Role of uterine artery doppler at 18-22 weeks in the prediction of pre-eclampsia: a prospective study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pre-eclampsia is the most common pregnancy complication associated with serious maternal and fetal morbidity. Uterine artery Doppler reflects the impaired trophoblastic invasion of the uterine spiral arteries, which is involved in the aetiology of preeclampsia. Thus, uterine artery Doppler was proposed as a screening test for pre-eclampsia.

Methods: A prospective cohort study of 100 singleton pregnancies was conducted in a tertiary centre and the study population were subjected to uterine artery Doppler study at 18-22 weeks gestation. Uterine artery Doppler indices of pulsatility index (PI), resistance index (RI) and persistence of diastolic notch was obtained and the outcome of pre-eclampsia was studied.

Results: Out of 100 women, 14 women developed pre-eclampsia in which the resistance index showed a sensitivity of 21.4%, specificity of 91.8%, positive predictive value of 30% and negative predictive value of 87.7% and pulsatility index showed sensitivity of 35.7%, specificity of 90.6%, positive predictive value of 38.4% and negative predictive value of 89.6%. Diastolic notch had a sensitivity of 35.7%, specificity of 98.8%, positive predictive value of 83.3% and a negative predictive value of 90.4%.

Conclusions: The high negative predictive values, indicated that women with normal Doppler velocimetry were unlikely to develop pre-eclampsia. Uterine artery Doppler, being non-invasive can be included during routine sonography to identify patients at risk of developing pre-eclampsia. Early, screening for pre-eclampsia will help in individualized antenatal surveillance and initiation of prophylactic therapy, early to reduce the adverse maternal and foetal complications of preeclampsia.
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INTRODUCTION

Preeclampsia (PE) is a multisystem, pregnancy-specific disorder that is characterised by the development of hypertension (Blood pressure-140/90 mmHg) and proteinuria (300 mg/24 hours or 1 + dipstick) after 20 weeks of gestation.1

The incidence of pre-eclampsia is higher in primigravida women, and in those who have a family or past history of hypertensive pregnancy.2 Preeclampsia is therefore characterized by an imbalance between prostacycline and thromboxane production, as well as failure of the second wave trophoblastic invasion of the endometrio-myometrial vasculature. The result is abnormal uteroplacental blood flow.

Since pre-eclampsia is described by uteroplacental insufficiency due to abnormal blood flow, the concept of utilising Doppler velocimetry as a part of routine ultrasonography to assess the blood flow was put forth.3,4
At 14-20 weeks gestation, there is a wave of trophoblast invasion into the maternal spiral arteries and the impedance decreases, which causes an increase in the blood flow.5,6

However in impaired trophoblastic invasion, this process does not occur and it results in a low velocity, high impedance circulation. During which, uterine artery Doppler studies show elevated Doppler indices values and persistent diastolic notching. The appearance of diastolic notching is rare until 26 weeks of gestation, however its presence is identified with an underlying pathological cause. An increased risk for pre-eclampsia more than 17 weeks is identified, when increased Doppler indices and the presence of end-diastolic notching is noted. This occurs as a result of increased resistance in the uteroplacental circulation.7,9 Hence the aim of this study is to evaluate the role of uterine artery Doppler at 18-22 weeks in the prediction of pre-eclampsia.

METHODS

In this prospective study, 100 participants were selected after taking informed consent and ethical clearance, from November 2016 till March 2018. Pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology in Justice K. S. Hegde Charitable Hospital constituted the study population.

Inclusion criteria

All gravid women between 18-22 weeks of gestation having a 6-8 weeks scan.

Exclusion criteria

High risk patients like chronic hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic renal disease, congenital anomalies and multiple pregnancy.

After informed consent and ethical clearance, all enrolled women were subjected to detailed history and clinical examination including recording of blood pressure manually, using a sphygmomanometer in position, height, weight, fundal height. Routine hematological investigations, serology and urine routine with urinary protein were done.

Procedure

The patient was put in supine position and then a detailed trans-abdominal ultrasonographic examination at 18 to 22 weeks to confirm the gestational age, presentation, liquor was done. Ultrasound machine (GE VOLUSON-730 EXPERT SERIES and PHILIPS HD 7XE) with a convex probe of 5-7 MHz with a high pass filter was used. Doppler mode was then switched on. With the transducer in the longitudinal plane, the external iliac artery was visualized at pelvic side wall with colour and spectral Doppler. The transducer was then angled medially towards the uterine artery, where it crosses the external iliac artery. The flow velocity waveforms on the right and left uterine arteries were taken, when 3 or 4 waves of equal height were seen, the image was frozen and measurements were taken either by trace method/manually/automatic trace. Then Doppler indices were obtained directly from the machine. Parameters of Mean PI, Mean RI and presence or absence of diastolic notch in bilateral uterine arteries were analysed.

