Review of ectopic pregnancy at tertiary care center: 2 years analysis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Ectopic pregnancy is defined as any intra or extra-uterine pregnancy in which the fertilized ovum implants at an aberrant site which is inconducive to its growth and development. It is a catastrophic and life-threatening condition and one of the commonest acute abdominal emergencies affecting approximately 2% of all pregnancies. The purpose of this study is to review cases of ectopic pregnancy and determine: incidence, high risk factors, types of clinical presentation, diagnostic methods, management, morbidity and mortality.

Methods: The present study, conducted over a period of 2-year, total number of deliveries was 16,144 and total number of ectopic pregnancies was 116. More than half of the cases (56.04%) had one or the other identifiable risk factor.

Results: Amongst the various risk factors studied, history of previous pelvic surgery (15.43%), history of Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) (12.9%), use of Intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD) (10.3%) and either spontaneous or induced abortion (7.76%) has been found. History of self-administered medical termination of pregnancy (MTP) pill was present in 3.45%. Repeat ectopic pregnancies were seen in 1.72%. There was no identifiable risk factor in 49.63% of cases.

Conclusion: Ectopic pregnancy is a major challenge in obstetrical practice because of its varied clinical presentation. It can be diagnosed early by keeping a high index of suspicion. Undue delay in referral reduces significant morbidity and improves the chances of preserving future fertility. Mass education regarding safe abortion practices and post abortion care should be promoted. Unsupervised usage of MTP pill intake should be condemned.
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INTRODUCTION

An ectopic, or extra-uterine pregnancy is defined as a pregnancy implanted outside of the uterine cavity with over 98% implanting in the fallopian tube.1,2 The most common site of ectopic pregnancy is fallopian tube followed by ovary and abdomen. Some ectopic pregnancies resolve spontaneously, but others continue to grow and lead to rupture of the tube.3 It accounts for 2% of first trimester pregnancies of them 98% are in various part of the fallopian tube and out of these, 70% are in the ampullary region, 12% isthmic, 11.1% fimbrial, 3.2% ovarian, 2.4% interstitial, and 1.3% in the abdominal cavity.4,5 It is a catastrophic and life threatening condition and one of the commonest acute abdominal emergencies.

Diagnosis requires a high index of suspicion as the classic triad of amenorrhea, abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding is not seen in majority of cases. The clinical presentation seems to be varied and women may present with non-specific symptoms, unaware of an on-going pregnancy or even present with hemodynamic shock. Risks are higher in women with damage to the fallopian tubes due to pelvic infections, surgery, or previous ectopic pregnancy. The early diagnosis of this condition over the past two decades has allowed a definitive medical management of unruptured ectopic pregnancy with successful outcomes.
Treatment of diagnosed ectopic pregnancy includes medical management with intramuscular methotrexate, surgical management via salpingostomy or salpingectomy, and, in rare cases, expectant management.

The purpose of this study was to review all cases of ectopic pregnancy and determine: incidence, high risk factors, types of clinical presentation, diagnostic methods, treatment modalities, morbidity and mortality.

METHODS

Type of study

The study was retrospective.

Sample size

116 patients who had ectopic pregnancy were included.

Study setting

The study was conducted at SSG Hospital, Baroda, Gujarat, India.

Study duration

The present study was conducted for a period of 2 years from January 2018 to December 2019.

Methodology

The data was collected from medical record room. A meticulous analysis was done to get information regarding the demographic data, parity, risk factors, clinical features, mode of management and need for blood transfusion was noted.

Inclusion criteria

All women with confirmed ectopic pregnancies.

Statistical analysis

Data was entered in Microsoft Excel spread sheet and analyzed using Statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) software version 19.0. For categorical variables, data was compiled as frequency and percentage. The data was represented in form of tables and charts.

RESULTS

As per table 1, it was found that the majority of ectopic pregnancies occurred in the females between age group 26-30 years (42.24%). This was followed by age group of 20-25 years (39.66%). In this scenario, it can be assumed that younger age group is vulnerable because of early marriage.

Table 1: Distribution of cases according to age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to table 2, gravida 2, 3 accounted for the maximum number of cases (65.51%). A trend is seen where multigravidas are involved more in ectopic cases. The primigravida patients account for 20.69% and it can be due to unknown risk factor.

Table 2: Distribution of cases according to birth order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gravida</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to table 3, the most common presentation documented was pain in abdomen, followed by amenorrhea (21.55%) and bleeding per vagina (20.69%) and triad of ectopic was seen in 21.2% patients. Most of the patients did not have major symptoms, shock was seen in 12.93%, followed by vomiting in 6%. Therefore, it is required that every women of reproductive age who complains of abdominal pain must undergo pregnancy test.

