation of the cavity was done observing the uterine cavity, fundus, tubal ostia, anterior and posterior uterine wall and cervical canal and biopsy taken for histopathological study which was considered as gold standard. After tabulating the findings of TVS and hysteroscopy it was compared with histopathology and the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of TVS was calculated and compared to hysteroscopy.

Statistical analysis

The analytical statistics were evaluated statistically with IBM SPSS statistics for windows, version 24.0, IBM Corp, Chicago, IL.

RESULTS

A total of 100 patients presenting with AUB who met the inclusion criteria were studied by TVS and hysteroscopy and evaluated between the year 2014 to 2016.

The most common age group was 35-39 years (33%), followed by 45-49 years (25%) and 40-44 years (21%) with youngest being 36 and the eldest being 64. The
Mean (SD) age of study participants was 44.5 (7.7) years. Most of the patients were multi parous (85%), followed by primipara (8%) and nullipara (7%). The most common pattern of AUB was menorrhagia in 45% cases followed by postmenopausal bleeding in 20%. The other symptoms were polymenorrhagia (12%), polymenorrhea (9%), menometrorrhagia (6%), hypomenorrhea and oligomenorrhoea (4% each) (as shown in Figure 1). 58% patients had dysmenorrhea. Majority of the patients (68%) were using some form of contraceptive at the time of their evaluation for AUB. 61% were tubectomized, 5% were using intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD) and 2% were on oral contraceptive pills. A total of 79% patients were anaemic and 21% patients were with normal Hb levels in this study.

TVS was normal in 59% patients. Among the abnormal findings, most common abnormality was endometrial hyperplasia (24%), followed by endometrial polyp (8%) and atrophic endometrium (8%). Least common was submucous fibroid (1%) (as shown in Figure 2).

Hysteroscopy was normal in 37% of cases. Among the abnormal ones, most common finding was endometrial hyperplasia seen in 20% cases followed by polyp in 18% cases, atrophic endometrium in 11%, submucous fibroid in 9%, endometrial carcinoma in 3% and intra-uterine synechiae in 2% (as shown in Figure 3).

Samples taken on hysteroscopy were analysed by histopathological examination. The histopathology
showed normal endometrium in 45% cases. Hyperplasia was seen in 20 cases followed by 13 cases each of endometrial polyp and atrophic endometrium and 5 cases of endometrial carcinoma (as shown in Figure 4).

Figure 6: Mucosal polyp.

TVS has showed atrophic endometrium in 8 cases whereas 11 patients had atrophic endometrium on hysteroscopy, so TVS has missed 3 cases of atrophic endometrium. TVS has diagnosed only one patient of submucous fibroid while hysteroscopy diagnoses 9 such cases (Figure 5), TVS has diagnosed 8 cases of polyp while hysteroscopy diagnoses 18 such cases (Figure 6).

Hysteroscopy has diagnosed intra uterine synechiae in 2 cases (Figure 7) whereas TVS has missed both of them. Thus, intracavitary abnormalities like polyp, submucous fibroid, synechiae were better diagnosed with hysteroscopy (as shown in Table 1 and 2).

Figure 7: Intrauterine synechiae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrophic</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periovulatory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretory</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyp</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submucous myoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra uterine synechiae</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Diagnostic accuracy of TVS compared to hysteroscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hysteroscopy (n=100)</th>
<th>Transvaginal sonography (n=100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrophic (n=11)</td>
<td>Atrophic (n=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative (n=12)</td>
<td>Hyperplasia (n=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia (n=20)</td>
<td>Endometrial polyp (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia (n=4)</td>
<td>Secretory (n=4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia (n=4)</td>
<td>Hyperplasia (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretory (n=24)</td>
<td>Hyperplasia (n=4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative (n=1)</td>
<td>Secretory (n=19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periovulatory (n=1)</td>
<td>Proliferative (n=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periovulatory (n=1)</td>
<td>Secretory (n=4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of findings of hysteroscopy and transvaginal sonography.
Hysteroscopy (n=100) | Transvaginal sonography (n=100)
--- | ---
Periovulatory (n=1) | Periovulatory (n=8)
Endometrial polyp (n=1) | Secretory (n=2)

Polyp/polypoid endometrium (n=18) | Hyperplasia (n=3) | Endometrial polyp (n=4)
--- | --- | ---
Proliferative (n=1)