The flow velocity waveforms were considered abnormal if there was diastolic notch in uterine artery in either right or left uterine arteries and if PI exceeded 1.45 and RI exceeded >0.7,10 Patients with normal and abnormal uterine artery Doppler values, were closely monitored and followed up till delivery. The collected information was summarized by using percentage for qualitative data. Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive predictive value, Negative predictive value were calculated using 2 × 2 table. The statistical analysis was done using SPSS (statistical package for social sciences) version 15.0 statistical analysis software.

RESULTS

Uterine artery Doppler were studied in all 100 cases and the outcome of pre-eclampsia was studied and statistical analysis was carried out using sensitivity specificity and predictive values. The results showed that among the study population of 100, 14 patients developed pre-eclampsia, thus a prevalence of 14% was noted. Out of 14 patients who developed pre-eclampsia, majority were primigravid women, which constituted 57.2% of the pre-eclampsia population. Abnormal uterine artery Doppler was described by Doppler indices having a mean PI>1.45, mean RI>0.7 and persistence of diastolic notch. In our study of 100 gravid women, 13 patients had PI>1.45, 10 patients had RI>0.7, and 6 patients had persistence of diastolic notch. In the pre-eclampsia patient group, it was noted that 64.3% had normal pulsatility index (PI<1.45) and 35.7% had pulsatility index >1.45 (Table 1).

Table 1: Relationship between pulsatility index and Pre-eclampsia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulsatility index (PI)</th>
<th>Pre-eclampsia</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present (%)</td>
<td>Absent (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.45</td>
<td>5 (38%)</td>
<td>8 (62%)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1.45</td>
<td>9 (10.3%)</td>
<td>78 (89.7%)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>54.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant, p<0.05

Out of 13 patients who had PI>1.45, 38% of the patients developed pre-eclampsia and 62% did not develop pre-eclampsia. Out of the remaining 87 patients who had PI<1.45, 10.3% of the patients had developed pre-
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Whereas PI was >1.45 in 5 w (21.5%), whereas 11 (78.5%) patients had normal RI. In the preeclampsia patient group, majority (78.6%) had normal resistance index (RI<0.7) while 21.4% had resistance index >0.7 (Table 2). Out of 10 patients, who had abnormal RI, 30% developed preeclampsia. Out of 90 patients, who had RI<0.7, 12.2% of the patients developed pre-eclampsia and 87.8% did not develop pre-eclampsia. It was found that 83.3% of the patients who had diastolic notch, had developed pre-eclampsia and 1 patient did not develop pre-eclampsia (16.7%) (Table 3).

In the pre-eclampsia patient group, majority (78.6%) had normal resistance index (RI<0.7) while 21.4% had resistance index >0.7 (Table 2). Out of 10 patients, who had abnormal RI, 30% developed pre-eclampsia. Out of 90 patients, who had RI<0.7, 12.2% of the patients developed pre-eclampsia and 87.8% did not develop pre-eclampsia. It was found that 83.3% of the patients who had diastolic notch, had developed pre-eclampsia and 1 patient did not develop pre-eclampsia (16.7%) (Table 3).