Table 3: Distribution according to presentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amenorrhea</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding per vagina</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in abdomen</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 depicts that the most common site of ectopic was tubal (ampulla>isthmus>fimbria) as seen in most of the studies. The tubal site is known to be the most common site, but due to increase in pregnancy rates, there has also been cases of Lower segment caesarean section (LSCS) scar site pregnancy which accounted to be 1.72%.

Table 5: Distribution according to presentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal surgery</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ectopic</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artifical insemination</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As per table 5, ectopic pregnancy seems to be more in patients having risk factors. More than half of the cases (56.04%) had one or the other identifiable risk factor. Risk factors play a very important role in pathogenesis. The most important being Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), tubal surgery, so the patients with risk factors are educated about the possibility of ectopic pregnancy.
Patients presented with hypovolemic shock required blood transfusions according to the blood loss and hemodynamic status. The early and most important management of hypovolemia is replacement of blood, it also acts as a preventive measure to prevent further life-threatening complication like Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC).

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence of ectopic pregnancy has been on the rise over the past decades. It has now become one of the most common and most serious events leading to maternal death in the first trimester. It has been seen to complicate 0.25-2.0% of all pregnancies worldwide.³

In present study the incidence was 1.18%, comparable to other studies in developing countries, where it ranges from 0.56-1.5%.

Predominance of young age women can be attributed to fact that women in India encounter early marriage and reproduction. The same result can be seen in this study as it was found that the majority of ectopic pregnancies, occurred in the females between age group 26-30 years (42.24%) which is comparable to (40.81%) in 28-32 year age group in the study by Sharma R, et al.⁶

Multigravida women were found to be most common (79.3%) among all the ectopic cases and this was comparable to the study done by K. Swathi et al where multipara women were 84%.⁷

In this study, it was found that the most common presentation documented was pain in abdomen, followed by amenorrhea (21.55%) and bleeding per vagina (20.69%) and triad of ectopic was seen in 21.2% patients. The clinical presentation among ectopic patients are varied, ranging from complain of amenorrhea to shock and hemodynamic instability.

The most common site of ectopic was tubal (ampulla>isthmus>fimbrial) as seen in the study by Bouyer.⁸

Risk factors are very important in an ectopic pregnancy as, more than half of the cases (56.04%) had one or the other identifiable risk factor. As per study done by Swati K et al, 80% of the patients had identifiable risk factors, of which past history of PID in 26%, history of previous abortion in 16%, infertility in 10%, uterine anomalies in 4%, history of previous ectopic pregnancy in 6%, usage of Intrauterine contraceptive devices (IUCD) and oral contraceptive pills (OCP) in 6% each and tubectomy in 6% were noted.⁷ The results conclude that the aetiology of ectopic pregnancy seems to be involving tubal factor involvement, either by infection or by previous surgical procedures.

In majority of cases (66.37%) open salpingectomy by laparotomy was done because of poor general condition.
and hemodynamic instability. In study done by Pratibha V et al, milking was done in 2.33% cases while in present study it was 5.17%, and partial salpingectomy was done in 13.25% case. Total salpingectomy was done by them in majority of case (66.3%), which was same as present study (66.37%).

The hemodynamic instability cause by hemoperitoneum was managed effectively by replacement of blood products and ventilatory support which resulted in zero mortality in this study. Blood transfusion was required in 75% cases which is comparable to study by Divyesh P where transfusions were required in 65.5%.

Over the counter availability of Medical termination of pregnancy (MTP) kit has resulted in bypassing of Ultrasonography (USG) for detection of pregnancy and leads to increase in cases of ruptured ectopic.

Due to lack of awareness and education in the rural community, 1st trimester scan is missed leading to failure of early detection.

The incidence in a tertiary care centre is assumed to be on a higher side as influx of referred patients for ruptured ectopic pregnancy constitutes a substantial part.

CONCLUSION

Ectopic pregnancy is still a major challenge in obstetrical practice because of its varied clinical presentation and being a common cause of 1st trimester mortality. Undue delay in referral reduces significant morbidity and improves the chances of preserving future fertility. Mass education regarding safe abortion practices and post abortal care should be promoted. Unsupervised usage of MTP pill intake should be condemned. In conclusion, identifying underlying risk factors, availability of ultrasound, with availability of beta human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) assay and timely intervention will help reduce the morbidity and mortality.
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