Comparative study between laparoscopic hysterectomy and abdominal hysterectomy for the treatment of early stage endometrial cancer
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ABSTRACT

Background: Aim was to study the feasibility of total laparoscopic pan hysterectomy in patients with early stage endometrial cancer.

Methods: Retrospective and prospective study of 100 patients with clinical early stage endometrial cancer was done in Bombay Hospital & Research Center over 3 years. 44 patients underwent total laparoscopic hysterectomy while 56 patients underwent abdominal pain hysterectomy. Pelvic lymphadenectomy was performed in patients with myometrium invasion greater than 50%, size of tumor >2 cm, non-endometroid pathology, Grade 3.

Results: The mean operating time was 2 hours in the abdominal hysterectomy group and 2.5 hrs. in the laparoscopic group. Average blood loss was 350 ml in the abdominal (Abd) group & 250 ml in the laparoscopic (lap) group. Which was comparable. The abdominal hysterectomy group experienced more postoperative pain than the laparoscopy group. However, cost wise laparoscopy group incurred more expenditure than the abdominal group. Among the postoperative complications, nausea vomiting, paralytic ileus and wound dehiscence were significantly more in the abdominal group as compared to the laparoscopy group.

Conclusions: Morbidity is much less in laparoscopy route compare to open abdominal hysterectomy with equivalent survival.
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INTRODUCTION

Endometrial cancer is the fourth common cancer of the female genital tract.¹ A study published in the Journal of the National Cancer Institute on October 16, 2017 showed varied incidence rates across the globe. North America and Europe documented the highest incidences while developing countries like India and South Africa showed lower incidences. Advancing age and menopause are high risk factors with >90% cancer occurring in women above the age of 50.²³ Women with BMI>32 are 4 times at risk. 50% patients have co morbidity.⁴ 75% are diagnosed with stage 1 disease and at least 80% of women with endometrial cancer can live for 5 years after treatment.⁵ The traditional treatment for endometrial ca has been total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-ophorectomy with or without pelvic and para aortic lymph node dissection and peritoneal washing. But this approach is highly invasive with high morbidity, long hospital stays and wound complications.

The first documented laparoscopic approach was made by Childers and Surwit in 1992.⁶ They performed a laparoscopic surgical staging including laparoscopic assisted vaginal hysterectomy and pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy. Since then numerous authors have documented their experience with laparoscopy.⁶⁻⁸ Laparoscopic surgical staging has emerged as a safe and feasible alternative with less morbidity and shorter hospital stay. However morbid obesity, intolerance of
patients to prolonged GA, trendelenberg position, long learning curve and surgical expertise preclude the widespread use of laparoscopic approach.9,11

METHODS

A retrospective and prospective observational study of 100 patients with clinical stage 1 endometrial cancer was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Bombay Hospital and Research Centre over 3 years from January 2010 to December 2012. 44 patients underwent total laparoscopic hysterectomy (TLH) while 56 underwent total abdominal hysterectomy (TAH). The decision for TAH or TLH depended on patient and surgeon preference.

Patients with the following conditions were not considered for TLH: Large bulky uterus >13cm, patients with significant cardiopulmonary compromise precluding prolonged trendelenberg Position, sever hip disease where difficult dorso lithotomy position was anticipated.

Informed consent was obtained from all patients. General patient information and demographic profile were analyzed. All patients underwent routine physical and gynecological examination, pre-operative blood and urine examination and endometrial biopsy to confirm the disease. Pre-operative anesthetic checkups were done. Preoperative imaging with USG and MRI were done for evaluation of the extent of the disease. Patients undergoing the laparoscopic technique were counseled regarding the possibility of conversion to laparotomy if needed. All patients whether assigned to TAH or TLH, were subjected to comprehensive surgical staging comprising of inspection of the intra peritoneal cavity, peritoneal washing, total hysterectomy with b/l salpingoophorectomy. Pelvic lymphadenectomy was performed in cases where imaging showed disease involvement >50% of myometrium.

Morcellation techniques were not used and minimum manipulation of uterus was practiced.

All patients were given antibiotic prophylaxis and DVT (deep vein thrombosis) prophylaxis.

Parameters analyzed were demographic profile, operating time, blood loss, hospital stay, recovery milestones, cost effectiveness, recurrence rate and perioperative complications. Post-operative follow up was scheduled after 1 month and then 3 months for 1 year, where physical and gynecological examination, USG and relevant blood tests were performed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using programs available in the SPSS statistical package (SPSS 15.0, Chicago, USA), Microsoft word and excel. Association between screening test and occurrence of disease was calculated by chi-square test. Yate’s correction was applied when there was any requirement (i.e. cell numerical value <5).

RESULTS

100 patients were included in the study out of which 56 underwent TAH while 44 underwent TLH. Table 1 shows the demographic profile of the patients in the study group.