In the present study, out of 100 patients studied, 14 (14%), developed preeclampsia. In the preeclampsia patient group RI was greater than 0.7 in 3 patients (21.5%), whereas 11 (78.5%) patients had normal RI. Whereas PI was >1.45 in 5 women (35.7%) only. These results were compared to the results obtained by Brig Sahoo et al wherein 54 out of the 220 patients studied developed pre-eclampsia, and in the pre-eclampsia patient group, RI was greater than 0.7 (>0.7 at 95 percentile) in 42 patients, whereas 12 patients had normal RI. PI was >1.4 in 24 women only. Thus, RI had a sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of 77.8%, 85.5%, 63.6%, 92.2% respectively and PI had a sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of 44.4%, 89.75%, 58.53%, 83.24% respectively. In our study, resistance index showed sensitivity of 21.4%, specificity of 91.8%, positive predictive value of 38.4% and negative predictive value of 89.6%. Diastolic notch had a sensitivity of 35.7%, specificity of 98.8%, positive predictive value of 83.3% and a negative predictive value of 90.4% (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In the past decades, the prediction of pre-eclampsia has been attempted by using several methods. In this respect, Doppler flow studies of the uteroplacental circulation have been extensively performed, since they are easy, inexpensive, non-invasive and can be done in early pregnancy. However, Doppler ultrasound examination of the uteroplacental circulation has provided conflicting results when employed as a screening test for pre-eclampsia of pregnancy. The timing of examining the uterine Doppler flow has been studied extensively. The persistence of diastolic notch in the flow velocity waveforms and Doppler indices having a higher value after the 20th week gestation, characterises high resistance to the flow and is considered abnormal. In the present study, out of 100 patients studied, 14 (14%), developed preeclampsia. In the preeclampsia patient group RI was greater than 0.7 in 3 patients (21.5%), whereas 11 (78.5%) patients had normal RI. Whereas PI was >1.45 in 5 women (35.7%) only. These results were compared to the results obtained by Brig Sahoo et al wherein 54 out of the 220 patients studied developed pre-eclampsia, and in the pre-eclampsia patient group, RI was greater than 0.7 (>0.7 at 95 percentile) in 42 patients, whereas 12 patients had normal RI. PI was >1.4 in 24 women only. Thus, RI had a sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of 77.8%, 85.5%, 63.6%, 92.2% respectively and PI had a sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of 44.4%, 89.75%, 58.53%, 83.24% respectively. In our study, resistance index showed sensitivity of 21.4%, specificity of 91.8%, positive predictive value of 38.4% and negative predictive value of 89.6%. Diastolic notch had a sensitivity of 35.7%, specificity of 98.8%, positive predictive value of 83.3% and a negative predictive value of 90.4% (Table 4).

Padmalatha et al studied 217 women with singleton pregnancy between 20-24 weeks who were subjected to uterine artery Doppler studies, and PI and RI, both had sensitivity of 60%, specificity of 92%, positive predictive value of 16%, negative predictive value 99%. Bower et al examined the uterine arteries in 2058 pregnancies at 18-22 weeks the value of the early diastolic notch and colour Doppler imaging of the uterine arteries at 24 weeks' gestation in a two-stage Doppler screening test for pre-eclampsia. Abnormal results were
defined as an RI > ninety-fifth percentile or the presence of early diastolic notches in either of the uterine arteries, which was found in 16% of the pregnancies. They found a sensitivity of 75% for pre-eclampsia.

Barati et al studied three hundred and seventy-nine women were subjected to a uterine artery Doppler study, between 16-22 weeks of gestation, and PI showed a higher sensitivity of 79%, specificity of 95.5%, positive predictive value-88.4% and negative predictive value of 98.9%, probably because of a larger population group studied.\(^5\)

Pranita et al studied 140 women, out of which 28 women had abnormal uterine artery Doppler values and only 8 developed pre-eclampsia.\(^6\) RI as a predictor of preeclampsia (RI>0.58), showed a sensitivity of 50%, specificity of 93.9%, positive predictive value of 33.3% and negative predictive value of 96.9%. Notching had a sensitivity of 62.5%, specificity of 94.7%, and positive predictive value of 41.7% and negative predictive value of 97.7%.

Yang et al studied 2039 singleton pregnancies and uterine artery Doppler was done at 21 weeks of gestation and PI showed sensitivity of 83.8%, specificity of 91.9%, positive predictive value-49.1% and negative predictive value of 79.5% for predicting the risk of HDP.\(^7\)

The limitation of this study was that, it was conducted at a medical college and hospital which was a tertiary health centre so demographic data may not represent the sample of population of the region. The short time period of the study and the small number of cases studied are further shortcomings of this study.

CONCLUSION

Second trimester uterine artery- pulsatility index, resistance index and the presence of diastolic notch can be used as a predictor for pre-eclampsia. The uterine artery Doppler data of this study suggest that pre-eclampsia is strongly associated with defective invasion of the spiral arteries. Presence of diastolic notch in the uterine artery is better than the individual Doppler indices of pulsatility index and resistance index to rule out pre-eclampsia. By obtaining high negative predictive values, we can conclude that women with normal Doppler velocimetry are unlikely to develop pre-eclampsia. Although the uterine artery Doppler has a high negative predictive value for the prediction of pre-eclampsia, the strength of the association between abnormal results is not so considerable to justify its introduction as a screening test. Uterine artery Doppler, being non-invasive can be included during routine sonography to identify patients at risk of developing pre-eclampsia. Early screening for pre-eclampsia will help in vigilant and individualized antenatal surveillance and initiation of prophylactic therapy, early to reduce the adverse maternal and foetal complications of pre-eclampsia.

Recommendations

It is recommended that further studies be done on a larger scale to represent the general population. Further studies, combining Doppler velocimetry along with biochemical tests, will prove more effective in screening patients for pre-eclampsia.
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