Carcinoma (n=3) | Periovulatory (n=1) | Hyperplasia (n=2)
--- | --- | ---

Intrauterine synechiae (n=2) | Secretory (n=2)
--- | ---

Submucous myoma (n=9) | Submucous myoma (n=1)
--- | ---

Distribution according to age

In this study, the mean age of the patients was 44.5 years, which correlates with studies of Vercellini P et al with average age as 41.5±7.8 years and Vitter D et al with 41.8±13.49 years. Most common age group having AUB in this study were in between 35-39 years (33%), followed by 45-49 years (25%) and 40-44 years (21%) which means majority of the patients belonged to perimenopausal age group. Similarly, Mishra S et al had maximum number of AUB patients in age group 36-40 years (39.2%) followed by 27.5% women in 41-45 years age group. As per Kumari M et al and Patil R et al 37% and 45% patients respectively were in 31-40 years age group. Emanuel MH et al, Sheth SS, Towbin NA et al and Veena BT et al also had similar clinical presentation in their studies.

Distribution according to bleeding pattern

In this study, most common presentation was menorrhagia found in 45% cases followed by postmenopausal bleeding in 20% cases, which was comparable to the study by Mishra S et al (menorrhagia (45%) and Kumari M et al (39% incidence of menorrhagia), Tahir et al (40%), Pillai S et al (46.5%) Shobhitha et al (40% in patients of reproductive age), Veena BT et al (28%). Other bleeding patterns in present study are polymenorrhagia (12%), polymenorrhoea (9%), menometrorrhagia (6%), hypomenorrhoea and oligomenorrhoea (4% each).

Most of the patients in the study were anaemic contributing almost 79%, which correlates with studies of Emanuel et al (59.3%), Sheth SS (73%), Sabherwal G (65%).

Distribution according to parity

In this study, most of the patients were multiparous contributing 85%, 8% primipara, 7% nulliparous which correlates with study of Mishra S et al with 65% multiparous, 30% primiparous and 5% nulliparous. As per Kumari M et al and Patil R et al, 61% and 71% patients with AUB were multiparous respectively.


**Hysteroscopy in detection of cause of AUB**

Hysteroscopy in the present study showed normal uterus in 37% cases and abnormal in 63% cases. Among the abnormal ones, most common finding was endometrial hyperplasia seen in 20% cases, followed by polyp in 18% cases, atrophic endometrium in 11%, submucous fibroid in 9%, endometrial carcinoma in 3% and intra-uterine synechiae in 2%. Mishra S et al, showed intrauterine pathology in 21 cases. Polypl was found in 18 (15% of cases) and submucosal fibroid in 3 (2.5% of cases). Similar pattern was observed in studies done by Gunjan et al, Veena A et al and Veena BT et al and Neumann T et al.17,21-23

**Detection of cause of AUB by TVS**

Sensitivity and specificity of the intra-uterine pathologies by TVS were correlating with other studies (as shown in Table 3 and 4).

**Table 3: Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of polyps in other studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polyps</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitner D et al11</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veena BT et al17</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of atrophic endometrium in other studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atrophic endometrium</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veena BT17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5: When compared for normal variants TVS showed good accuracy.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal variants TVS</th>
<th>Veena BT17</th>
<th>Present study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretery</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So, TVS showed good accuracy in diagnosing normal variants and poor in diagnosing intracavitary pathologies (Table 5). In the present study, there were 2 cases of intrauterine synechiae diagnosed by hysteroscopy but missed by TVS, similar findings were observed in Veena BT et al.17

TVS and hysteroscopy should be employed hand in hand in evaluation of AUB after assessing the need and affordability of patient.

**CONCLUSION**

Most of the patients presenting with AUB are in perimenopausal group. There is a high incidence of intrauterine pathologies in this age group. Both TVS and hysteroscopy will diagnose the conditions with different accuracies. Compared to TVS, hysteroscopy allows for a direct visualisation of the endometrial cavity and offers additional opportunity of obtaining a directed biopsy if needed.

Hysteroscopy is a rapid, safe, well tolerated and highly accurate means of diagnosing the cause of excessive uterine bleeding. It permits patient and physician to discuss more treatment options before surgery, which means savings in time, in drug, procedure, professional and hospital costs.
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