Table 1: Demographic profile of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>ABD (%)</th>
<th>LAP (%)</th>
<th>Parity [No]</th>
<th>ABD (%)</th>
<th>LAP (%)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>ABD (%)</th>
<th>LAP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
<td>12 (27)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
<td>16 (36)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
<td>8 (18)</td>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
<td>8 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-80</td>
<td>16(28)</td>
<td>8 (18)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20(36)</td>
<td>12 (27)</td>
<td>70-80</td>
<td>16 (28)</td>
<td>20 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>16(28)</td>
<td>8 (18)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16(28)</td>
<td>16 (36)</td>
<td>80-90</td>
<td>24 (42)</td>
<td>12 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age, parity and weight were comparable in both groups. Many patients in both groups belonged to higher order parity. With regards to co-morbidities; obesity, hypertension, diabetes excreta were more in the abdominal group than the laparoscopic group.

With reference to Table 2 the mean operating time was longer in TLH group (2.5 hrs.) than the abdominal group (2 hrs.). However, the mean blood loss was more in the abdominal group (350 ml) in comparison to TLH group (250 ml). Blood loss was estimated by measuring the amount of blood that was collected in the suction apparatus minus irrigation fluid and the weight of pre-weighted blood-soaked mops. Patients in the abdominal group required a greater number of hospitals stay and more time to recover and assume normal activities. Likewise, pain was more in abdominal group than in TLH group. Pain was analyzed by asking the patients to rate their pain on second post op day from a scale of 1 to 10. Pelvic lymphadenectomy was done in 12 patients of abdominal group and 8 patients of TLH group. Positive lymph nodes were found in 4 among the abdominal group and 2 among the laparoscopic group. Cost wise, the laparoscopic group incurred more expenditure.
The demographic profile in our study population shows comparable data with regards to age, parity and body weight. Some studies show that the TLH group included younger patients with less body weight. Patients with severe medical disorders were more in the TLH group in our study. Similar observations have been made by other authors.

Though many studies show that obesity and advanced age are not contraindications for laparoscopic surgery; it has still been found to influence patient selection and surgical option.

In our study the mean blood loss, average hospital stay and pain score were more in the abdominal group than the TLH group.

Likewise, the mean operating time and cost of surgery were more in TLH group compared to the abdominal group. Mario Malzoni et al reported that mean operating time for TLH was 136 min and TAH was 123 min. The mean blood loss was 50±12 in the TLH group (95% CI 20-90) and 145 ml ±35 in TAH group (95%CI 60-255) (p<0.01). The mean hospital stay was 5.1±1.2 in the TAH group (95% CI 1-7) and 2.1±0.5 in TLH group (95% CI 1-5) (p<0.01). These results are similar to the results derived in our study. Other authors have also reported similar results and emphasized that the TLH approach has definite advantage over abdominal approach.

Two other studies have showed that the TLH approach may incur less expenditure than the abdominal approach as the average length of hospital stay is more in the abdominal approach. The perioperative and post-operative complications were seen more in the abdominal group vs. TLH group.

Similar observation has been made by Mario Malzoni et al and other authors.

No patient in the TLH group required conversion to laparotomy and no patient had incidental hernia. Recurrence rate which was vault recurrence was similar in both the groups.

DISCUSSION

Surgical management of early stage endometrial cancer has gradually evolved over the last few decades and much diversification has taken place. Alongside the traditional abdominal approach, advances in the laparoscopic field has allowed total laparoscopic hysterectomy as a feasible alternative. Newer advances include the robotic approach and is under much speculation.

Advantages of the abdominal approach include less operative time and wider view which facilitates removal of big and fixed uteri.

Table 2: Comorbidities distribution in abdominal and laparoscopic route.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>ABD (%)</th>
<th>LAP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index &gt;30</td>
<td>37 (66)</td>
<td>26 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>24 (43)</td>
<td>16 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>40 (71)</td>
<td>16 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>24 (43)</td>
<td>12 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>48 (86)</td>
<td>28 (63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Tables 3) shows the perioperative complications in both groups. Post-operative complications like nausea, vomiting, paralytic ileus and wound dehiscence were more in the abdominal group. One patient in the TLH group had a small hematoma over the left iliac vessel due to injury during trocar insertion. It was observed for 10 minutes and surgery continued without any intervention for the same. Two patients in the abdominal group had bladder injury during uterovesical fold dissection which was managed surgically. Five patients in abdominal group and two in TLH group required intraoperative or postoperative blood transfusion.

Table 3: Comparative clinical outcome in patient underwent surgery either abdominal and laparoscopic route.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>ABD</th>
<th>LAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean operating time (hrs.)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. hospital Stay (days)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score (scale of 10)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (weeks)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic lymphadenectomy (no of patients)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive lymph nodes (no of patients)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure (average)</td>
<td>Rs. 30,000/-</td>
<td>Rs. 60,000/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of the patients from TLH group required conversion to laparotomy and no patient had incisional hernia. Recurrence rate which was vault recurrence was similar in both the groups.
between both groups with regards to disease free and overall survival. Obermair et al reported similar patterns of recurrence and overall survival among both groups in a retrospective study of 510 patients.\(^{23}\) Walker et al reported 3 yrs overall survival of 89% in TLH group and 89.9% in abdominal group from the LAP 2 study conducted by gynecologic oncologic group.\(^{24,25}\)

Several authors including Manolitas et al have concluded that total laparoscopic hysterectomy with surgical staging is an effective approach with many added benefits.\(^{26}\)

**CONCLUSION**

Morbidity is much less in laparoscopy route compare to open abdominal hysterectomy with equivalent survival.
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