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INTRODUCTION

Perivascular epithelioid cell tumours (PEComa) are a rare group of mesenchymal neoplasms characterized by distinctive histological and immunohistochemical perivascular epithelioid cells. These tumours can be found in various anatomic sites, with gynaecologic PEComas accounting for nearly one-fourth of reported cases in the literature. However, due to its non-specific clinical presentation and a lack of definitive radiological appearance, the diagnosis of PEComas remains challenging. In this case report, we describe a 45-year old lady suffering from urinary retention secondary to large uterine fibroids, who then underwent a total hysterectomy. Postoperative histopathology with immunohistochemical stains confirmed an unexpected finding of uterine PEComa. Although the treatment of gynaecologic PEComas remains controversial, complete surgical resection with negative margins is recommended. A multidisciplinary approach will be beneficial in determining the necessity of adjuvant therapy such as targeted therapy with mTOR inhibitors, especially for PEComas which exhibit aggressive and high-risk features.

CASE REPORT

A 45-year old lady with no notable medical history was referred to our centre for an incidental finding of uterine fibroids found on computerized tomography intravenous pyelography (CT IVP). She had no menstrual complaints and was initially seen by the urology team for recurrent episodes of acute urinary retention with the uterus displacing the bladder and indenting upon its posterior-superior wall. There were also multiple large cystic structures demonstrated in the right ovary, largest measuring 3.3x2.8 cm.

Ultrasound (US) pelvis reported an endometrial thickness of 15 mm with small avascular echogenic nodules in the endometrium, possibly representing endometrial polyps. There were a few uterine fibroids, largest measuring 10.0x8.5x9.4 cm. An 8.4x4.6x5.4 cm right adnexal multiloculated cystic avascular structure is noted with internal echoes and septations. Ovarian tumour markers (cancer antigen 125, beta human chorionic gonadotropin, carcinoembryonic antigen and alpha-fetoprotein)
performed were normal. Thereafter, our patient underwent hysteroscopy, dilatation and curettage which was normal.

Figure 1: (A) and (B) CT IVP images showing a large homogeneous hypodense circumscribed mass arising from the posterior wall of the anteverted uterus which may represent a fibroid (9.4×8.5×10.0 cm).

Figure 2: (a-d) Ultrasound pelvis images showing multiple fibroids, largest 10.0×8.5×9.4 cm in the posterior wall of uterus; and (e) and (f) 8.4×4.6×5.4 cm right adnexal multiloculated cystic avascular structure with internal echoes and septations.

Ovarian tumour markers (cancer antigen 125, beta human chorionic gonadotropin, carcinoembryonic antigen and alpha-fetoprotein) performed were normal. Thereafter, our patient underwent hysteroscopy, dilatation and curettage which was normal.

In view of her repeated urinary retention episodes, she opted for surgical intervention. A total abdominal hysterectomy right salpingo-oophorectomy and left salpingectomy was performed. Operative findings revealed a 6-week sized uterus with a 12 cm posterior lower segment fibroid displacing the cervix. There was an 8 cm multiloculated cystic right ovarian mass, which was reported as having haemorrhagic and endometriotic contents with no overt malignancy on frozen section analysis. The right tube, left tube and left ovary appeared normal.

Final histopathology reported a uterine epithelioid and spindle cell neoplasm with pleomorphism, 1.2 cm in greatest dimension. There were no mitoses, lymphovascular invasion nor necrosis, thus favouring a benign perivascular epithelioid cell neoplasm (PEComa). Immunohistochemical stains were positive for human melanoma black 45 (HMB-45) and Melan A.

In view of the association between PEComas and tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), our patient was referred to the genetics team for further evaluation. However, she defaulted the appointment due to financial concerns. She is currently on surveillance and remains asymptomatic. Her 18-month post-operative pelvic scan showed the presence of a 2.4 cm left endometriotic ovarian cyst.

DISCUSSION

Perivascular epithelioid cell neoplasms (PEComas) are rare mesenchymal tumours which are composed of histologically and immunohistochemically distinctive perivascular epithelioid cells. To date, there are 114 gynaecological tract PEComas reported worldwide. Of
which, the most affected anatomic sites include the uterus (58.6%), followed by the cervix (10.5%). The lack of specific clinical and imaging characteristics makes the diagnosis of PEComas challenging. Therefore, definitive diagnosis is made based on a combination of histological and immunohistochemical analysis.

The hallmarks of PEComa are as follows: the presence of an epithelioid appearance, which is characterized by a clear to eosinophilic and granular cytoplasm, with centrally located, round to oval nuclei with a nucleolus. The tumour cells typically grow in nests or sheets and are usually around or perpendicular to blood vessels.

Immunohistochemically, a perivascular epithelioid cell is characterized by positivity for melanocytic markers, such as HMB-45, melan-A, tyrosinase, microphthalmia transcription factor, and NY-33, and smooth muscle markers, such as smooth muscle actin, desmin, h-caldesmon, and muscle myosin and calponin.

PEComas are classified into benign, uncertain malignant potential and malignant based on the presence of worrisome features. PEComas that do not possess any of the worrisome features are benign. Tumours of uncertain malignant potential are defined as having only either nuclear pleomorphism/multinucleated giant cells or are more than 5 cm in size. PEComas which are malignant will exhibit two or more worrisome features.

There is no unanimous consensus on treatment due to the small number of reported gynaecological tract PEComas and lack of randomized controlled trials. At present, the recommended mainstay of treatment is complete surgical resection with the aim of negative margins. A complete resection is of paramount importance to evaluate the tumour for histopathologic prognostic risk factors. The role of adjuvant therapy such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy remains unclear.

PEComas are rare and often, sporadic. However, 10% of PEComas can be associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). TSC affects 1 in 6000 people. It is an autosomal dominant genetic condition characterized by the growth of numerous benign tumours in many parts of the body- most commonly, the brain, kidneys, heart, eyes, lungs and skin.

Depending on the location of the tumours, symptoms can range from mild to severe and commonly includes a combination of seizures, autism, impaired intellectual development, behavioural problems, skin abnormalities and kidney disease.

Table 1: Folpe criteria for classification of PEComas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Benign</th>
<th>Uncertain malignant potential</th>
<th>Malignant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size ≤5 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nuclear pleomorphism/multinucleated giant cells only</td>
<td>Size &gt;5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-infiltrative</td>
<td></td>
<td>Or, Size &gt;5 cm</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-high nuclear grade and cellularity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High nuclear grade and cellularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitotic rate ≤1/50 high power fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mitotic rate ≥1/50 high power fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No necrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No vascular invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vascular invasion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The role of targeted therapy comes about due to PEComas’ possession of mutated TSC 1 (9q34) and TSC 2 (16p13.3) genes, which affects the production of proteins hamartin and tuberin respectively. TSC1 and TSC 2 genes interact as heterodimers that inhibit the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, affecting cellular growth and protein synthesis. mTOR inhibitors, such as Sirolimus, have shown promising results in recent case reports, and should be considered based on the behaviour of tumour and the presence of high-risk features.

CONCLUSION

Gynaecological PEComa is a rare pathology with non-specific clinical presentation and radiological appearances, therefore making its diagnosis and management challenging. The clinical outcome of PEComa is variable and depends on the presence of high-risk histological features. Although there is no consensus on the management of PEComa, complete surgical resection with negative margins remains the cornerstone of therapy. The addition of targeted therapy should be considered in patients with high-risk features.
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